ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

601 GATEWAY BOULEVARD, SUITE 1000 SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94080-7037

TEL: (650) 589-1660
FAX: (650) 589-5062

November 24, 2009

SACRAMENTO OFFICE

520 CAPITOL MALL, SUITE 350 SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-4715

TEL: (916) 444-6201 FAX: (916) 444-6209

DOCKET

08-AFC-5

DATE NOV 24 2009

RECD. NOV 24 2009

Sent by email and U.S. Mail

DANIEL L. CARDOZO

THOMAS A. ENSLOW

TANYA A. GULESSERIAN

MARC D. JOSEPH

ELIZABETH KLEBANER

RACHAEL E. KOSS

LOULENA A. MILES ROBYN C. PURCHIA

OF COUNSEL

THOMAS R. ADAMS

ANN BROADWELL GLORIA D. SMITH

Caryn J. Holmes Staff Counsel IV California Energy Commission 1516 9th St. Sacramento, CA cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Re: CEQA Requirements for CEC Review of Stirling Solar Two Water Supply

Dear Ms. Holmes:

The Seeley Waste Water Treatment Facility ("SWWTF") must be upgraded to to enable it to supply water to the Stirling Energy Systems ("SES") Solar Two Project. The SWWTF will supply all of the water for the Solar Two Project. SES will finance the upgrade.

SES is also proposing to construct a 12-mile water pipeline along Evan Hewes Highway stretching from the treatment facility to the Project site.

SES acknowledges that both of these upgrades will require environmental review, but explains that the analysis will be provided in "separate environmental documentation." Because the sewer treatment upgrades are necessary elements of the Solar Two Project, any environmental impacts from these upgrades must be reviewed and mitigated by the Energy Commission as the CEQA lead agency in the Energy Commission's CEQA-equivalent proceeding.

CEQA defines a project as "the whole of an action" which has the potential to result in a direct physical change in the environment, or a reasonably foreseeable indirect physical change in the environment.² The "Project" refers to the activity

2218-043a

¹ See letter from Matt Moore, URS Project Engineer to Mr. David Dale, Seeley County Water District on September 23, 2009. This was docketed at the CEC by Angela Leiba on September 23, 2009. ² CEQA Guidelines § 15378.

being approved and which may be subject to several discretionary approvals by governmental agencies. This ensures that environmental considerations do not become submerged by chopping a large project into many little ones, each with a potential impact on the environment, which cumulatively may have disastrous consequences.³

California courts have long held that CEQA requires a lead agency to analyze related infrastructure upgrades that are necessary for project operation. In *San Joaquin Raptor*, the court overturned an Environmental Impact Report for a housing development because the EIR's Project description failed to include an offsite sewer expansion that was necessary to serve the Project. The Court held that even though a separate EIR was prepared for the sewage expansion, the housing development's Project Description was inadequate, and that rendered the analysis in the EIR inadequate.⁴ Similarly, SWWTF's required upgrades must be included in the CEC's analysis of the Solar Two Project, because the Project cannot operate without the upgrades. The Solar Two Project description must include both the solar power plant and the wastewater treatment facility necessary to serve it.

At this time SES has not provided the necessary information for the Energy Commission to identify and mitigate any significant impacts arising from the SWWTF's expansion. The expansion is likely to result in a number of significant environmental impacts such as air pollutant emissions, biological impacts to water birds at the Project site and burrowing owls along the waterline, water quality impacts, and importantly, growth inducing impacts. The Project applicant acknowledged that any of the water contracted for but not used by the Stirling Solar Two Project "may be utilized by SES for future SES projects in the area." Thus, it is foreseeable that the upgrade to SWWTF will result in additional SES development in the area.

The Energy Commission, as lead agency, must evaluate the environmental impacts of the sewage treatment plant upgrade and the water pipeline as part of the Stirling Solar Two project. If the Energy Commission fails to study and

³ Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority v. Hensler (1991) 233 Cal.App.3d 577, 592.

⁴ San Joaquin Raptor/Wildlife Rescue Center v. County of Stanislaus (1994) 27 Cal.App.4th 713.

November 24, 2009 Page 3

mitigate the impacts from this upgrade, the Commission would violate the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.

Sincerely,

/s/

Loulena A. Miles

LAM:bh

cc: CEC Docket No. 08-AFC-5

CEC Service List No. 08-AFC-5

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Bonnie Heeley, declare that on November 24, 2009, I served and filed copies of the attached CURE letter to Staff Counsel Caryn J. Holmes re CEQA Requirements for CEC Review of Stirling Solar Two Water Supply (dated November 24, 2009). The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/solartwo. The document has been sent (1) electronically, and (2) via US Mail by depositing in the US mail at South San Francisco, California, with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the attached Proof of Service list to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred." It was sent for filing to the Energy Commission by sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address shown on the attached Proof of Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed at South San Francisco, CA, this 24th day of November, 2009.

_____/s/___ Bonnie Heeley

KIM WHITNEY, ASSOC.PRJ.MGR. SES SOLAR TWO LLC 4800 NO. SCOTTSDALE RD. #5500 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 Kim.whitney@tesserasolar.com

ALLAN J. THOMPSON, ESQ. 21 C ORINDA WAY #314 ORINDA, CA 94563 allanori@comcast.net

RICHARD KNOX, PROJECT MGR. SES SOLAR TWO, LLC 4800 NO. SCOTTSDALE RD. #5500 SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251 Richard.knox@tesserasolar.com

DANIEL STEWARD, PROJECT LEAD BLM – EL CENTRO OFFICE 1661 S. 4TH STREET EL CENTRO, CA 92243 Daniel_steward@ca.blm.gov ANGELA LEIBA, SR. PROJECT MGR URS CORPORATION 1615 MURRAY CANYON RD., #1000 SAN DIEGO, CA 92108 Angela_Leiba@urscorp.com

JIM STOBAUGH, PROJECT MGR &NATIONAL PROJECT MGR BUREAU OF LAND MANAGE-MENT, BLM NEVADA STATE OFFICE PO BOX 12000 RENO, NV 89520-0006 Jim stobaugh@blm.gov

November 24, 2009 Page 5

JEFFREY D. BYRON COMMISSIONER/PRESIDING MEMBER CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 jbyron@energy.state.ca.us JULIA LEVIN COMMISISONER/ASSOCIATE MEMBER CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 jlevin@energy.state.ca.us RAUL RENAUD, HEARING OFFICER CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

CARYN HOLMES, STAFF COUNSEL CHRISTINE HAMMOND, CO-STAFF COUNSEL CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 cholmes@energy.state.ca.us chammond@energy.state.ca.us

CHRISTOPHER MEYER, PROJECT MGR CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 cmeyer@energy.state.ca.us PUBLIC ADVISER
CALIFORNIA ENERGY
COMMISSION
1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
publicadviser@energy.state.
ca.us

Loulena Miles
Imiles@adamsbroadwell.com
tgulesserian@adamsbroadwell.com
ADAMS BROADWELL JOSEPH & CARDOZO
601 Gateway Boulevard, Suite 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
(EMAIL ONLY)

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION ATTN DOCKET NO 08-AFC-5 1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 docket@energy.state.ca.us

CALIFORNIA ISO
<u>E-RECIPIENT@CAISO.COM</u>
(EMAIL ONLY)