| DOCKETED | |
|------------------|--|
| Docket Number: | 19-SPPE-03 |
| Project Title: | Sequoia Data Center |
| TN #: | 230441 |
| Document Title: | Confidential SBGF Cultural Resources Technical Report With Appendices |
| Description: | This document includes the Appendices to the CRTR that were inadvertently left off TN 230367 |
| Filer: | Scott Galati |
| Organization: | DayZenLLC |
| Submitter Role: | Applicant Representative |
| Submission Date: | 10/30/2019 12:27:12 PM |
| Docketed Date: | 10/30/2019 |



October 25, 2019

Mr. Drew Bohan
Executive Director
California Energy Commission
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

Subject: Application for Confidential Designation

RESPONSE TO DATA REQUEST 71 THROUGH 73
CULTURAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL REPORT
Sequoia Backup Generating Facility (19-SPPE-3)

Dear Mr. Bohan,

C1-Santa Clara, LLC (C1), as owner of Sequoia Backup Generating Facility (WBGF), requests that the attached information be designated confidential pursuant to 20 California Code of Regulations (CCR) Section 2505. This information is being supplied to the California Energy Commission (CEC) as Response to Data Requests 71 through 73, Cultural Resources Technical Report.

In support of its Application for Confidential Designation, C1 provides the following information:

APPLICANT: C1-Santa Clara, LLC

ADDRESS: 2600 De La Cruz Blvd,

Santa Clara CA 95050

1(a). Title, date and description (including number of pages) of the record for which you request confidential designation.

Cultural Resources Technical Report, prepared by Rincon Consultants, Inc., for the CyrusOne Santa Clara 1 Data Center, dated September 2019.

1(b). Specify the part(s) of the record for which you request confidential designation.

The report identified above in 1(a) in its entirety:

2. State and justify the length of time the Commission should keep the record confidential.

The report identified above should be kept confidential indefinitely to protect potential cultural resources and sites. If the descriptions of the locations of the sites are released to the public domain, there is potential for looting of that site.

3(a). State the provisions of the Public Records Act or other law that allows the Commission to keep the record confidential, and explain why the provision(s) apply to the record.

The report identified above specifically identifies areas of potential cultural and historical significance. It is thus protected under Government Code Sections 6254(e) and 6254(k). Protection provided is analogous to that given to Native American sacred places under Section 6254(r) of the Government Code.

3(b). Discuss the public interest in nondisclosure of the record. If the record contains trade secrets or its disclosure would otherwise cause loss of a competitive advantage, please also state how it would be lost, the value of the information to the applicant, and the ease or difficulty with which the information could be legitimately acquired or duplicated by others.

The public interest will be served by nondisclosure by preventing looting of the cultural resources and sites described in the reports. Such looting would preclude scientific study of the sites to gain data about the cultural resources of the area.

4. State whether the record may be disclosed if it is aggregated with other information or masked to conceal certain portions (including but not limited to the identity of the applicant). State the degree of aggregation or masking required. If the data cannot be disclosed even if aggregated or masked, explain why.

C1 believes the CEC staff will require the specific information contained in the report to properly perform its analysis. Aggregation of the information would hinder a complete CEC analysis. However, C1 believes the CEC can incorporate a generalized summary of information contained in the report to properly state the basis for its analysis without disclosing information specific enough to facilitate looting.

5. State how the record is kept confidential by the applicant and whether it has ever been disclosed to a person other than an employee of the applicant. If it has, explain the circumstances under which disclosure occurred.

C1 has not disclosed any of the subject information to anyone other than its employees, attorneys, and consultants working on the SBGF. Moreover, this information will not be disclosed to any other persons employed by or working for C1 except on a "need to know" basis. C1 is marking this information "Confidential" and is instituting a policy that segregates this information from other SBGF files and that access to it be restricted to a designated confidential information manager or managers within C1 or its attorneys/consultants.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the information contained in this application for confidential designation is true, correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and I am authorized to make the application and certification on behalf of the applicant.

Scott A. Galati Counsel to C1-Santa Clara, LLC