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Objection to Reach Code Approval - Part 6 of 10 pdf

Letter to California Energy Commission with attachments re City of Santa Rosa Ordinance No. 2019-019.
Part 6 of 10-.pdf

Additional submitted attachment is included below.
Mr. Ken MacNab  
City Manager  
Town of Windsor  
9291 Old Redwood Highway  
Windsor, California 95492  

September 3, 2019  

Re: Town Ordinance Adopting All-Electric Reach Code  

Dear Mr. MacNab:  

As members of the building industry and citizens of the State of California, we are concerned that the Town of Windsor’s implementation of an All-Electric code will result in significant negative impacts to the environment and result in added threats to the health and safety of the community.

In addition, the implementation of the code will have substantial negative impacts to the economic viability constructing new homes which will further exacerbate the current housing crisis.

Accordingly, we do not believe this ordinance is exempt under Section 15308 of the CEQA Guidelines and requires further review and study.

Negative Impacts to the Environment and a Threat to Public Safety  

People looking to move to Windsor do so with the foremost intention of creating a safe home for their family. Second, they envision turning their homes into a welcoming space to gather and entertain, which in many instances, will include sharing a meal together that, weather permitting, can be prepared on an outdoor grill.

Needless to say, denying new residents of Windsor with the ability to connect their grills to natural gas will not dissuade these families from gathering and cooking outdoors on an open flame. Therefore, as a direct result of the implementation of the all-electric code, families will be forced to turn to less safe and higher CO2 emitting fuel sources such as wood, charcoal and propane.

According to the Environmental Protection Agency, the pounds of CO2 emitted per million British thermal unit of energy (the “CO2 Factor”) for natural gas is 53.06 (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2018). By comparison, the CO2 Factor for Wood and Wood Residuals is 93.80 (77% higher than natural gas), and the CO2 factor for Propane Gas is 61.46 (16% higher than natural gas).

Furthermore, Propane grills pose a substantially higher risk of causing home fires. According to the National Fire Protection Association (Ahrens, 2019), annually there are 10,200 home fires caused by grilling of which 7,500 (74%) involve grills fueled by liquid propane gas. By comparison, only 1,000 home
fires (9%) involve grills fueled by natural gas. Propane tanks also pose a safety risk to fire fighters as the tanks can leak or rupture during a wildfire and result in explosions.

In addition to outdoor cooking, many families seek to enjoy outdoor living by including either an outdoor fireplace and/or an outdoor fire pit in their backyard landscaping. With an all-electric code, the environmentally superior option of a natural gas fixture will be eliminated, leaving homeowners with the choice of a wood burning fireplace or firepit. According to the EPA one, wood burning stove can emit as much air pollution as five diesel trucks. The United Nations also recently issued a report that concluded that the two biggest culprits in the developed world in generating black carbon are wood burning and diesel vehicles. Black carbon is a problem because it absorbs heat, which, repeated on a global scale, is a major cause of short-term climate change.

Finally, reliance on a single energy source puts the health and safety of families at risk in the event of a wildfire, earthquake or other natural disaster. PG & E has stated that electricity may be shut off, for several days, when gusty winds and dry conditions, combined with heightened fire risk, are forecasted. Accordingly, families in an all-electric home may be denied access to heat or method to purify water during a natural disaster. In addition, families without power will be reliant on gasoline or diesel powered generators, a significant source of GHG emissions, whose impacts to the environment should also be reviewed.

Practical Impact to the Environment

According to the EPA (US Environmental Protection Agency, 2017), 5.2% of GHG emitted in 2017 by the United States was from the residential sectors of which 89.0% of GHG was emitted from the burning of fossil fuels, primarily for heating. There are 127,590,000 households in the United States, in 2018 permits were issued for 1,328,800 new housing units (or 1.03% of the existing households).

If all new homes built in the United States in 2018 were all-electric, the total estimated reduction in the US Annual GHG emission would only be 0.0536%.

However, we must also consider that the vast majority of residential GHG emissions from fossil fuels in the United States is due to the combustion of heating oil and propane in cold weather states. Due to its Mediterranean climate, the use of fossil fuels to heat homes in Windsor is a fraction of that of States with cold winters.

Therefore, the reduction of GHG through the implementation of an all-electric code, even if it were applied to all municipalities in the United States with mild winters, will have an extremely limited positive impact, if any at all, to climate change. After factoring in the unintended consequences, an all-electric code might actually increase greenhouse gas emissions.

Economic Justification: Consumer Bill Impacts and Lifecycle Costs and Savings

Frontier Energy, Inc., the co-author of the “2019 Cost-effectiveness Study: Low-Rise residential New Construction” (the “July 2019 Study”), also authored and published a study on their website in April 2019 entitled “Residential Building Electrification in California” (the “April 2019 Study”).

Although, the July 2019 Study indicated a cost savings with respect to consumer bills and lifecycle costs, the April 2019 Study clearly shows an increase in costs for “Bay Area” consumers purchasing new homes (see tables below).
The multiple data points for each color represent the different climate zones in each area. Colors of the dots show the location of the modeled homes: the San Francisco Bay Area including CZ03 and CZ04 (Bay Area), Sacramento including CZ12 (SMUD), and Southern California including CZ06, CZ09 and CZ10 (SoCal). Savings are relative to gas end uses. For retrofit homes, bill impacts reflect electrifying both HVAC and water heating systems. For new construction homes, bill impacts of electrifying an entire home are shown including electric air source heat pump, heat pump water heater, cookstove and clothes dryer.
Further, Frontier also states in the April 2019 study that:

“PG&E’s electric rates are assumed to increase faster than the natural gas rates due to wildfire risk and liability, while SCE’s, SMUD and LADWP’s rates are assumed to increase at the same pace at the gas utility in their service territory.”

However, the July 2019 Study assumed a “Statewide Electric Residential Average Rate” of 2% per year from 2020 to 2025 and 1% thereafter. It appears that Frontier used a lower rate escalation in their July 2019 Study versus their own, publicly available April 2019 Study. Therefore, we believe the positive cost benefits of the implementation of an all-electric code in Windsor are misstated.
**Marketability of New Homes**

Based on surveys conducted by the California Building Industry Association (California Building Industry Association, 2018):

- less than 10% of voters would choose an all-electric home;
- 80% of voters prefer homes with both electricity and gas, especially for cooking;
- 80% of voters oppose prohibiting the use of gas appliance; and
- 66% of voters oppose eliminating natural gas.

The idea of entertaining and cooking on a gas range or on a grill in the backyard is a critical part of the vision and emotional draw families have when looking to purchase a home. Eliminating a family’s option to use gas creates a significant marketing disadvantage against resale homes, accordingly home builders will be substantially disincentivized from building new, for sale homes.

**Closing**

Climate change is a real threat to our society, and we all need to do our part to combat global warming. However, the solutions to climate change are multi-faceted and complex, and we all have to carefully consider and study whether some of the proposed solutions, such as an all-electric code, will have any long-term effect on climate change or may even have a negative impact on the environment. If the goal is to provide the greatest reduction in greenhouse gas emissions, then there are better ways of achieving
such a goal as it relates to new home development. For example, building a more energy efficient home, with a tighter building envelope, increased insulation, better performing windows/doors and/or ultra-efficient appliances will do far more to reduce greenhouse gas emissions than replacing a tankless natural gas water heater and cooktop with electric versions.

Meanwhile, we cannot ignore the other problems we face as a society such as delivering quality health care to our residents, ending homelessness, and addressing the housing crisis. Implementation of the all-electric code is, at best, a marginal positive impact against climate change, while a substantially negative impediment to delivering new homes to families and keeping home prices affordable for future generations.

Regards,

WINDSOR-JENSEN LAND COMPANY, LLC

[Signature]

Tom Micheletti, Managing Member
References


October 15, 2019

VIA E-MAIL AND U.S. MAIL

Kenneth MacNab
Town Manager
Town of Windsor
9291 Old Redwood Highway
Windsor, CA 95492
kmacnab@townofwindsor.com

Re: Town of Windsor Proposed Adoption of All-Electric Residential Reach Code
(October 16, 2019 Town Council Meeting Agenda Item No. 11.2)

Dear Mr. MacNab:

As you know, this office represents William Gallaher with respect to the above-referenced matter. Thank you for providing courtesy notice of the second reading of the Town Council's proposed ordinance enacting the all-electric reach code.

I will not here recite the multiple bases of my client's opposition to the ordinance which I have previously provided in correspondence and comment at a prior Town Council meeting. However, I will note for the record that the timing of the Town's action on the proposed ordinance is curious given the highly publicized PG&E power outages that affected much of Northern California, including parts of the Town, only last week.

The PG&E shutdowns highlight a number of issues that the proposed ordinance presents that have yet to be addressed. Given the highly publicized nature of the outages, future homebuyers will naturally be more wary of purchasing all-electric homes. Will this cause such homes to sell for lower prices, thereby discouraging developers from building them? Will that cause the price of existing homes in Windsor to increase? Will it also encourage development in other areas without an all-electric reach code, thereby negating the code's purpose, increasing commute distances, and giving rise to traffic, air quality, and greenhouse gas impacts? Will the owners of all-electric homes be more likely to use generators, creating additional fire risks as well as air quality and greenhouse gas impacts? Will homes built under the reach code be equipped with storage batteries, which entail their own environmental impacts? As one of the attached articles notes, even homes equipped with solar power systems are affected by power outages, and battery systems "generally have up to two hours of backup power." How may this affect...
those relying on electrical power for heat in cold weather, particularly the elderly and sick? And note that deliberate shutdowns by PG&E are not the only source of outages; winter storms, earthquakes, fallen trees, wildfires, and even increased demand for electricity may also cause them as the attached document shows. The risk of power outages for all-electric homes is real, and entails any number of potential effects as discussed here and in my prior correspondence.

These questions are not academic, and the cursory analysis in the staff report does not address them. I have attached a number of recent articles on the PG&E shutdown which touch on these and other issues. Given the existence of these issues, it is plain that enactment of the ordinance is not exempt under CEQA. Accordingly, the Town must at the very least prepare an initial study before it can enact the ordinance.

Thank you for your and the Town's attention to this matter. Please do not hesitate to contact me should you have any questions or concerns about the foregoing.

Very truly yours,

MILLER STARR REGALIA

Matthew C. Henderson

MCH:ktw
Enclosures

cc: Jose M. Sanchez, Esq. (jsanchez@meyersnave.com, townclerk@townofwindsor.com)
    Maria De La O (mdelao@townofwindsor.com, townclerk@townofwindsor.com)
    Arthur F. Coon, Esq. (arthur.coon@msrlegal.com)