

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	19-BSTD-08
Project Title:	Community Shared System Applications
TN #:	230069
Document Title:	Steve Uhler Comments - 19-BSTD-08, and SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD) APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Steve Uhler
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	10/9/2019 8:34:48 AM
Docketed Date:	10/9/2019

Comment Received From: Steve Uhler
Submitted On: 10/9/2019
Docket Number: 19-BSTD-08

**19-BSTD-08, and SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT
(SMUD) APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED
SOLAR SYSTEM**

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

From: [Steve Uhler](#)
To: [Energy - Docket Optical System](#); Bohan.Drew@Energy; [Energy - Public Advisor's Office](#)
Subject: 19-BSTD-08, and SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD) APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM
Date: Tuesday, October 08, 2019 10:03:48 PM
Attachments: [SMUD Hearing Requirement First Component.mp4](#)

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please docket the contents of this email and attachments for the record in the proceeding per 20 CCR 1208 for proceeding:

Docket #: 19-BSTD-08 Project Title: Community Shared System Applications

19-BSTD-08, and SACRAMENTO MUNICIPAL UTILITY DISTRICT (SMUD) APPLICATION TO ADMINISTER A COMMUNITY SHARED SOLAR SYSTEM

Please see that the public adviser neutrally and publicly relates to the commission the video as my points I wish the commissioners to consider before they take action on any agenda item to consider TN229860 SMUD Community Solar Application.

Commissioners please consider the attached video and how a billing complaint for SMUD's SolarShares resulted in an adverse determination by the district and the customer was not allowed to appeal the determination to the board pursuant to PUC 12823 (d).

Pay attention to the statement "It has to be a actual billing error, according to the MUD Act" as it relates to a valid hearing request.

If this rule exists, why would SMUD subject their customers to the hearing process to have their bill corrected, for a SMUD billing error SMUD has identified?

Perhaps commissioners should require SMUD to provide the rule spoke of in the video and place it in the docket before proceeding on approval of SMUD's Community Solar Application.

Perhaps potential new home buyers should be told of such a rule.

Please consider how SMUD handles customer disputes.

Steve Uhler
sau@wwmpd.com