

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	23-BUSMTG-01
Project Title:	Business Meeting Agendas, Transcripts, Minutes, and Public Comments
TN #:	248547
Document Title:	Steve Uhler Comments on 01-25-2023 Business Meeting
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Steve Uhler
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	1/26/2023 2:12:26 PM
Docketed Date:	1/26/2023

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler
Submitted On: 1/26/2023
Docket Number: 23-BUSMTG-01*

Comments on 01-25-2023 Business Meeting

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: [Steve Uhler](#)
To: Badie, Mona@Energy
Cc: Barrera, Linda@Energy; [Energy - Public Adviser"s Office](#); [Energy - Public Advisor"s Office](#); Bohan, Drew@Energy; Hochschild, David@Energy; [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Re: Please explain why on January 23, 2023 a item was allowed to be added to the agenda for the January 25, 2023 business meeting. Docket Unit please file this email in 23-BUSMTG-01.
Date: Thursday, January 26, 2023 10:35:56 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello Mona Badie,

Perhaps you have overlooked 20 CCR 1104(d) allows the agenda to include a item designated as "the consent calendar"?

Perhaps you have overlooked that a matter on the consent calendar is not a item for separate action to be taken without a commission member's request at the meeting for the agenda?

Who was the commission member that requested the matter be moved to its own agenda item at meeting?

Perhaps you have overlooked that prior to the start of the meeting the agenda with twenty-two (22) items for the meeting was filed with the Docket Unit pursuant to 20 CCR 1208 by a commission staff member?

Docket Unit please file this email in 23-BUSMTG-01.

thanks,

Steve Uhler
sau@wwmpd.com

On 1/26/2023 8:58 AM, Badie, Mona@Energy wrote:

- > Mr. Uhler,
- > Thank you for your inquiry regarding compliance with Gov code 11125(b). I reviewed the corresponding comments you docketed in the business meeting docket which referenced 20 CCR 1104 and item 17 on the January 25, 2023 business meeting agenda, Petition to Request a Rulemaking Hearing (TNs 248536 and 248537).
- >
- > No items were added to the January 25, 2023 business meeting agenda after it was originally posted on January 13, 2023. On January 23, 2023 an allowable change was made to the agenda and it was re-posted on that date. The agenda was originally posted with an Item 2k, Petition to Request a Rulemaking Hearing, listed on the consent portion of the agenda. A consent agenda item can be moved and discussed later in the agenda. This was done on January 23rd and the updated agenda was posted where item 2.k was moved to item 17. This was done prior to the meeting, but it would have also been allowable for it to have been done during the meeting itself.
- >
- > Mona Badie (she/her)
- > Public Advisor
- > California Energy Commission
- > (916) 628-1376
- > mona.badie@energy.ca.gov
- >

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Steve Uhler <sau@wwmpd.com>

> Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2023 9:40 PM

> To: Badie, Mona@Energy <Mona.Badie@energy.ca.gov>; Barrera, Linda@Energy
<Linda.Barrera@energy.ca.gov>

> Cc: Energy - Public Adviser's Office <PublicAdviser@energy.ca.gov>; Energy - Public Adviser's Office
<publicadvisor@energy.ca.gov>; Bohan, Drew@Energy <Drew.Bohan@energy.ca.gov>; Hochschild,
David@Energy <david.hochschild@energy.ca.gov>

> Subject: Please explain why on January 23, 2023 a item was allowed to be added to the agenda for the January 25,
2023 business meeting.

>

> CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless
you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

>

>

> Hello,

>

> Please explain why on January 23, 2023 a item was allowed to be added to the agenda for the January 25, 2023
business meeting.

>

> GOV 11125(b) prohibits adding any items to a agenda subsequent to provision of notice of a meeting.

>

> Filing the agenda in the docket would prevent this meeting law violation.

>

> Steve Uhler

> sau@wwmpd.com

>