

DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	19-OIR-01
Project Title:	Load Management Rulemaking
TN #:	232285
Document Title:	Claire Ann Warshaw Comments - Please ask participants who add video links to write video relevant content
Description:	Claire Ann Warshaw Comments - 2020_03_02 Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write video relevant content
Filer:	System
Organization:	Claire Ann Warshaw
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	3/2/2020 3:18:30 PM
Docketed Date:	3/2/2020

*Comment Received From: Claire Ann Warshaw
Submitted On: 3/2/2020
Docket Number: 19-OIR-01*

2020_03_02 Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write video relevant content

2020_03_02 Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write video relevant content

March 2, 2020

Dear California Energy Commission Demand Docket Staff:

I noticed that Mr. Uhler submitted a docket comment today with a SMUD board meeting video link from October 14, 2009. The link does not open on my laptop. It is unclear as to what the video is about. His associated docket comment hints at issues about data and trusting. I went to my internet search engine, put in SMUD board meeting October 14, 2009 and have no luck finding information about that decade ago plus meeting, though curious about this reference. Most SMUD employees, past and present might be. Perhaps he could spell out the link's URL address, though unfortunately, opening links is usually considered a less safe way to communicate too.

The SMUD board archive website shows meetings that go back a year. I am not that curious to want to explore this more.

It is annoying to see a docket comment video reference like that which is not explained, nor can be opened or easily researched. Work life can be hard enough and creating difficult or un-comprehensive information does not usually help Californians. I suppose he explained this video in today's meeting. (I was not there, nor did I listen to this meeting.) Whatever the case, the docket comment log will not necessarily reflect logical, safe and civil communication this way.

Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write out the video's relevant content.

Sincerely,

Claire Warshaw

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

2020_03_02 Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write video relevant content

March 2, 2020

Dear California Energy Commission Demand Docket Staff:

I noticed that Mr. Uhler submitted a docket comment today with a SMUD board meeting video link from October 14, 2009. The link does not open on my laptop. It is unclear as to what the video is about. His associated docket comment hints at issues about data and trusting. I went to my internet search engine, put in SMUD board meeting October 14, 2009 and have no luck finding information about that decade ago plus meeting, though curious about this reference. Most SMUD employees, past and present might be. Perhaps he could spell out the link's URL address, though unfortunately, opening links is usually considered a less safe way to communicate too.

The SMUD board archive website shows meetings that go back a year. I am not that curious to want to explore this more.

It is annoying to see a docket comment video reference like that which is not explained, nor can be opened or easily researched. Work life can be hard enough and creating difficult or un-comprehensive information does not usually help Californians. I suppose he explained this video in today's meeting. (I was not there, nor did I listen to this meeting.) Whatever the case, the docket comment log will not necessarily reflect logical, safe and civil communication this way.

Please ask participants who add video links to their docket comments to write out the video's relevant content.

Sincerely,

Claire Warshaw