

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT

COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

DOCKET 08-AFC-1

DATE APR 23 2009

RECD. APR 23 2009

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE AVENAL ENERGY PROJECT

DOCKET No. 08-AFC-1

REVISED COMMITTEE SCHEDULING ORDER

1. <u>Background</u>

On February 27, 2009, Staff filed its Status Report 4, which estimated publication of the Final Staff Assessment (FSA) on April 8, 2009. Applicant filed its own Status Report 4 on March 6, 2009, seeking an FSA date of April 6, 2009.

On March 5, 2009, the Commission's Siting Committee issued its *Committee Guidance* on Fulfilling California Environmental Quality Act Responsibilities for Greenhouse Gas Impacts in Power Plant Siting Applications ("GHG Guidance")¹. That document states in part "...we recommend that the Energy Commission analyze each project according to basic CEQA precepts for determining (1) whether the project has a significant adverse cumulative effect, (2) if so, whether feasible mitigation can be required for the project, and (3) if not, whether the project has overriding benefits that justify licensing the project."

Thereafter, Intervenor California Unions for Reliable Energy (CURE) filed its Status Report 4, stating in part that Staff should revise its Preliminary Staff Analysis (PSA) to apply the recommendations of the Siting Committee contained in the GHG Guidance report. On March 11, 2009, the Center on Race, Poverty & the Environment filed a comment letter containing numerous observations critical of the Avenal Project and stated that the Energy Commission staff had failed to adequately analyze and mitigate Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions from the project.

Energy Commission staff filed revised comments with a new schedule on March 19, 2009, referencing the Siting Committee's GHG Guidance report and stating that in

1

¹ Publication, Number, CEC-700-2009-004.

response to the report, Staff planned to do additional work on its GHG analysis for the Avenal Energy FSA. In order to perform the analysis Staff noted its reliance on an anticipated technical report addressing potential GHG effects of power plants (MRW Report). Staff counsel stated that the FSA must await the MRW Report. (3/23/09 RT 8.) Staff counsel also pointed out that its greenhouse gas analysis will be "...intricately tied to the air quality analysis." (3/23/09 RT 30:1-2.) As a result, Staff opposed separating the discussions of air quality and GHG. The revised Staff schedule committed to issuing the FSA no later than June 1, 2009.

Applicant disagreed with Staff's recommendation and requested a more truncated schedule.

2. Discussion

The Commission's OII and the Siting Committee's GHG Guidance report clarified this Committee's obligation to address power plant-related GHG issues under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA). As noted above, the report recommended GHG impacts be addressed in each individual siting case until AB 32 regulations take effect in 2012. The Avenal Energy Project is one of the first individual siting cases to implement the new, more detailed GHG analysis. While we wish to expedite the processing of this case, the Governor's Executive Orders S-03-5, S-14-08, as well as AB 32, require significant statewide cuts in GHG. Thus, to implement state law as well as the recommendations of the Commission's Siting Committee, we have modified the schedule in this case. The changes will allow Staff to incorporate the MRW Report into its FSA and give a more complete CEQA analysis and discussion of potential mitigation.

///

///

REVISED SCHEDULE

Avenal Energy Project April 24, 2009

DATE	EVENT
June 1, 2009	Staff Files Final Staff Assessment
June 8, 2009	All other parties file testimony
June 15, 2009	All parties file rebuttal testimony
June 22, 2009	All parties file Prehearing Conference Statements
June 30, 2009	Prehearing Conference*
July 7, 2009	Evidentiary Hearing*
Pending	Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD)
Pending	Committee Conference on PMPD
Pending	Energy Commission HearingFinal Decision

*<u>DETAILED INSTRUCTIONS FOR THESE EVENTS WILL BE SENT TO ALL PARTIES</u> IN A SEPARATE PUBLIC NOTICE OF THE EVENTS.

Dated April 24, 2009, at Sacramento, California.

Original Signed By:

JEFFREY D. BYRON Commissioner and Presiding Member Avenal AFC Committee

Original Signed By:

ARTHUR H. ROSENFELD Commissioner and Associate Member Avenal AFC Committee



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
For the AVENAL ENERGY PROJECT

Docket No. 08-AFC-1 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 2/3/2009)

APPLICANT

Jim Rexroad,
Project Manager
Avenal Power Center, LLC
500 Dallas Street, Level 31
Houston, TX 77002 USA
Jim.Rexroad@macquarie.com

Tracey Gilliland
Avenal Power Center, LLC
500 Dallas Street, Level 31
Houston TX 77002
Tracey.Gilliland@macquarie.com

APPLICANT CONSULTANT

Joe Stenger, Project Director TRC Companies 2666 Rodman Drive Los Osos, CA 93402 jstenger@trcsolutions.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jane E. Luckhardt DOWNEY BRAND 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor Sacramento, CA 95814 iluckhardt@downeybrand.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Loulena A. Miles
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph &
Cardozo
601 Gateway Boulevard,
Ste. 1000
South San Francisco, CA 94080
mdjoseph@adamsbroadwell.com
Imiles@adamsbroadwell.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

Jeffrey D. Byron Commissioner and Presiding Member <u>jbyron@energy.state.ca.us</u>

Arthur Rosenfeld Commissioner and Associate Member arosenfe@energy.state.ca.us

Gary Fay Hearing Officer gfay@energy.state.ca.us

Ivor Benci-Woodward Project Manager IBenciwo@energy.state.ca.us

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel Idecarlo@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser's Office publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, <u>RoseMary Avalos</u>, declare that on <u>April 24, 2009</u>, I served and filed copies of the attached <u>Revised Committee Scheduling Order</u>, <u>dated April 24, 2009</u>. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at:

[www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/avenal]. The document has been sent to both the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

	FOR SERVICE TO ALL OTHER PARTIES:
<u>X</u>	_sent electronically to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list;
_X	_by personal delivery or by depositing in the United States mail at <u>Sacramento</u> , <u>California</u> with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed as provided on the Proof of Service list above to those addresses NOT marked "email preferred."
AND	
	FOR FILING WITH THE ENERGY COMMISSION:
X	_sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed and emailed respectively, to the address below (<i>preferred method</i>);
OR	_depositing in the mail an original and 12 paper copies, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-1 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

docket@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Original Signed By:	
RoseMary Avalos	