

From: Thomas Gibson <TGIBSON@dfg.ca.gov>
To: Kourtney Vaccaro <KVaccaro@energy.state.ca.us>
CC: <tgulessarian@adamsbroadwell.com>, <ella.gannon@bingham.com>, <BBrizzee@...>
Date: 7/12/2011 4:24 PM
Subject: Re: Calico Solar Project Amendment - Initiation of Consultation

Ms. Vaccaro:

Thank you for your email summarizing our telephone conversation of July 7, 2011. As we discussed and as you mentioned in your summary, I will discuss this matter with the appropriate people within DFG, and then I, or more likely Senior Staff Counsel Steven Ingram, will provide DFG's response to the committee.

Regards,

Tom

DOCKET
08-AFC-13C
DATE July 1, 2011
RECD July 13, 2011

Thomas Gibson
 General Counsel
 CA Dept. of Fish & Game
 1416 9th Street, Suite 1341
 Sacramento, CA 95814
 tgibson@dfg.ca.gov
 Phone 916.654.5295
 Fax 916.651.7643

>>> Kourtney Vaccaro <KVaccaro@energy.state.ca.us> 7/7/2011 4:26 PM

>>>

Dear Mr. Gibson:

Thank you for taking time to speak with me earlier today by telephone.

This email confirms my understanding of our conversation.

As I explained, I called you (CDFG General Counsel) on behalf of the Energy Commission committee assigned to the proceeding known as the Calico Solar Project Amendment to advise you of the committee's July 1, 2011 ruling in that proceeding. A copy of the ruling is attached for your convenience.

I summarized the pertinent facts and determinations of the ruling, including the committee's commitment to confer with CDFG regarding which public agency will serve as CEQA lead agency over the photovoltaic (PV) portion of the proposed project amendment. As stated in the July 1 ruling, the Energy Commission has exclusive certification jurisdiction over the project's thermal powerplant component and related facilities and accordingly, must serve as the sole CEQA lead agency over these project elements. Although the Energy Commission has no certification jurisdiction over the PV component, either the Energy Commission or CDFG

could serve as lead agency over this project component.

You advised me that you will review the July 1 ruling, discuss the matter with appropriate individuals within your agency, and provide a response to the committee.

Finally, to ensure transparency in my communication with you today regarding the existence and nature of the July 1 ruling, I identified several individuals to receive a courtesy copy of this email. These individuals include the committee assigned to the Calico Solar Project Amendment proceeding, their advisors, and representatives of the parties to the proceeding.

Please let me know if I have misstated or misunderstood our discussion.

Thank you for your courtesy.

Kourtney Vaccaro
Hearing Adviser II
California Energy Commission
(916) 654-4328