

DOCKET

09-AFC-7

DATE JUN 18 2010

RECD. JUN 18 2010

From: Scott Debauche <SDebauche@aspeneg.com>
To: "Asolomon@energy.state.ca.us" <Asolomon@energy.state.ca.us>
CC: Amanda Stennick <Astennic@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 6/18/2010 12:43 PM
Subject: FW: FW: Blythe Solar Socioeconomics Question

Hi Alan. This email chain needs to be docked for both Palen and Blythe Socio. Thanks so much, Scott

Scott Debauche
Environmental Planner
Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
(323) 381-8999 Cell
(818) 597-8001 Fax

From: Larson, Dennis [Dennis.Larson@aecom.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2010 12:38 PM
To: Scott Debauche
Cc: Amanda Stennick; Lindner, Carl
Subject: RE: Blythe Solar Socioeconomics Question

Hi Scott -

The development impact fee was not based on the linear facilities, but on administrative and warehouse space related to each power block. We did not have complete information regarding facility location at the time of writing. Therefore, to be conservative, we assumed that the project would pay the full fee. However, my understanding is the same as yours in that the project wouldn't pay a school impact fee on BLM land. As a result, the school impact fee should be \$0 for both Palen and Blythe.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions. Thanks.

Dennis Larson
Design + Planning
AECOM
D + 1 619.684.6936

-----Original Message-----

From: Scott Debauche [mailto:SDebauche@aspeneg.com]
Sent: Tuesday, February 09, 2010 11:07 AM
To: Larson, Dennis
Cc: Amanda Stennick
Subject: Blythe Solar Socioeconomics Question

Hi Dennis. For the Blythe Solar Project the AFC indicates that a School Impact Fee would be paid on 246,800 square feet of development (which equals roughly 5.67 acres). The project description indicates that the project would be located on 9,400 acres of BLM land, with BSPP

disturbing 7,030 acres, and BSPP facilities occupying 5,950 acres of land.

My question for you is, what development was used to determine the School Impact Fee? It is my understanding that development within BLM lands would not be required to pay a School Impact Fee. I assumed it was the linear facilities but need clarification from you.

As I am also preparing the Socioeconomics Staff Assessment for the Palen Solar Project, which also indicates a School Impact Fee payment. Please let me know if that was determined in the same manor as Blythe. Thanks,

Scott Debauche
Environmental Planner
Aspen Environmental Group
30423 Canwood Street, Suite 215
Agoura Hills, CA 91301
(323) 381-8999 Cell
(818) 597-8001 Fax

DISCLAIMER:

"The information contained in this e-mail is confidential and may be privileged. It is intended for the addressee only. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete this e-mail immediately. The contents

of this e-mail must not be disclosed or copied without the sender's consent. We cannot accept any responsibility for viruses, so please scan

all attachments. The statements and opinions expressed in this message are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the company."