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Presentation for the CALeVIP 2 Public Workshop

Additional submitted attachment is included below.
The workshop will begin at 10 a.m.
Introductions

Moderator
Aimee Slavensky, Center for Sustainable Energy

Speakers
Fidel Leon-Green, Center for Sustainable Energy
Brian Fauble, California Energy Commission
Geoffrey Cook, CALSTART

Panelists
Fidel Leon-Green, Center for Sustainable Energy
Brian Fauble, California Energy Commission
Geoffrey Cook, CALSTART
Jeffrey Lu, California Energy Commission
Before We Get Started

- Participants can submit questions in the chat box any time during the presentation.
- We will be conducting polls throughout this presentation. Please respond and include any additional feedback in the chat box.
- Due to high traffic, you may experience technical difficulties during this presentation. We apologize for potential delays or compromised presentation quality.
- If you miss any part of this presentation or have questions, contact us at calevip@energycenter.org.
Agenda

- Block Grant Overview
- Communities in Charge (CALSTART)
- CALeVIP 2.0 project goals
- Lessons Learned in CALeVIP 1.0
- Differences between CALeVIP 1.0 and CALeVIP 2.0
- Application Process & Selection for CALeVIP 2.0
- Project Concepts & Requirements
- Question & Answer Session
Block Grant Overview
Second Block Grants

Communities in Charge
• First Projects:
  • Level 2 only
  • Ready-to-go applications

CALeVIP 2.0
• First projects
  • DC fast chargers only
  • Ready-to-go applications
## Equipment Requirements – (CALeVIP 1.0)

### Level 2

- Charger capable of at least 6.2 kW
- J-1772 connector
- Networked
- Use an open standard protocol
- Be safety certified by a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL)
- Energy Star certified

### DCFC

- Active connectors must always deliver a minimum of 50 kW
- At least 50% CCS, CHAdeMO are eligible (ACIP)
- Networked
- Use an open standard protocol
- Be safety certified by a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL)
Equip Req. – Level 2 & DCFC
(CALeVIP 2.0 & Communities in Charge)

• CALeVIP 1.0 requirements plus;

• ISO-15118 “Hardware Ready*” (self-attestation), at a minimum must support:
  1. Powerline carrier (PLC) based high-level communication as specified in ISO 15118-3.
  2. Secure management and storage of keys and certificates.
  3. Transport Layer Security (TLS) version 1.2; additional support for TLS 1.3 or subsequent
     versions is recommended to prepare for future updates to the ISO 15118 standard.
  4. Remotely receiving updates to activate or enable ISO 15118 use cases.
  5. Connecting to a backend network.

*CEC's Recommendation for Deployment of ISO 15118-Ready Chargers
• OCPP 1.6 or later certified by Open Charge Alliance Certification Program
  • EVSE shall support network interoperability and be third-party certified to OCPP 1.6 or newer through the Open Charge Alliance’s certification program (Full and Security).
  Proprietary network software and contracts may be used if the EVSE can switch to any OCPP-compliant network provider at the end of any contract agreement.

• DCFC Energy Star Certification (ENERGY STAR Version 1.1 DC EVSE Final Specification)

• Connectors
  • All Level 2 sites must have J-1772, Tesla may be eligible
  • All DCFC sites must have CCS, Tesla may be eligible
# New Technology Requirement Dates

## Level 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Requirement</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCPP 1.6 or greater</td>
<td>September 1, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO-15118</td>
<td>July 1, 2023*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*May require Energy Star re-certification

## DCFC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Requirement</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO-15118</td>
<td>September 1, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCPP 1.6 or greater</td>
<td>September 1, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCFC Energy Star</td>
<td>July 1, 2023</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Certify Today:
- Open Charge Alliance OCPP Certification
- Join Energy Star

Coming Soon:
- CEC’s Vehicle-Grid Innovation Lab (ViGIL):
  - 15118 and OCPP testing
Communities in Charge (CALSTART)
Overview of Communities in Charge

Electric Vehicle Infrastructure
Where Communities Live and Gather

- Provides Financial Incentives to Accelerate Deployment of Level-2 Charging Equipment.
- Prioritizes Equity Through Targeted Outreach to ‘Community Connections’.
- Focused on Technical Assistance to Support Development of Charging Infrastructure Where Communities Live and Gather.
Overview of Communities in Charge

Electric Vehicle Infrastructure
Where Communities Live and Gather

Ready to Go
A ‘Shovel Ready’ Project Serving Community Needs.

Ready to Plan
An Identified EV Charging Site Requiring Further Coordination.

Ready to Partner
An Opportunity for EV Charging Requiring Further Engagement.
Upcoming Important Dates

Technical, Funding, and Community Advisory Committee Meetings

Late May-Jul

Planned Launch of Project 1

End of 2022

Planned Launch of Project 2

Early 2023

Contact the Team:

Geoff Cook, Deputy Director
gcook@calstart.org

Dillon Kadish, Associate Project Manager
dkadish@calstart.org
CALeVIP 2.0 Project Goals
CALeVIP 2.0
Project Goals

- Rapid Deployment of Chargers
- Fast & Efficient Application Processing
- Equitable Distribution of Chargers to Areas of High Need
CALeVIP 2.0
Overview

Rapid Deployment
- Focus on quick installations statewide
- Focus on installations of fast charging

Fast & Efficient Processing
- Process and platform improvements to facilitate processing and communication

Address Gaps
- Focus on increasing access to, and availability of, fast charging options
Lessons Learned in CALeVIP 1.0
Lessons Learned from CALeVIP 1.0

1. First-come, First-served methodology led to an influx of applications on launch day and high oversubscription rates
   - Applications were often incomplete or submitted as “placeholders”
   - Led to long processing times and a large waitlist

2. Varying project requirements created challenges for applicants and inefficiencies for processing
   - Significant back-and-forth communication with individual applicants led to slower processing times
   - Incomplete and inadequate documentation leads to payment delays

3. Almost 40% of applications are cancelled after reaching Funds Reserved
   - Cancellations mean the clock re-starts for a new application, delaying chargers in the ground
   - Waitlisted applicants might be ready to begin their project but are waiting until they have Funds Reserved

4. 30% of applications require extensions
   - Extensions delay chargers in the ground and require additional processing and documentation
   - CALeVIP’s purpose is rapid deployment of chargers
Differences between CALeVIP 1.0 and CALeVIP 2.0
## Key Differences – CALeVIP 1.0 & CALeVIP 2.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>CALeVIP 1.0</th>
<th>CALeVIP 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Application Process &amp; Selection</td>
<td>• First Come, First Served Methodology for selecting applicants</td>
<td>• Application Window and Tiered Randomization Methodology for selecting applicants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documentation</td>
<td>• Site Verification required within 5 days of applying</td>
<td>• Both Site Verification Form and Evidence of Permit/Utility Application required at application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Evidence of Permit/Utility Application required within 60 days of applying</td>
<td>• Construction Progress checkpoint*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Types</td>
<td>• 13 regional projects with varying requirements</td>
<td>• 2 main statewide projects, with potential for targeted projects in the future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Regions = Counties</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Construction Progress checkpoint is mandatory for all projects, regardless of the CALeVIP version.*
## Application Window

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CALeVIP 1.0</th>
<th>CALeVIP 2.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| • First-come, First-served  
• Applicants quickly fill out applications at launch  
• Those who apply later are placed on a waitlist until other applications cancel or additional funding becomes available | • Applicants will have 30 days to fill out and finalize applications  
• All applications must be submitted by close of window  
• No waitlist, instead improve on project readiness and apply at next eligible application window. |
Application Timeline

- Landing Page Opens
- Application Window Opens
- Application Processing Begins
- Application Window Closes

30 Days

Image of CAL eVIP logo and CAL logo at the bottom.
Raising the (Readiness) Bar

**CALeVIP 1.0 Readiness Requirements**
- Ready to Build
- Ready to Go
- Site Identification

**CALeVIP 2.0 Readiness Requirements**
- Ready to Build
- Ready to Go
- Site Identification

- Required
- Desired, but not incented
- Desired and incented
## Selection Methodology
(Tiered Randomization)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tier</th>
<th>Tier Name</th>
<th>Required Documents at Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Ready to Build</td>
<td>Site Verification Form + Issued Permit AND Final Utility Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Design-Approved</td>
<td>Site Verification Form + Issued Permit OR Final Utility Design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Ready to Go</td>
<td>Site Verification Form + Permit Application Package OR Utility Service Design Application Package</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diagram:**
- Applications Sorted into Tiers
- Applications Randomly Assigned
  - New Spot in Line (by Tiers)
  - Applications Tiered & Randomized within Tiers
Problem: Currently no insight on projects after 60-day checkpoint until final document submission

Checkpoint Solution:

1. New construction checkpoints to be implemented after funds reserved (frequency TBD)

2. Checkpoints to require documentation on construction progress through survey responses (Will be required for CALeVIP 2.0)

3. Testing process out for CALeVIP 1.0 projects
Poll Question #1

CALeVIP 2.0 will have higher eligibility requirements for project readiness. Do you support the proposed tiers for readiness?

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
Poll Question #2

What length of Application Window would you prefer?

- < 7 days
- 7-14 days
- 15-30 days
- > 30 days
Project Concepts & Requirements
Goals of region selection:

1. Distribute funds equitably
   - Funding shared with similar communities
   - Reducing likelihood of large metropolitan areas receiving the majority of funding

2. Distribute funds widely, faster
   - Larger regions = immediate access to more of the state
Region Concept #1

By Degree of Urbanization

Least Urban
Mixed
Most Urban

Note: This is based on the USDA classification system
Darkest blue areas have the least amount of DCFC and thus the greatest need for additional charging infrastructure.

Note: This is based on a preliminary analysis of existing DCFC ports (AFDC data) per 100 mi of primary and secondary roads (e.g., interstates, highways, main arteries).
Region Concept #3

By Geographic Regions

Northern
Greater Bay Area
Southern
Central
Poll Question #3

For Projects 1 and 2, we are proposing three options for funding regions. Which option do you prefer?

Degree of Urbanization
Need for DCFC
Geographic Regions
### Fewer Projects & Requirements Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Concept</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>DAC / LIC</td>
<td>100% of funding dedicated to DAC/LIC sites</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Standard</td>
<td>Same requirements as Project 1, with no DAC/LIC minimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3+</td>
<td>Targeted Projects</td>
<td>TBD (Targeted projects to address specific gaps)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project 1 - Priority Populations

• Scheduled to launch in Q4 2022
• DAC/LIC ONLY
• DCFC ONLY
• Regions to be funded: TBD
• Additional regions may be funded in subsequent application windows
Project 2 - Standard Project

• Scheduled to launch in 2023
• Open to All (including DAC/LIC)
• DCFC ONLY
• Regions to be funded: TBD
• Additional regions may be funded in subsequent application windows
Application Window Funding Timelines

Project 1 - Priority Populations

Project 2 - Standard Project

Leftover Funds
Active Connectors

Active connector definition

- The number of DCFC connectors that can concurrently supply the rebated power level at any one time.

Example:

A DCFC equipment capable of providing up to 125 kW charging is configured with four connectors and is capable of powering two connectors simultaneously at up to 62.5 kW each (i.e., has two active connectors). This equipment would be eligible for two DCFC rebates at the 50 kW – 99.99 kW rebate level.
**Power Output Requirements Proposals:**

- **Minimum DCFC Power Outputs**
  - Per active connector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural: 50kW</td>
<td>All: 50kW</td>
<td>All: 100kW</td>
<td>All: 150kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban: 150kW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **DCFC Rebate Tiers**
  - Per active connector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rebate Amount</th>
<th>Option 1</th>
<th>Option 2</th>
<th>Option 3</th>
<th>Option 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$XX,XXX</td>
<td>50kW to 99kW</td>
<td>50kW to 149kW</td>
<td>50kW to 99kW</td>
<td>50kW to 149kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$YY,YYY</td>
<td>100kW to 199kW</td>
<td>150kW to 249kW</td>
<td>100kW+</td>
<td>150kW+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$ZZ,ZZZ</td>
<td>200kW+</td>
<td>250kW+</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Poll Question #4

What minimum power output do you prefer?

- 50kW rural/150kW urban
- 50kW for all
- 100kW for all
- 150kW for all
Poll Question #5

What power output tiers do you prefer?

- 3 tiers with 100kW and 200Kw cutoffs
- 3 tiers with 150kW and 250Kw cutoffs
- 2 tiers with 100kW cutoff
- 2 tiers with 150kW cutoff
Connector Requirements

• Rebates per site
  • 1 rebate per active connector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Connector Requirements
  • At least 75% of rebated connectors must be CCS
  • Tesla and CHAdeMO may be eligible
  • Standalone CHAdeMO chargers are not eligible
## Step in Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step in Process</th>
<th>Required Documents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| During application window                         | 1. Site Verification Form  
                              | 2. Permit or Utility Design Submittal                                               |
| **Checkpoint (within 4 months of Funds Reserved)** | 1. Final Permit                                                                     |
| **Checkpoint (within X months of Funds Reserved)** | 1. Construction Progress Update                                                    |
| At project completion (within 12 months of Funds Reserved) | 1. Signed Application Form  
                              | 2. Design, equipment, installation costs                                           |
|                                                   | 3. Installation Data Form w/ EVITP attestation                                     |
|                                                   | 4. Photos of Installed Equipment                                                   |
|                                                   | 5. Equipment Serial Numbers                                                        |
|                                                   | 6. Final Inspection Card                                                            |
| Post-project completion                            | 1. Charger Usage Data for 5 years                                                  |
Do you agree with the proposed Documentation Requirements?

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree
Other Enhancements

- Increased Applicant Visibility
- Refreshed Website and Resources
- Updated Applicant dashboard
- Streamlined documentation process
- Interactive Eligible Equipment List
Question & Answer Session
Questions for you:

• Defining “Ready to Build” Tier. What is the upper limit?

  Purpose: Avoid funding projects that were previously completed or would be completed without CALeVIP funding
  A. Construction hasn’t begun
  B. Chargers are not installed
  C. Transformer/Utility work has not begun

• What other features would you like to see on the CALeVIP website and dashboard?
Questions?

Submit comments and questions now through chat.

Post-workshop:
- Workshop recording will be emailed to attendees by 5/16.
- Two ways to submit comments to **CEC Docket 17-EVI-01**:
  1) Electronic Commenting System: Visit the “Submit e-Comment” page for this docket:
  2) Comment by E-mail:
     E-mail: docket@energy.ca.gov
     Subject Line: “17-EVI-01 CALeVIP 2.0 Public Workshop”

All comments due by 5:00 pm on May 19, 2022

New dockets for CALeVIP 2.0 (22-EVI-01) and Communities in Charge (22-EVI-02) will be available soon.
Thank you