

**DOCKETED**

<b>Docket Number:</b>	01-AFC-19C
<b>Project Title:</b>	SMUD Cosumnes Power Plant - Compliance
<b>TN #:</b>	225800
<b>Document Title:</b>	Steve Uhler Comments Energy Commission please verify SFA statements in TN225760
<b>Description:</b>	N/A
<b>Filer:</b>	System
<b>Organization:</b>	Steve Uhler
<b>Submitter Role:</b>	Public
<b>Submission Date:</b>	11/4/2018 2:23:13 PM
<b>Docketed Date:</b>	11/5/2018

*Comment Received From: Steve Uhler*  
*Submitted On: 11/4/2018*  
*Docket Number: 01-AFC-19C*

**Energy Commission please verify SFA statements in TN225760**

*Additional submitted attachment is included below.*

Energy Commission please verify SFA statements in TN225760  
<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/GetDocument.aspx?tn=225760>

Statement 1.

Perhaps the Energy Commission can confirm the Hedge Solar plant's true capacity?

Please compare these two views, scaled about the same, the CEC RPS ID for Hedge is 60687.

Photovoltaic Power Plant CEC RPS ID 60703 Capacity 1.0 MW

<https://www.google.com/maps/place/13345+Apple+Rd,+Wilton,+CA+95693/@38.4500581,-121.1653877,293m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x809a9351506394c1:0x50ca10b268733273!8m2!3d38.449382!4d-121.164657>

Photovoltaic Power Plant CEC RPS ID 60687 Capacity 0.485 MW

<https://www.google.com/maps/place/9250+Elder+Creek+Rd,+Sacramento,+CA+95829/@38.5046529,-121.3554721,289m/data=!3m1!1e3!4m5!3m4!1s0x809ac47f1ed951b9:0x577d6ddb01970f9c!8m2!3d38.5085184!4d-121.3567299>

I believe each of the two views are the entirety of the power plants and are of about the same land area.

Please confirm the existence of the other solar power plants listed in petition documents.

Statement 2.

Please have SFA identify the supervising attorney responsible for the LORS in this reply.

Please confirm the actual sources for lead (Pb).

Statement 3.

Please have SFA identify the supervising attorney responsible for the LORS in this reply.

Please have SFA clarify "Therefore, California Health and Safety Code 115000.1 to the CPP." in their statement.

Perhaps SFA staff have overlooked <https://www.ingaa.org/File.aspx?id=34488&v=d719ea13> as it addresses Natural Gas Transmission and Storage.

I believe Natural Gas Transmission and Storage is required to operate the Cosumnes Power Plant.

Statement 4.

Perhaps SFA staff are unaware that SMUD's Time of Day (TOD) rates change rates based on modeled peak energy demands (loads)?

The petition modeling appears to address peak loads.

I believe how peak loads are addressed will have a effect on system reliability, therefore TOD rates and consumer response may pose a reliability problem that has been overlooked.

Statement 5.

I believe PG&E is to make available the contents per “The Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986 “ (Prop 65).

[https://www.pge.com/includes/docs/pdfs/myhome/myaccount/explanationofbill/billinserts/5.16\\_Prop65.pdf](https://www.pge.com/includes/docs/pdfs/myhome/myaccount/explanationofbill/billinserts/5.16_Prop65.pdf)

Statement 6.

Perhaps the math should be checked in the petition, this is why a bring up identified math errors in SMUD's approved 2018 budget.

If no math was used to prepare the petition, my comment is not relevant to the petition.

Ever onward,

Steve Uhler  
sau@wwmpd.com