

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	21-ESR-01
Project Title:	Energy System Reliability
TN #:	245181
Document Title:	Joel Chaban Comments - I oppose legislation to keep Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant open
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Joel Chaban
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	8/17/2022 7:27:22 PM
Docketed Date:	8/18/2022

*Comment Received From: Joel Chaban
Submitted On: 8/17/2022
Docket Number: 21-ESR-01*

I oppose legislation to keep Diablo Canyon Nuclear Power Plant open

because...

Diablo Canyon sits on earthquake faults. Chernobyl and Fukushima show how dangerous nuclear power is especially when generated atop seismic faults and subject to natural catastrophes.

Plutonium waste has a life of 24,000 years. There is no safe way to dispose of it.

Nuclear energy from Diablo Canyon is unreliable. It's been unable to provide power during rolling blackouts across California. PG&E should not rely on Diablo Canyon power to keep the western states grid from crashing.

It costs rate payers \$1 billion/year to keep Diablo Canyon running. This money should be invested in renewable energy.

Locating an \$11 billion desalination plant at Diablo Canyon is not an "inexpensive" way to provide water to central valley growers at what would actually be a prohibitive price, especially with there being no existing way to transport the water half-way across the state.

Diablo Canyon power is not critical to meeting California's "clean" energy goals nor does it justify a majority of its power going to new on-site hydrogen production and desalination. Locating an \$11 billion desalination plant at Diablo Canyon is NOT an "inexpensive" way to provide water to central valley growers at what would actually be a prohibitive price, especially with there being no existing way to transport the water half-way across the state.

Retiring Diablo Canyon would not cause an enormous energy shortage. No one has even noticed that San Onofre nuclear power plant was shut down a decade ago.