

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	19-SB-100
Project Title:	SB 100 Joint Agency Report: Charting a path to a 100% Clean Energy Future
TN #:	236067
Document Title:	Pacific Coast Renewable Energy LLC Comments - Comment on comments
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Pacific Coast Renewable Energy LLC
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	12/19/2020 2:11:55 PM
Docketed Date:	12/21/2020

*Comment Received From: Pacific Coast Renewable Energy LLC
Submitted On: 12/19/2020
Docket Number: 19-SB-100*

Comment on comments

Please see attached

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Pacific Coast Renewable Energy LLC

December 19, 2020

Hello Terra Weeks,

RE: Comment on comments on Draft SB 100 Report

This comment is a bit tardy, but it is related to the comments submitted 12/18 on the Draft SB 100 Report.

Having read some of the comments on this report, it is clear most prospective equipment and service suppliers view the massive SB 100 induced infrastructure development undertaking quite covetously.

However, in addition to cleaning up the environment, California **should** consider what portion of any future expenditures will be remain in California in the form of jobs, business revenues, taxes, etc. Most renewable energy technology suppliers and developers poised for action off California's Coast likely would not be where they are today were it not for the funding supplied by California's ratepayers and taxpayers in the 1980s and thereafter.

A recent example is illustrative. On 18 November, UK's Prime Minister, Boris Johnson, issued a press release describing his Ten Point Plan for a Green Industrial Revolution, facilitated by a £12 billion government investment. He stated "My Ten Point Plan will create, support and protect hundreds of thousands of green jobs (*250,000 was cited*), whilst making strides towards net zero by 2050. Our green industrial revolution will be powered by the (offshore) wind turbines of Scotland and the North East..."¹

A November 25th article "UK lures floating wind builders, **tightens content rules** (*emphasis added*),"² adds some clarification. "The proposed domestic content target of 60% would impact development and manufacturing plans. On average, domestic content represents 48% of UK offshore wind project value on a lifetime basis ... but the share of capex is lower."

The CEC, CARB and CPUC team might also wish to talk with President-elect Biden's team regarding "The Biden Plan to Ensure the Future is 'Made in all of America' by all of America's Workers"³ to see how they can help.

Apparently, like California and the US, the UK is a good enough customer to subsidize installation of otherwise uneconomic technology, but may not be quite good enough to manufacture it.

Sincerely,

Larry Miles

¹ <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/pm-outlines-his-ten-point-plan-for-a-green-industrial-revolution-for-250000-jobs>

² https://www.reutersevents.com/renewables/wind/uk-lures-floating-wind-builders-tightens-content-rules?utm_campaign=NEP%20WIN%2016DEC20%20Newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_source=Eloqua&elqTrackId=9b5e6e928ff240d8935900d759c15aec&elq=8366eafb52884aacb19b49b2a8f10817&elqaid=59104&elqat=1&elqCampaignId=42139

³ <https://joebiden.com/made-in-america/>

