

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	19-BSTD-08
Project Title:	Community Shared System Applications
TN #:	230251
Document Title:	Rosie Yacoub Comments - Oppose SMUD SolarShares program (19-BSTD-08)
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Rosie Yacoub
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/17/2019 8:44:44 AM
Docketed Date:	10/17/2019

Comment Received From: Rosie Yacoub
Submitted On: 10/17/2019
Docket Number: 19-BSTD-08

Oppose SMUD SolarShares program (19-BSTD-08)

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: rayacoub@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of [Rosie Yacoub](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#)
Subject: Oppose SMUD SolarShares program (19-BSTD-08)
Date: Thursday, October 17, 2019 7:50:09 AM

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Docket Office,

Please oppose the SMUD staff proposal that will deny thousands of new homeowners and renters the savings and security of rooftop solar and storage, and gut the state "Solar Homes" plan.

Instead, SMUD should

- 1) Withdraw their waiver request to the CA Energy Commission (CEC).
- 2) Get serious about reducing GHG emissions. Cut at least 5% per year, starting immediately. Focus on increasing renewable energy in the community, and phase out ownership of fossil fuel assets as quickly as possible (SMUD owns a 21% share in a fracking field in New Mexico).
- 3) Increase the solar choices in our community. SMUD can make it easier for renters of multi-family homes to get the full benefits of rooftop solar and for true community solar projects to spring up here.

Look, I am a SMUD customer, and would like to make sure all of my power comes from the sun. I don't see a way I can be part of community solar, and I am being told by solar installers that because of SMUD's policies, and the uncertainty generated by the proposed changes, that they don't know if solar is the right thing for me. What?! I also know of a school campus where there was interest in parking lot solar, but was also quashed because of SMUD's policies.

I understand that SMUD wants to minimize paying top dollar for energy it can't use (non-peak solar), so it can do right by its customers. But I feel that right way to do this is to work on policies that change over time and provide the structure to support solar coming online. Connection fees are not the right way to do this.

Sincerely,
Rosie Yacoub
Sacramento, CA 95822
rayacoub@yahoo.com