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Introduction

Pursuant to the Committee Status Conference and Order dated September 13, 2010, the following is Robert Sarvey’s recommendation on the preparation of a Preliminary Staff Assessment a Final Staff Assessment, and a project schedule.

Preliminary and Final Staff Assessment

This project involves many complicated issues. The project is located in the BAAQMD but the emissions impact the San Joaquin Valley. The project is located near the Byron airport and aviation issues may need to be adjudicated. The project is located in a sensitive area for biological resources and the mitigation must be developed. There are land use issues as the project is being located in an area preserved for agriculture and open space as decided by the voters of Alameda County with the passage of Measure D. Alternatives exist both for the project location, the project equipment and also the generating technology. Worker Safety and fire protection will also be a prominent issue. This is not a project that is unopposed and there are several interveners and more should be expected. Other issues may exist after the issuance of the Preliminary Staff Assessment and the parties will need ample time to resolve their differences.
I would propose two workshops after the issuance of the PSA. After that the Staff should be able to issue a Final Staff Assessment if and only if the FDOC has been issued for obvious reasons. Previously the CEC hearing office has opined that a Final Staff Assessment is unnecessary.

The FSA operates as the equivalent of an environmental impact report under the California Environmental Quality Act. (City of Morgan Hill vs. the BAAQMD (2004) 118 Cal.App.4th 861, 867, 878, see also Public Resources Code Section 21080.5, subd. (a) [Exemption form CEQA for Certified Regulatory Programs] Despite the legal requirements to issue an FSA there are practical reasons for the Commission to follow the PSA, FSA, PMPD sequence. Generally a Staff Assessment and a Revised Staff Assessment format have been utilized only in SPPE cases where a mitigated negative declaration is issued by the Commission. Where there is a lot of controversy and interveners the more formal and established PSA and FSA issuance should be utilized as it allows the parties more time to identify and agree on disputed issues and it reduces hearing time and preserves other scarce Commission resources. In a case such as this where the nearest municipality and possibly the airport land use commission oppose the project more opportunities for dialogue and public participation enhance the final decision.

Proposed Schedule

For the most part I think Staff’s August 23rd proposed schedule is workable. The FSA should not be issued before the FDOC which may lead in a delay to the proposed schedule. Allowing only one month for the Committee to make a decision on all of the complicated issues in this project may be inadequate. I propose the following schedule.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Staff files Staff Assessment (SA)</td>
<td>October 20, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff Assessment workshop</td>
<td>November 3, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second staff Assessment Workshop</td>
<td>November 16, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Determination of Compliance</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff Final Staff Assessment</strong></td>
<td><strong>December 20, 2010</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidentiary hearings</td>
<td>January 11-12, 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(PMPD)</td>
<td>March 16, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committee Hearing on PMPD</td>
<td>April 8, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of public comment period on PMPD</td>
<td>April 18, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addendum/Revised PMPD</td>
<td>April 30, 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Commission Decision</td>
<td>May 6, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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