

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	21-SPPE-02
<b>Project Title:</b>	STACK Trade Zone Park
<b>TN #:</b>	244211
<b>Document Title:</b>	STACK Revised Air Quality Modeling Assessment
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	Scott Galati
<b>Organization:</b>	DayZenLLC
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	7/27/2022 6:54:44 AM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	7/27/2022

---

*STACK Infrastructure*

CEC Submittal

Trade Zone Park Revised Modeling  
Assessment

San Jose, California

Prepared for



Prepared by

Atmospheric Dynamics, Inc.



ATMOSPHERIC DYNAMICS, INC  
Meteorological & Air Quality Modeling

July 2022

## Revised Analyses for Air Quality and Public Health for Operations and Construction

The revised analysis presented herein for the Stack Infrastructure Trade Zone Park Data Center addresses the following changes and revisions:

- Data center building SVY-05 will now be comprised of 15 Caterpillar 3516E engines and one (1) Caterpillar C-32 engine
- Data center building SVY-06 will now be comprised of 21 Caterpillar 3516E engines and one (1) Caterpillar C-32 engine
- The engine testing hours have been revised to 12 hours per day to reflect the period between 7:00 AM and 7:00 PM. Prior to this revision, the testing hours were limited to 10 hours per day (7:00 AM to 5:00 PM)
- The total number of engines will remain unchanged at 38
- Data center building SVY-05 and SVY-06 will include a revised parapet wall height up to 80 feet.

The tables which follow have been revised for the operational air quality and HRA results and for the construction/operations overlap period. There are no revisions to the construction analysis based on the small revisions to the building designs.

### Revised Impact Assessment (Air Quality and Public Health)

The following tables 1 through 5 summarize the revised modeled concentrations based on the 50 hours per year of operation with the daily testing hours occurring between 7:00 AM and 5:00 PM. The total number of engines will still be 38 and the placement of the engines are depicted in Figure 1. There are also no changes to the stack parameters on either the 3516E or the C-32 engines.

**Table 1  
Modeled Operational Concentrations and Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Pollutant	Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Total (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ambient Air Quality Standards (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
					CAAQS	NAAQS
<i>3-/8-/24-Hour Maxima shown for one engine operating up to 12 hours/day (7AM-7PM)</i>						
NO <sub>2</sub> *	1-hour maximum (CAAQS)	96.69	112.9	232.2	339	-
	3-year average of 1-hour yearly 98th % (NAAQS)**	2.37	85.3	87.1	-	188
	Annual maximum	2.07	20.0	22.2	57	100
CO	1-hour maximum	276.63	2,061	2405.6	23,000	40,000
	8-hour maximum	179.98	1,680	1856.2	10,000	10,000
SO <sub>2</sub>	1-hour maximum (CAAQS)	0.53	38.0	38.7	655	-
	3-year average of 1-hour yearly 99th % (NAAQS)**	0.022	5.2	5.208	-	196



**Table 1**  
**Modeled Operational Concentrations and Ambient Air Quality Standards**

Pollutant	Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Total (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ambient Air Quality Standards (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
					CAAQS	NAAQS
	24-hour maximum	0.11	3.9	4.01	105	365
	Annual maximum	0.011	0.44	0.52	-	80
PM10	24-hour maximum (CAAQS)	0.33	134	135.6	50	-
	24-hour 6 <sup>th</sup> highest over 5 years (NAAQS)	0.27	74.8	77.3	-	150
	Annual maximum (CAAQS)	0.032	24.8	25.6	20	-
PM2.5	3-year average of 24-hour yearly 98th %	0.24	33.3	34.4	-	35
	Annual maximum (CAAQS)	0.0032	11.5	12.3	12	-
	3-year average of annual concentrations (NAAQS)	0.029	9.8	10.5	-	12.0

\*1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> impacts evaluated with Ambien Ratio Method #2 (ARM2), with the maximum hourly background added in separately. Annual NO<sub>2</sub> impacts evaluated with ARM2. Modeling utilized USEPA-default minimum/maximum NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ambient ratios of 0.5/0.9.

\*\* Impacts for the 1-hour statistical-based NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> NAAQS are based on the annual average emissions per USEPA guidance documents for intermittent sources like emergency generators. Impacts for the 1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> CAAQS are based on the 1-hour emission rate since these CAAQS are “values that are not to be exceeded”.

**Table 2**  
**SVYBGF Residential/Sensitive/Worker Health Risk Assessment Summary**

Location	Receptor #	UTM (meters)	Cancer Risk	Chronic HI	Acute HI	Cancer Burden
PMI	876	597880 E 4139965 N	2.48E-05	0.00574	-	NA
MEIR	1112	597740 E 4140265 N	3.06E-06	0.000708	-	NA
MEIS	1620	597500 E 4140405 N	1.40E-06	0.000324	-	NA
MEIW	951	597840 E 4140025 N	5.65E-06	0.0044	-	NA

Notes: See acronym definitions above.  
The PMI noted above is located in a parking lot due east of the project.  
All MEIR maximum impacts were on the first floor of the multistory structure.



**Table 3**  
**Modeled Overlap (Construction + Operation) Concentrations and Ambient Air**  
**Quality Standards**

Pollutant	Averaging Period	Maximum Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Background (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Total (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Ambient Air Quality Standards (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	
					CAAQS	NAAQS
<i>Construction occurs for up to 12 hours/day (7AM-7PM)</i>						
NO <sub>2</sub> *	1-hour maximum (CAAQS)	80.19	112.9	209.6	339	-
	3-year average of 1-hour yearly 98th % (NAAQS)	4.59	85.3	87.7	-	188
	Annual maximum	1.40	20.0	22.1	57	100
CO	1-hour maximum	280.54	2,061	2337.6	23,000	40,000
	8-hour maximum	219.44	1,680	1860	10,000	10,000
SO <sub>2</sub>	1-hour maximum (CAAQS)	0.54	38	38.5	655	-
	3-year average of 1-hour yearly 99th % (NAAQS)	0.067	5.2	5.2	-	196
	24-hour maximum	0.12	3.9	4.0	105	365
	Annual maximum	0.010	0.55	0.56	-	80
PM10	24-hour maximum (CAAQS)	12.93	134	136.7	50	-
	Annual maximum (CAAQS)	4.12	24.8	25.7	20	-
PM2.5	3-year average of 24-hour yearly 98th %	4.36	33.3	34.54	-	35
	3-year average of annual concentrations (NAAQS)	1.49	9.8	10.5	-	12.0

\*1-hour NO<sub>2</sub> impacts evaluated with Ambien Ratio Method #2 (ARM2), with the maximum hourly background added in separately. Annual NO<sub>2</sub> impacts evaluated with ARM2. Modeling utilized USEPA-default minimum/maximum NO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> ambient ratios of 0.5/0.9.



**Table 4**  
**SVYBGF Overlap (Construction + Operation) Health Risk Assessment Summary**

Location	Receptor #	UTM (meters)	Cancer Risk	Chronic HI	Acute HI	Cancer Burden
PMI	72	597807.9 E 4140082 N	4.87E-06	0.00285	-	NA
MEIR	1112	597740 E 4140265 N	6.88E-07	0.000402	-	NA
MEIS	1620	597500 E 4140405 N	1.13E-06	0.000148	-	NA
MEIW	951	597840 E 4140025 N	1.68E-07	0.00242	-	NA

Notes: See acronym definitions above.

The PMI noted above is located in a parking lot due east of the project.

Testing hours for the overlap of construction and operation was set to 50 hours per engine.

DPM is the surrogate compound for construction equipment diesel exhaust. No acute REL has been established for DPM.

SVY06 construction period is 16.5 months (HRA used 2-year exposure period.)

FAH=1 for all age groups from 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester to 16 years, for MEIR and MEIS.

FAH not used for MEIW.

\* MEIS – Mabel Mattos Elementary School

All MEIR maximum impacts were on the first floor of the multistory structure.

### Combined Community Risk Impacts

As discussed in the SPPE, the project site is affected by several sources of TACs. Table 5 presents the revised cancer and non-cancer risks associated with each source affecting the project site. The sum of impacts from combined sources (i.e., all sources within 1,000 feet of the project) plus the operations of the project would be below the BAAQMD risk thresholds. Therefore, the impact from combined community risk would be considered less than significant.

**Table 5**  
**Impacts from Combined Sources**

Source	Maximum Cancer Risk (per million)	Hazard Index	PM <sub>2.5</sub> concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
Montague Expressway Traffic	5.0	<0.01	0.51
Existing Background Sources.	38.6	0.272	0.0096
SGBF	3.06	<0.01	0.029
<i>Combined Sources</i> <sup>1</sup>	46.66	0.273	0.55
<b><i>BAAQMD Threshold – Combined Sources</i></b>	<b>100</b>	<b>10.0</b>	<b>0.8</b>

Note: <sup>1</sup>The combined source level is an overestimate because the maximum impact from each source is assumed to occur at the same location.

### Conclusion

Based on the revised project layout and the revised dispersal of the engines, the overall project air quality and public health impacts continue to demonstrate compliance with the applicable



ambient air quality standards and Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) CEQA significance thresholds.

## **Attachments**

All modeling input and output files, support files, and HRA files will be supplied in electronic format.



**Figure 1**  
**Revised Project General Arrangement**

