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Attorneys for the CALIFORNIA
UNIONS FOR RELIABLE ENERGY
California Unions for Reliable Energy (“CURE”) submits this fifth status report pursuant to the Committee’s December 22, 2009 Scheduling Order for the Genesis Solar Energy Project (“Project”).

Energy Commission Staff anticipates releasing its Revised Staff Assessment (“Revised SA”) for the Project on June 11, 2010. At the April 26, 2010 status conference and in its fourth status report, CURE explained that the Revised SA will contain significant new, critical information about the Project’s environmental setting, analyses of significant impacts, and required mitigation. The April 19-21, May 5, and May 10-11, 2010 staff assessment workshops revealed that the Revised SA will provide significant new information about the Project’s environmental setting, analyses of significant impacts, and required mitigation for the three core resource areas in the proceeding: biological resources, cultural resources, and soil and water resources. Staff’s May 27, 2010 Status Report 3 (DRAFT) also indicates the myriad of changes coming in the Revised SA for the core issues in the case.

For biological resources alone, the Revised SA will contain significant new information including, but not limited to, the following:

- Mitigation measures for significant impacts to birds;
- Mitigation measures for significant impacts to desert tortoise;
- Environmental setting, analysis of significant impacts, and mitigation measures for special status plants;
- Mitigation measures for significant impacts to American badger;
- Mitigation measures for significant impacts to kit fox;
- Mitigation measures for significant impacts to burrowing owl;
• Environmental setting, analysis of significant impacts, and mitigation measures for Mojave fringe-toed lizard;

• Environmental setting, analysis of significant impacts, and mitigation measures for Couch’s spadefoot toad; and

• Analysis of significant impacts and mitigation measures for groundwater dependent vegetation.

Additionally, on May 19, 2010, the Project Applicant filed a document entitled “Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario: Southern California Edison Colorado River Substation.” The document states that,

because the proposed expansion of the [Colorado River Substation] is a reasonably foreseeable development scenario, a description of the expansion and any associated environmental impacts will be addressed in the NEPA and CEQA-equivalent documents being prepared by the BLM and the CEC for the Genesis project.1

The proposed expansion of the Colorado River Substation was not evaluated in the Staff Assessment/Draft Environmental Impact Statement (SA/DEIS). Thus, CURE can only assume that the analysis to which the “Reasonably Foreseeable Development Scenario: Southern California Edison Colorado River Substation” document refers, will occur in the Revised SA. This is yet another piece of significant new information that CURE, other intervenors, and the public will have to review after release of the Revised SA and prior to testimony.

The Project applicant also submitted “Minor Changes to the Genesis Solar Energy Project Description” on May 21, 2010. The document states that “[a]dditional information is expected in the next few weeks regarding the surveys that were done...At that time, analysis will be conducted of the information that is

available.” The Commission is required to evaluate the Project changes pursuant to CEQA, the Warren-Alquist Act, and Energy Commission regulations. Likewise, CURE, other intervenors, and the public must have the opportunity to assess the Project changes. Until all parties and the public have had the chance to evaluate the Project changes, the Project cannot proceed to testimony or hearings.

At the April 26, 2010 status conference and in its fourth status report, CURE expressed that, because significant new information will be made available more than a week after CURE’s opening testimony is due, it is unreasonable to expect CURE to expend valuable resources on testimony when the majority of the testimony, if not all of it, would be useless upon release of significant new information in the Revised SA.

CURE also stated that the Commission’s direction to prepare testimony on the Revised SA only four working days after its release is unreasonable. Four days is a patently inadequate amount for time for any party to adequately evaluate new data and the validity of conclusions drawn from it in the Revised SA, prepare testimony (including any necessary exhibits) regarding impacts and mitigation measures, and produce a final document for filing.

Finally, CURE explained that the schedule prohibits meaningful review of the Project and prohibits full participation by the public, as required by the Warren-Alquist Act, CEQA, and Energy Commission regulations.
At the April 26, 2010 status conference and in its fourth status report, CURE proposed reasonable changes to the schedule that would provide all parties and the public adequate time to intelligently weigh the environmental effects of the Project. CURE’s concerns about the schedule were not heeded. Once again, CURE strongly urges the Commission to consider the schedule for this case, as set forth below.

- Release of Revised SA: June 11, 2010
- Testimony on Revised SA: June 25, 2010
- Prehearing Conference Statements: June 29, 2010
- Prehearing Conference: July 1, 2010
- Evidentiary Hearings: July 12 and 13, 2010

CURE’s proposed schedule would allow all parties an additional two weeks to review the Revised SA and prepare testimony. Most importantly, CURE’s proposed schedule requires no change to the prehearing conference or evidentiary hearing dates. Moreover, the proposed schedule still provides more than two weeks between testimony and evidentiary hearings. Thus, the Commission and all parties will have the necessary time to prepare for hearings.

As it stands, CURE will not file testimony in the area of biological resources until it has the opportunity to review the Revised SA. CURE requires more than four working days to review and assess the significant information described above and to prepare testimony.

Finally, as the Commission is aware, CURE petitioned to inspect confidential cultural resources documents for the Project pursuant to a nondisclosure
agreement. The Commission granted our petition, however because the Bureau of Land Management (“BLM”) requested reconsideration of the Commission’s decision, the documents have not been released. Until CURE reviews the detailed cultural resources data, it is unable to prepare testimony in the area of cultural resources. The date upon which CURE will file its testimony on cultural resources can only be determined when BLM’s obstructionism is resolved.
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Attorneys for the CALIFORNIA UNIONS FOR RELIABLE ENERGY
Declaration of Service

I, Carol Horton, declare that on May 27, 2010, I served and filed copies of the attached **STATUS REPORT NUMBER 5 FOR THE CALIFORNIA UNIONS FOR RELIABLE ENERGY**, dated May 27, 2010. The original document, filed with the Docket Office, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/genesis_solar](http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/genesis_solar).

The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission’s Docket Office via email and U.S. mail.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at Sacramento, California on May 27, 2010.

/s/
Carol Horton
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