

From: THERESA ACERRO <thacerro@yahoo.com>
To: "Eric K. Solorio" <ESolorio@energy.state.ca.us>
CC: <PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 9/6/2010 3:48 PM
Subject: Pio Pico

| | |
|---------------|-------------|
| DOCKET | |
| 10-APC-01 | |
| DATE | SEP 06 2010 |
| RECD. | SEP 07 2010 |

Dear Eric K. Solorio ESolorio@energy.state.ca.us:

It is my understanding that there is precedence for building on a vernal pool site: the Lambie Energy Center, 5975 Lambie Road, Suisun City, CA 94585. The original 49mw peaker was called Panda West Power; name was changed to Lambie Energy Center. It was bought by PG&E; two more 49MW peakers were added. The three of them may have been renamed to Gilroy Energy Center by PG&E. I think it was completed (commissioned) 12/15/2002 and tested in 1/2003. There are several plants at that energy center now. The first 3 are the ones that went through the negotiations over the vernal pools. They settled upon 6 to one mitigation. There must be a record of this in the CEC files. This is no longer on line. Could this record be included in the documents for this site, please?

Pio Pico should not be allowed to build with any less than 6 to 1 mitigation for destruction of the pools in Otay. 1.8 in the application is ridiculous! 10 to 1 would be better since the pools in the Otay parcel are rarer than those up North.

Sincerely,
 Theresa Acerro
 PO Box 8697
 Chula Vista, CA 91912
 619 425-5771E-mail: PublicAdviser@energy.state.ca.us