

From:"Tony zhou (MLG)" <Tony.Zhou@flextronics.com>To:<docket@energy.state.ca.us>CC:<dighe.rajesh@gmail.com>Date:5/2/2011 4:37 PMSubject:FW: Attend Mariposa Committee Conference - May 05

H Madam, Sir,

This porject will damage the air quality of the MTN Hkouse City. It looks setting up the time bom in our back yards. More details are below from us to agaist the project built in our back yards:

* proposed site. H * on proposed site	Williamson Act Contract does not allow Electrical Facility Mariposa Power Plant is not a public need at the moment on the ence violates Policy 13 of Alameda County ECAP Mariposa Power Plant is not a allowed permissible Infrastructure
* this power plant (Environmental I Environmental J Generation AB32	Applicant assumed there will be no-significant impact because of and fed it into the Mariposa EIR analysis mpact Report) causing incosistencies in its report. Applicant wrongly used year 2000 Census data used for usice Analysis consideration California State is going against its own Renewable Energy 2 initiaitve to reduce GHG emissions Power Plant causes big Environmental Justice issue because of ce on Minority Population Mountain House Community Alameda County clearly supporting this power plant for its
financial benefits * Mariposa LLC *	
* Alameda County inadequate * * agricultural oper * *	Outreach to Mountain on Mariposa power plant application No Need Analysis done for Power Generation Mariposa inconsistent on Large Parcel Agriculture Land No land restoration plan explained by applicant on proposed space land site Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use Power Plant Plumes hazard to Byron Airport Risk analysis on Gas pipeline safety insufficient No project Alternative during analysis ignored No consideration towards Innovative ways for Smarter Peaker
* agricultural oper * * *	No Need Analysis done for Power Generation Mariposa inconsistent on Large Parcel Agriculture Land No land restoration plan explained by applicant on proposed space land site Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use Power Plant Plumes hazard to Byron Airport Risk analysis on Gas pipeline safety insufficient No project Alternative during analysis ignored

Tony Zhou a resident in MTN House, CA Begin forwarded message:

From: Rajesh Dighe <> Date: April 28, 2011 10:59:40 PM PDT To: undisclosed-recipients:; Subject: Attend Mariposa Committee Conference - May 05

Hi Residents

The Presiding member's descision is out.

I encourage residents of Mountain House to drop an email expressing concerns around Mariposa at below address:

To: <mailto:docket@energy.state.ca.us> Subject: Docket

09-AFC-03

Also attend California Engery Commission(CEC) Committe Conference in Mountain House on May 05. 2011

Time: 3:00 PM.

Address: MHCSD Board Room, 230 South Sterling Drive, Suite 101 Mountain House, CA 95391

<http://www.energy.ca.gov/calendar/events/index.php?com=detail&eID=1408> http://www.energy.ca.gov/calendar/events/index.php?com=detail&eID=1408

Potential Serious Legal Violiations and pollution nuisance to Mountain House from Mariposa Power Plant

\* Williamson Act Contract does not allow Electrical
 Facility

 Mariposa Power Plant is not a public need at the moment
 Mariposa Power Plant is not a public need at the moment
 Mariposa Power Plant is not a allowed permissible

 Infrastructure on proposed site

 Applicant assumed there will be no-significant impact
 because of this power plant and fed it into the Mariposa EIR analysis
 (Environmental Impact Report) causing incosistencies in its report.
 Applicant wrongly used year 2000 Census data used for

 Environmental Jusice Analysis consideration

 California State is going against its own Renewable
 Energy Generation AB32 initiaitve to reduce GHG emissions

*	Power Plant causes big Environmental Justice issue		
because of pollution nuisance on Minority Population Mountain House			
Community			
*	Alameda County clearly supporting this power plant for		
its financial benefits			
*	Huge million dollar community benefit given to Alameda		
County by Mariposa LLC			
*	Mountain gets no financial benefit but pollution		
*	Mountain House Community Health impact not sufficiently		
analyzed			
*	Alameda County Measure D Initiative (Open space Land		
east of Alameda County) viola	ted		
*	Outreach to Mountain on Mariposa power plant application		
inadequate			
*	No Need Analysis done for Power Generation		
*	Mariposa inconsisetent on Large Parcel Agriculture Land		
*	No land restoration plan explained by applicant on		
proposed agricultural open space land site			
*	Project in-compatible with Contra Costa Airport land use		
*	Power Plant Plumes hazard to Byron Airport		
*	Risk analysis on Gas pipeline safety insufficient		
*	No project Alternative during analysis ignored		
*	No consideration towards Innovative ways for Smarter		
Peaker Power Generation which throws zero pollution			
	·		

Rajesh Dighe Mountain House Resident CEC Intervener, Opposing Mariposa Power Plant

Legal Disclaimer:

The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential. It is intended to be read only by the individual or entity to whom it is addressed or by their designee. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are on notice that any distribution of this message, in any form, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete or destroy any copy of this message