



**Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division**

**FILE: 09-AFC-1**

**PROJECT TITLE: BP Watson Cogeneration Steam and Electric Reliability Project**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Telephone</b>	1-877-290-1337	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Meeting Location:</b>	
<b>NAME:</b>	Mark Lindley (CEC/PWA)	<b>DATE:</b>	3/16/10
		<b>TIME:</b>	11:00AM
<b>WITH:</b>	Cindy Kyle-Fischer and Bob Callacott (URS), Chris Ellison (BP Attorney), Ross Metersky (BP), and Alan Solomon and Kevin Le (CEC)		
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	BP Watson - Water Metering and Delivery Condition of Certification		

CEC Staff (Mark Lindley) and project management (Alan Solomon and Kevin Le) met with the Applicant's team (Cindy Kyle-Fischer, Bob Callacott, Chris Ellison, and Ross Metersky) to discuss the proposed Condition of Certification for Water Metering and Delivery and to confirm the delivery efficiency (% of first pass RO water delivered to the adjacent BP Watson Refinery as steam and high pressure water) included in the condition.

Staff wanted to confirm that the 82% delivery efficiency was correct for delivery of RO water to the refinery. Staff noted that based on the Water Balance table included in the AFC, about 86.7% of RO water would be delivered to the refinery.

Staff indicated that the intent of the condition is to track delivery efficiency and provide a goal that would be reasonably achievable, but high enough to require maximizing water use efficiency within the proposed fifth train. In the event that the delivery efficiency falls below the goal identified in the condition, the intent is for the Applicant address delivery efficiency in the Annual Compliance Report. The report would include a discussion of operational issues that caused the drop in delivery efficiency and to identify operational changes that will help bring the water use within the fifth train and delivery efficiency back in line with the goal included in the condition.

Staff and the Applicant agreed to change the language in the proposed condition to replace the permit requirement "no less than 82 percent" with a "goal of 86 percent." The verification would also be updated to reflect that if the delivery efficiency falls below the specified goal that the Applicant would provide a discussion of the operational issues that limited delivery efficiency and measures that have been or will be implemented to bring operation back in line with the goal. The Applicant requested to provide draft language for Staff to consider for the upcoming PSA.

<b>cc:</b>	<b>DOCKET</b> <b>09-AFC-1</b>	<b>Signed:</b>
		<b>Name:</b> Mark Lindley- Soil & Water Resources
	<b>DATE</b> <u>MAR 16 2010</u>	
	<b>RECD.</b> <u>MAR 17 2010</u>	