

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	19-ERDD-01
<b>Project Title:</b>	Research Idea Exchange
<b>TN #:</b>	233796
<b>Document Title:</b>	Electric Trees Comments - Question
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Electric Trees
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	7/7/2020 1:54:35 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	7/7/2020

*Comment Received From: Electric Trees  
Submitted On: 7/7/2020  
Docket Number: 19-ERDD-01*

**Question**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

**From:** [ceo@electrictrees.com](mailto:ceo@electrictrees.com)  
**To:** [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)  
**Subject:** Question re 19-ERDD-01  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 7, 2020 12:30:30 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In considering project locations for "The Next EPIC Challenge: Reimagining Affordable Mixed-use Development in a Carbon Constrained Future" many locations such as Lassen County are significantly disadvantaged and although using a PG&E transmission line for power and being subject to PSPS events and actions called by PG&E these locations are not billed by PG&E. Due to low land costs and the covid-19 induced migration away from denser areas these project areas can be much more cost effective in reaching EPIC goals.

Can locations such as this which have demand impact on the PG&E system and which could participate in Demand adjustments via internet transported commands be eligible?

If the local distribution coop were to be a member of the effort and so be a direct PG&E customer would this be eligible?