

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	19-SPPE-03
Project Title:	Sequoia Data Center
TN #:	231326
Document Title:	Record of Conversation about FAA Airspace Analysis
Description:	CEC Staff Report of Conversation with Cary Greene, Airport Planner, City of San Jose Airport Department. Communication about Applicant's Submitted FAA Airspace Analysis.
Filer:	Steve Kerr
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	12/27/2019 10:38:31 AM
Docketed Date:	12/27/2019

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1



**Siting, Transmission
and Environmental
Protection Division**

FILE: n/a

PROJECT TITLE: Sequoia Data Center

Docket: 19-SPPE-03

TECHNICAL AREA(s): Transportation

Telephone

Email

Meeting Location: N/A

NAME(s): Andrea Koch, Planner II,
Energy Commission

DATE: 12/20/19

TIME: 10:03 a.m.

WITH: Cary Greene, Airport Planner, City of San Jose Airport Department

SUBJECT: Communication about Applicant's Submitted FAA Airspace Analysis (TN 231257)

COMMENTS:

This Record of Conversation shows that Cary Greene, Airport Planner for the City of San Jose Airport Department, reviewed and approved of the applicant's submitted analysis (docketed under TN 231257) showing that the Sequoia project would not penetrate FAA protected surfaces. See attached e-mail.

CC:

Signed:

|s| _____

Name:

Andrea Koch, Planner II

From: Greene, Cary [mailto:CGreene@sjc.org]

Sent: Friday, December 20, 2019 10:03 AM

To: Koch, Andrea@Energy

Cc: Kerr, Steven@Energy; Hinde, Jeanine@Energy; Fooks, Brett@Energy; Knight, Eric@Energy

Subject: RE: Sequoia FAA surfaces report and FAA notification

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Andrea,

The applicant's exhibit is helpful and looks correct. The proposed structure elevations still must be filed with the FAA for FAR Part 77 review/determination, which the applicant states is underway according to Docket Number 19-SPPE-03. There are other technical criteria that the FAA would apply to its discretionary review, but I agree with the applicant that the FAA would likely issue the requisite "determination of no hazard" clearance(s), possibly with conditions.

I don't think your team needs to do anything with the exhibit, as it's the FAA review process that provides the airspace safety determination. The CEQA analysis for the project should reference the need for the FAR Part 77 review/determination along with addressing other applicable aviation-related regulations/policies (such as an avigation easement). However, if you still have any questions about anything on the exhibit, you're welcome to ask!

Thanks,
Cary