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Additional submitted attachment is included below.
Via CEC E-Comment System

December 17, 2020

Docket Unit
California Energy Commission
1516 9th Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Docket No. 16-RPS-03: Response of Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.
to Misleading Comments by TURN

To: California Energy Commission:

Shell Energy requests leave to respond to the inflammatory and false accusations
submitted by TURN in this Docket on December 16, 2020. By attacking Shell Energy rather
than addressing the substance of Shell Energy’s comments, TURN seeks to divert the
Commission’s attention from the language of the relevant statute (P.U. Code Section 399.13(b)).
Based on its failure to cite the statute, it appears that TURN acknowledges that the statute does
not support or justify the Commission’s attempt to regulate the terms of upstream suppliers’ RPS
contracts. Unfortunately, it also appears that TURN is more interested in rewriting the statute
than reducing costs for retail customers.

TURN’s rant fails to mention that Shell Energy’s interpretation of P.U. Code Section
399.13(b) relies upon and is consistent with the statutory language. The statute requires that a
retail seller’s contracts must be for a term of at least ten years. The language does not address
the terms of upstream supply contracts. TURN seems to believe that it alone knows what the
statute means, even if the language of the statute does not support TURN’s view. TURN is not
the legislature. TURN may believe that it writes the statutes, but it does not. This Commission
cannot adopt implementation regulations that are not based on the language of the statute. The
Commission must base its regulation on what the statute provides, not what TURN wishes the
statute to provide.

Shell Energy urges the Commission to ignore the intentionally sensational, inflammatory
and false language that TURN employs in an effort to press its own agenda. Shell Energy is an
upstanding market participant and has continuously and assiduously adhered to all applicable
ESP requirements. In fact, a recent (December 11, 2020) CPUC ALJ proposed decision in the
RPS proceeding (R.18-07-003) acknowledged that Shell Energy is on course to meet its long term RPS procurement obligation for the 2021-2024 RPS compliance period. Contrary to TURN’s claim, Shell Energy does not “skirt” regulatory requirements or “exploit” ambiguous compliance rules. Rather, Shell Energy devotes considerable resources to complying with all applicable statutes and regulations while minimizing costs for its customers.

Shell Energy urges the Commission to disregard the unprofessional, misleading and incendiary comments submitted by TURN.

Thank you for your consideration.

Very truly yours,

John W. Leslie
of
Dentons US LLP

Attorneys for Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.