Areas of Committee concern in the Genesis SA:

Executive Summary Pg: 19: Staff has concluded that with just two exceptions, the implementation of its recommended mitigation measures – described in the conditions of certification – will mitigate all potential environmental impacts of the GSEP to a level of less than significant.

Committee Comment: Please state the exceptions.

Pg. B.1-28: Staff has not developed and analyzed detailed layouts for the ACC system. However, it is assumed that the ACC system would be located where the cooling towers are currently proposed.

Committee Comment: If the Applicant chooses to change its design to include an ACC system, how will that analysis affect the current schedule?

Pg. C.2-5: Staff is awaiting further guidance from USFWS to determine whether a federal Eagle Act take permit is warranted for the Palen Project.

Committee Comment: How will the USFWS analysis and the timing of the analysis affect the current schedule?

Pg. C.2-6: Staff currently has insufficient information to fully assess the indirect and cumulative impacts to groundwater-dependent vegetation, but these impacts may be considered significant under the Proposed Project and the Reduced Acreage Alternative. Impacts from the Dry Cooling Alternative are identical to those from the Proposed Project, except that this alternative would eliminate any potential Project impacts to groundwater-dependent vegetation.

Committee Comment: When will staff have sufficient information and how will the lack of information affect the current schedule?

Pg. C.9-3: Later this year, staff plans to file a request for an Order Instituting an Informational Proceeding to address this issue.

Committee Comment: How will Staff’s request for an Informational Proceeding affect the current schedule?