

Felicia Miller - RE: DA Questions

From: "Susan Strachan" <strachan@dcn.org>
To: "Felicia Miller" <Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 5/27/2009 1:32 PM
Subject: RE: DA Questions

Hi Felicia – We need some clarification on the three Socioeconomic items below. To be honest these seem more like Data Requests than Data Adequacy comments.

Please provide details on water and wastewater capacities for conveyance and at the treatment plants and if there is an expected impact cost to improve services, if necessary. Also, please identify if any water and waste water related assessment districts or community facilities districts, if any.

Please provide details on the Fire District and Emergency Response CFD'

Please provide expected costs associated with the need for providing additional personnel for fire protection, law enforcement, emergency response and medical facilities, if necessary. Please provide details on the Fire District and Emergency Response CFD's.

Thanks for your help.

Susan Strachan
 Strachan Consulting
 strachan@dcn.org
 530-220-7038 c
 530-757-7038 o

DOCKET	
09-AFC-2	
DATE	_____
RECD.	June 04 2009

From: Felicia Miller [mailto:Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 27, 2009 12:39 PM
To: Susan Strachan
Subject: DA Questions

No conference call option at this time - let me know what specifics and I'll talk to Bob. If he's amiable, I'll see if he's available to talk to you or Sarah.

I'm crunching to get your packet in the mail early next week - much needs to be done by then - including meeting with Melissa, but I'm pretty sure I can pull it off. F

>>> "Susan Strachan" <strachan@dcn.org> 5/19/2009 7:35 AM >>>

Hi Felicia –

I am sorry I missed you yesterday. I have another meeting at the CEC at 10:00 today. I will stop by afterward.

My responses to your Data Adequacy comments from your email yesterday are in red below:

Visual Resources:

Appendix B (i) (3)	A schedule indicating when permits outside the authority of the commission will be obtained and the steps the applicant has taken or plans to take to obtain such permits.
-----------------------	--

Other than certification by the CEC, no state, federal, or local permits are required by the project for Visual Resources.

Biology:

I've been working on Almond 2's data adequacy, and can't find any mention of a Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) or other worker training regarding the protection of biological resources. Thanks, Brian McCollough, Biologist

Brian is correct. The AFC doesn't address a Worker Environmental Awareness Program. We know that that development with the WEAP program will be a CEC permit conditions and TID will comply with it.

Waste Management:

Do you know how close the applicant is to deciding which route they'll choice for the pipeline? Ellie Townsend-Hough, Chemical Engineer

We are still waiting to hear from PG&E. We anticipate that a decision will be made late spring/early summer.

Please let me know if you have any additional questions/comments.

Susan Strachan
Strachan Consulting
strachan@dcn.org

530-220-7038 c
530-757-7038 o

From: Felicia Miller [mailto:Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Monday, May 18, 2009 3:44 PM
To: Susan Strachan
Subject: Almond 2 Data Adeq

Hi Susan,

Sorry I missed you today. I've got a few additional questions regarding data adequacy - can you please assist? Thanks, Felicia

Visual Resources:

Appendix B (i) (3)	A schedule indicating when permits outside the authority of the commission will be obtained and the steps the applicant has taken or plans to take to obtain such permits.
-----------------------	--

Biology:

I've been working on Almond 2's data adequacy, and can't find any mention of a Worker Environmental Awareness Program (WEAP) or other worker training regarding the protection of biological resources. Thanks, Brian McCollough, Biologist

Waste Management:

Do you know how close the applicant is to deciding which route they'll choice for the pipeline? Ellie Townsend-Hough, Chemical Engineer

Felicia Miller - A2PP Cultural Resources DA Comments

From: <Sarah.Madams@CH2M.com>
To: <strachan@dcn.org>, <Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us>, <rcbaysinger@tid.org>, <JDH@eslawfirm.com>
Date: 5/21/2009 1:22 PM
Subject: A2PP Cultural Resources DA Comments

Good Afternoon –

Below are the responses to the Cultural Resources DA comment. Response is in red.

Sarah Madams
CH2M HILL
2485 Natomas Park Dr., Ste. 600
Sacramento, CA 95833
Phone: 916-286-0249
Cell: 916-468-8072
Fax: 916-614-3449



Please consider the environment before printing this email

From: Felicia Miller [mailto:Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Wednesday, May 20, 2009 3:34 PM
To: Susan Strachan
Subject: DA Cultural

Susan,

We need additional clarification regarding cultural resources; could you please clarify?

Please provide evidence that there were no known cultural resources listed under a city and country ordinance.

Neither the City or the County maintain any lists of historical resources or have ordinances that list particular cultural resource properties. This was confirmed by telephone calls on May 21, 2009 Tom Westbrook/City to Ceres Planner and the Planner-on-duty at Stanislaus County by Susan Strachan.

Felicia Miller, Project Manager

Felicia Miller - A2PP Traffic and Transportation

From: "Susan Strachan" <strachan@dcn.org>
To: "Felicia Miller" <Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 5/21/2009 6:24 AM
Subject: A2PP Traffic and Transportation
CC: "Susan Strachan" <strachan@dcn.org>
Attachments: Traffic Revised Table 5 12-5R CEC 052109.pdf

Felicia – Below are responses in red to staff's Traffic and Transportation comments.

Traffic/Transportation:

- estimated % of current traffic flows for passenger vehicles and trucks, and id of any road features affecting public safety

Attached is a revised Table 5.12-5 which includes the percentage of current traffic flows for the trucks. The percentage is derived from the Average Daily Traffic number included in the table. Passenger vehicles make up the remaining traffic percentage. Regarding the identification of any road features affecting public safety, the A2PP project will not require any road modifications. Therefore, there should be no impact on public safety.

- assessment if construction and operation impacts of the proposed project on transportation facilities ...
estimated changes to daily average and peak traffic counts, levels of service ...

The level of service assessment can be found in Sections 5.12.3.2.3 and 5.12.3.2.4. Daily and peak hour construction traffic estimates are provided in Section 5.12.3.2.1.

- name title phone address of official who was contacted within each agency and also provide the name of the official who will serve as contact person for CEC staff - provide name of person contacted at CHP, Hazardous Material Licensing Program

As identified in Table 5.12-12: Agency Contacts for Traffic and Transportation, for Caltrans and the City of Ceres the Officer on Duty answers the phone. Since there is not a single point of contact, such as with Stanislaus County, the name of a specific person is not identified in the table. The same applies to the CHP Hazardous Material Licensing Program. The Officer on Duty answers the phone. Therefore a specific name was not provided in the table. The phone numbers and addresses for all of the agencies are identified.

Please let me know if you have any further questions/comments. Thanks.

Susan Strachan
Strachan Consulting
strachan@dcn.org
530-220-7038 c
530-757-7038 o

TABLE 5.12-5R
Study Highway Segment Operations – Existing Conditions

Highway	Location	Roadway Classification	Number of Lanes	ADT ^c	Truck Percentage ^c	K-Factor ^c	Daily Capacity	Daily V/C Ratio	LOS	Acceptable LOS Threshold
SR 99	North of Crows Landing Road	Freeway ^a	6	118,000	<u>14%</u>	10.3	123,600	0.95	E	D
SR 99	South of Mitchell Road	Freeway ^a	6	108,000	<u>13%</u>	11.9	142,800	0.76	C	D
SR 132	East of El Vista Avenue	Principal Arterial ^b	4	24,400	<u>10%</u>	9.8	35,300	0.69	B	D
SR 132	West of El Vista Avenue	Principal Arterial ^b	4	26,600	<u>10%</u>	10	36,000	0.74	C	D
SR 132	West of Carpenter Road	Minor Arterial ^b	2	14,400	<u>12%</u>	9.6	19,200	0.75	C	D
I-205	West of I-5	Freeway	4	101,000	<u>11%</u>	14.6	116,800	0.86	D	D
I-5	North of I-205	Freeway	10	160,000	<u>26%</u>	13.9	278,000	0.58	A	D
SR 120	West of SR 99	Freeway	4	70,000	<u>6%</u>	14	112,000	0.63	B	D
I-580	North of SR 132	Freeway	4	37,000	<u>18%</u>	10	80,000	0.46	A	D

^aSource: City of Ceres General Plan

^bSource: City of Modesto General Plan

^cSource: 2007 Caltrans Traffic Counts

Felicia Miller - RE: A2 DA - Project Overview

From: "Susan Strachan" <strachan@dcn.org>
To: "Felicia Miller" <Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us>
Date: 5/15/2009 2:43 PM
Subject: RE: A2 DA - Project Overview

Hi Felicia –

My responses to your question are listed in Red below.

*** A full-page color photographic reproduction depicting a representative above ground section of the transmission line route prior to construction and a full-page color photographic simulation of that section of the transmission line route after construction. The t-line before and after photos are in Section 5.13, Visual Resources, KOP 5 (the last KOP), Figure 5.13-6.**

*** A description of how the route and additional transmission facilities were selected, and the consideration given to engineering constraints, environmental impacts, resource conveyance constraints, and electric transmission constraints; The t-line engineering information is included in Section 3.2 of Section 3.0 Electric Transmission. The environmental information for the t-lines is included in all of the AFC environmental resource sections.**

Please let me know if you have further questions or need additional information.

Susan Strachan
Strachan Consulting
strachan@dcn.org
530-220-7038 c
530-757-7038 o

From: Felicia Miller [mailto:Fmiller@energy.state.ca.us]
Sent: Friday, May 15, 2009 1:53 PM
To: Susan Strachan
Subject: A2 DA - Project Overview

Hi Susan,

Staff's working on DA sheets - I got stuck working on the Project Overview sheets and can't locate the following:

Project Overview - Data Adequacy

*** A full-page color photographic reproduction depicting a representative above ground section of the transmission line route prior to construction and a full-page color photographic simulation of that section of the transmission line route after construction.**

*** A description of how the route and additional transmission facilities were selected, and the consideration given to engineering constraints, environmental impacts, resource conveyance constraints, and electric transmission constraints;**

Can you help direct me to the location in the AFC where this information is located, so I can complete the sheets?

Thanks, Felicia