DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	18-RPS-02
Project Title:	Renewables Portfolio Standard Compliance Period 2 (2014-2016)
TN #:	227182
Document Title:	Steve Uhler Comments What conditions did SMUD satisfy to reduce total retail sales under PUC 399.30
Description:	N/A
Filer:	System
Organization:	Steve Uhler
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	2/27/2019 3:24:33 PM
Docketed Date:	2/27/2019

Comment Received From: Steve Uhler

Submitted On: 2/27/2019 Docket Number: 18-RPS-02

## RPS-18-02 What conditions did SMUD satisfy to reduce total retail sales under PUC 399.30

Additional submitted attachment is included below.

Renewables Portfolio Standard Compliance Period 2 (2014-2016) 18-RPS-02

Hello,

What conditions did SMUD satisfy to reduce total retail sales under PUC 399.30(c)(4)?

What was SMUD's reduction for the SMUD voluntary green pricing products or shared renewable energy resources?

Please name SMUD's voluntary green pricing products or shared renewable energy resources and provide SMUD's power source disclosure submissions and power content labels.

Where are SMUD's power content labels for the voluntary green pricing products for the years 2015 and 2016?

The Energy Commissions website has these labels.

https://www.energy.ca.gov/pcl/labels/2016\_labels/Sacramento\_Municipal\_Utility\_District.pdf

https://www.energy.ca.gov/pcl/labels/2015\_labels/Sacramento\_Municipal\_Utility\_District (SMUD).pdf

https://www.energy.ca.gov/pcl/labels/2014\_labels/all\_labels/Sacramento\_Municipal\_Utility District (SMUD).pdf

Please explain how a SMUD customer who left SMUD in 2015 is compensated for the difference in RPS claimed renewables for 2014 that appear to be applied to 2016, when comparing power content labels.

Here are SMUD submissions I am questioning and commenting on:

https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=226538

https://www.energy.ca.gov/portfolio/documents/verification\_results/cp02\_2014-2016/pous/Sacramento%20-%20Summary%20Claims%20Details.xlsx

Thanks,

Steve Uhler sau@wwmpd.com