<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Docket Number:</strong></th>
<th>00-AFC-14C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Title:</strong></td>
<td>El Segundo Power Redevelopment Project Compliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TN #:</strong></td>
<td>202465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Document Title:</strong></td>
<td>G.Piantka Email Re Utility and Gas Tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description:</strong></td>
<td>NRG G.Piantka Email to Craig Hoffman Re Utility and Gas Tax-Outstanding Info</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filer:</strong></td>
<td>Lisa Worrall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organization:</strong></td>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submitter Role:</strong></td>
<td>Commission Staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Submission Date:</strong></td>
<td>6/17/2014 9:58:05 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Docketed Date:</strong></td>
<td>6/17/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Here is an adjustment to my voicemail, $2-4M per year is range based on 30 to 60% capacity factor. $1.3M-2.7M is for user utility tax and $0.7M-1.3M is for gas franchise fee (about 1/3 of total fee we estimated). That range should cover the 10 year period I estimated for 2018-2028. so $20-40M for 10-yr period.

George

Please see below.

We would like to discuss this tomorrow as well.

Craig Hoffman
Compliance Project Manager

California Energy Commission
Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division
Compliance Office
1516 Ninth Street, MS 2000
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

phone: 916-654-4781
fax: 916-654-3882

George and Robert

Sorry I missed that.

The table identifies 2 to 4 mill annual.

That is for gas and utilities?

What is approximately the amount of gas? Is it a percentage?

Is it about 2 mill now and will be 4 mill in the future?

Thank you for your help.

Craig Hoffman
Compliance Project Manager
From: Robert.Mason@CH2M.com [mailto:Robert.Mason@CH2M.com]
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 1:43 PM
To: George.Piantka@nrgenergy.com; Hoffman, Craig@Energy
Cc: TAndrews@SierraResearch.com
Subject: RE: ESEC - outstanding information

Craig

The gas franchise fee was included in the non-confidential economic benefit table that was docketed a couple of weeks ago (along with a ltr requesting that the previous confidential filing be returned).

Thanks

Robert

From: Piantka, George [mailto:George.Piantka@nrgenergy.com]
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 1:30 PM
To: 'Craig.Hoffman@energy.ca.gov'
Cc: Mason, Robert/SCO; 'TAndrews@SierraResearch.com'
Subject: Re: ESEC - outstanding information

We are reviewing the plume analysis draft. You should have that end of week. I thought you got the gas franchise fee in the our last SOC deliverable.

From: Hoffman, Craig@Energy [mailto:Craig.Hoffman@energy.ca.gov]
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2013 01:25 PM
To: Plantka, George
Cc: Robert.Mason@CH2M.com <Robert.Mason@CH2M.com>; Tom W. Andrews <TAndrews@sierraresearch.com>
Subject: ESEC - outstanding information

George

The outstanding information that we are still waiting on:

**Air Quality**
- Updated construction emissions.
- Thermal Plume analysis.

**Cultural**
- Please see below.

**Socioeconomics**
- Gas franchise numbers.
Looks like not much left. We are in great shape.

Craig Hoffman  
Compliance Project Manager  
California Energy Commission  
Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division  
Compliance Office  
1516 Ninth Street, MS 2000  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512  
phone: 916-654-4781  
fax: 916-654-3882

On Dec 26, 2013, at 11:34 AM, "Hoffman, Craig@Energy" <Craig.Hoffman@energy.ca.gov> wrote:

George and Robert

You have done an amazing job making sure staff has all the information they need.

It looks like the last information that Cultural Resources is waiting on is for the updated records search.

Any updates.

Thank you for your help.

Craig Hoffman  
Compliance Project Manager  
California Energy Commission  
Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division  
Compliance Office  
1516 Ninth Street, MS 2000  
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512  
phone: 916-654-4781  
fax: 916-654-3882

From: Roark, Gabriel@Energy  
Sent: Thursday, December 26, 2013 11:31 AM  
To: Hoffman, Craig@Energy  
Subject: RE: ESEC - The PDOC is in and updated references

Craig,

Here is the outstanding archaeological data need for the cultural resources analysis, in addition to having the previous finds mapped on a 7.5-minute topographic quadrangle:

- DR 78. Update Records Search
  1. Provide copy of records search map(s) at a scale of 1:24,000 or 7.5-minute
  2. Provide copies of previous cultural resource studies and recorded cultural resources according to criteria in Appendix B of the Energy Commission’s Siting Regulations
  3. Summarize records search results

I hope this helps.

Gabriel