

28 July 2007
Antioch, California

Christopher Meyer
Compliance Project Manager
California Energy Commission
1516 9th Street MS-2000
Sacramento, CA 95814

DOCKET 00-AFC-10
DATE JUL 28 2007
RECD. JUL 30 2007

Gateway Generating Station Project
Soil and Water

Dear Sir,

After a lengthy public comment or more accurately, public negotiation, a combined power plant was approved with many interconnected systems. NOW parts of those approvals are being used to justify a separate project without any means of insuring other requirements will be met. The energy commission must re-address these issues not only with the new owner, but also with other state agencies charged with protect the publics' interests. This has not been done!

Who will control the recreation area east of existing plant #7 and north of the new plant #8? This area is now being used as a lay down area for the construction of the new plant, but is to ^{be} restored to an employee recreation area at the completion of construction. This was an issue of original approval and is designated as such on the County site plan. It is my belief this was a mitigation of approval of plant #6 and #7 by the public utilities commission and has been the traditional use of this area. In the original grading plan of the combined project, this area was to be raised to eight to twelve feet so as to be above the 100 year flood plane. What is the current plan for grading this area? What protection is there for this fill not sliding into the adjacent property, namely Sportsmen, Inc. Yacht Club?

These along with many other issues must be addressed before the enhanced new project can be approved. There is no reason to rush to approval after the six year delay in construction by the energy company. The Energy Commission MUST protect the rights of the public and/or stakeholders of the community.

Sincerely,

Charles W. Worrell

Charles W. (Bill) Worrell

1200 August Way

Antioch, CA 94509

(925) 757-5525 days to 7 p.m.

(925) 757-0192 evenings to midnight