

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	00-AFC-14C
Project Title:	El Segundo Power Redevelopment Project Compliance
TN #:	201597
Document Title:	ESEC Gas User Tax
Description:	Supplemental information on Gas User Tax.
Filer:	Craig Hoffman
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Public Agency
Submission Date:	1/27/2014 10:43:48 AM
Docketed Date:	1/27/2014

City of El Segundo

Gas Users Tax

From: Jones, Steve [<mailto:SJones@elsegundo.org>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 14, 2014 4:21 PM
To: Adams, Jim@Energy
Subject: RE: Gas Users Tax

Hi Jim,

Most of the meters at the current power plant are meters for reclaimed water not subject to the City's Utility User Tax (UUT). However, they do have a temporary meter they have been using for years that is subject to the UUT. The average monthly UUT over the last 3 years for this meter is \$475.82 according to the Water Utility Billing Accounting Technician.

The City receives the electric UUT from primarily Southern California Edison and a few other companies on a monthly basis. The City does not see any of the customer information. We only see the monthly gross receipts for all of the electric companies and the 3% UUT calculation.

Please let me know if you need anything else. Thanks.

Steve Jones
Business Services Manager
City of El Segundo
310-524-2332

From: Adams, Jim@Energy [<mailto:Jim.Adams@energy.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, January 07, 2014 10:31 AM
To: Jones, Steve
Subject: Gas Users Tax

In reviewing the El Segundo City Code (Title 3, Chapter 7), I found subchapter 3-7-5: Gas Users Tax. This appears to be a tax levied on every commercial or industrial utility using gas delivered through mains or pipes within the city. It is my understanding the El Segundo Energy Center pays this tax on gas that is currently used by the power plant. I also understand that the amount of tax revenue would change based on the volume of gas that would be used by the proposed El Segundo Power Facility Modification (ESPFM).

If the city were the lead agency, would it levy this tax on the proposed ESPFM? Is this interpretation of the energy users tax correct or is there other pertinent information that would apply?