California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
12-AFC-02

From:

Stephen O'Kane [stephen.okane@AES.com]

Sent:

Friday, December 07, 2012 4:34 PM

To:

Chris Perri

Cc:

Robert.Mason@CH2M.com; McKinsey, John A.; Foster, Melissa A.;

DEC. 11 2012

TN # 68850

Jerry.Salamy@CH2M.com; Miller, Felicia@Energy; Jiang, Tao@Energy; Bemis,

Gerry@Energy

Subject:

HBEP start/stop emissions and GHG performance

Attachments:

SCAQMD response letter 12-07-2012.pdf

Chris.

In response to your questions regarding detail on the estimated start/stop emissions for the Huntington Beach Energy Project turbines and the assumptions that went in to our calculation of GHG emissions per MW-hr, please see the attached letter and accompanying data. If you require further information or explanation for any of our assertions please don't hesitate to ask.

Thanks

Per: Stephen O'Kane

Permitting and Regulatory Approvals, Southland Repower Team



#### **AES Southland**

690 N. Studebaker Rd. | Long Beach, CA | 90803

Direct: 562-493-7840 | Cell: 562-508-0962 | Fax: 562-493-7737

er of being global stephen.okane@aes.com | www.aes.com

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be privileged, confidential or copyrighted under law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-Mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-Mail and delete this e-Mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously stated in the subject matter of the above e-Mail, this e-Mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-Mail does not constitute consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.



December 7, 2012

AES Huntington Beach 21730 Newland Street Huntington Beach, CA 92646 tel 562 493 7891 fax 562 493 7320

Mr. Chris Perri Air Quality Engineer South Coast Air Quality Management District 21865 Copley Drive Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4178

RE: Huntington Beach Energy Project Permit Application (Facility ID# 115389)

Dear Mr. Perri:

AES Huntington Beach, LLC (AES-HB) is submitting this letter in response to the October 26, 2012 South Coast Air Quality Management District's (AQMD) electronic mail request for additional information needed to complete the Huntington Beach Energy Project (HBEP) engineering evaluation. Listed below are your specific requests followed by AES-HB's response. Please note that the requested data are numbered consecutively following previous AQMD requests.

SCAQMD-DR6: On past projects, the turbine manufacturer has provided a detailed assessment of what the estimated emissions are during the start up sequence, even down to a minute-by-minute level. It would be helpful if you could provide this more detailed information, and if not minute by minute then at least a breakdown by load level, ie from 0 to FSNL, then every 10 or 20 MWs until 70% load is reached. Do you have something for cold and warm starts?

Response: For all start up events (cold, warm, or hot), the turbine emissions are the same; the turbine would reach a 70 percent load rate within 9 minutes of the introduction of fuel. However, the emission control systems (selective catalytic reduction and oxidation catalyst systems) would require a slightly longer warm up period to reach the minimum operating temperatures during a cold start, resulting in higher emissions than a hot or warm start up.

AES-HB requested start up and shutdown information from the turbine manufacturer, Mitsubishi Power Systems America (MPSA). In response to this request, the manufacturer provided a table that presents the time from turbine ignition, percent load rate, exhaust gas flow, exhaust oxygen concentration, and pollutant concentrations during a turbine start up. Table DR6-1 presents the manufacturer's start up emissions for the MPSA 501DA. As noted in Table DR6-1, the turbine exhaust flow rates do not increase linearly with an increase in turbine load rate because, once the compressor reaches the set speed, the exhaust flow through the turbine is a function of the relatively small amount of fuel being combusted and the differences in temperature and pressure. During the loading of the turbine, the inlet guide vanes are continually adjusting the compressor flow to maintain a controlled rate of increase of the gas exhaust temperature, which affects gas flow linearity. This temperature rate control is necessary to allow the machine to expand in a controlled manner so as not to thermally overstress the rotor components.



Table DR6-1	Mitsubishi Power Sy	vstems America	501DA Start I	In Emissions

Time from GT Ignition	GT Load	Exhaust Flow	NOx	со	voc	DryO 2	Dry EGF
Minute	Percen t	metric tons/hou r	ppm@15%O2	ppm@15%O2	ppm@15%O2	Vol %	m3N/h (0 °C)
9	70	1,103.5	9	10	0.2	14.83	804,000
8.58	69.43	1,043.1	9	10	1	14.79	759,000
8.4	66.16	1,042.3	9	47	2	15.03	760,000
8.25	63.42	1,041.7	9	100	10	15.24	761,000
8.25	63.42	1,041.7	35	190	10	15.24	761,000
8.16	61.78	1,041.1	36	320	21	15.35	761,000
8.1	60.69	1,041.1	36	450	30	15.43	762,000
7.79	55.2	1,039.9	38	1,100	210	15.83	763,000
7.48	49.63	1,038.7	41	1,960	387	16.21	765,000
6.92	39.5	1,036.7	45	3,950	1,180	16.88	768,000
6.92	39.5	1,036.7	45	300	300	16.88	768,000
6.67	35.05	1,035.8	45	980	431	17.17	769,000
6.55	32.83	1,035.3	45	1,240	500	17.32	769,000
6.42	30.63	1,034.9	45	1,510	530	17.46	770,000
6.24	27.33	1,034.2	45	1,875	600	17.67	771,000
5.93	21.81	1,033.1	45	2,460	980	18.02	772,000
5.62	16.27	1,032.1	45	3,500	1,600	18.35	774,000
5.56	15.18	1,031.9	45	4,000	2,000	18.42	774,000
5.56	15.18	1,031.9	45	400	200	18.42	774,000
5.32	10.87	1,031	45	1,500	600	18.68	775,000
5.03	5.62	1,030	45	2,500	1,000	19	777,000

normanii anistoso gada i	NOx	CO	VOC	
0-5	2.2 lbs	21 lbs	4.1 lbs	8.26721a

<sup>\*</sup>Minute by Minute Data is unavailable for this time period as exhaust flow does not ramp up linearly during this period nor do emissions stay constant.

Using the manufacturer's data, we calculated the start up emissions on a per minute basis by converting the dry exhaust gas flow rate to dry cubic feet per hour at 60 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) and correcting the pollutant concentrations to actual stack oxygen concentrations. Table DR6-2 presents the start up emissions on a pound per minute basis.

Table DR6-2 Calculated Turbine Start Up Emissions

GT Load	Dry EGF	CO	VOC	NOx	CO	VOC	NOx
Percent	Cubic Feet/min	ppmvd Uncorrected	ppmvd Uncorrected	ppmvd Uncorrected	lb/min	ib/min	lb/min
70	500,148	10.3	0.2	9.3	0.38	0.004	0.6
69.43	472,154	10.4	1.0	9.3	0.36	0.02	0.5
66.16	472,776	46.8	2.0	9.0	1.6	0.04	0.5
63.42	473,398	95.9	9.6	8.6	3.4	0.2	0.5
63.42	473,398	182.3	9.6	33.6	6.4	0.2	1.9
61.78	473,398	301.0	19.8	33.9	10.5	0.4	1.9
60.69	474,020	417.2	27.8	33.4	14.6	0.6	1.9
55.2	474,643	945.3	180.5	32.7	33.1	3.6	1.9
49.63	475,887	1,558.0	307.6	32.6	54.7	6.2	1.9
39.5	477,753	2,691.4	804.0	30.7	94.9	16.2	1.8
39.5	477,753	204.4	204.4	30.7	7.2	4.1	1.8
35.05	478,375	619.6	272.5	28.4	21.9	5.5	1.6
32.83	478,375	752.4	303.4	27.3	26.6	6.1	1.6
30.63	478,997	880.4	309.0	26.2	31.1	6.3	1.5
27.33	479,619	1,026.5	328.5	24.6	36.3	6.7	1.4
21.81	480,241	1,200.8	478.4	22.0	42.6	9.7	1.3
16.27	481,485	1,512.7	691.5	19.4	53.7	14.1	1.1
15.18	481,485	1,681.4	840.7	18.9	59.7	17.1	1.1
15.18	481,485	168.1	84.1	18.9	6.0	1.7	1.1
10.87	482,107	564.4	225.8	16.9	20.1	4.6	1.0
5.62	483,352	805.1	322.0	14.5	28.7	6.6	8.0
0-5				_	4.2	0.82	0.44

Using the duration of each load rate change from Table DR6-1 and an average of the pound per minute emission rates for the current period and previous period, we calculated the emissions for each time period during the start up, which are presented in Table DR6-3. As an example, for the change in load rate between 69.43 and 70 percent load, we averaged the 0.38 and 0.36 lb CO/min and multiplied by the time it took the turbine to transition between these two load rates (9.0 - 8.58 minutes).

Mr. Chris Perri December 7, 2012 Page 4

Table DR6-3 Turbine Start Up Emissions Per Minute

<b>Time</b> Minute	GT Load Percent	CO lb/min	VOC lb/min	NOx lb/min	CO lbs	VOC lbs	NOx lbs
9	70	0.38	0.004	0.56	0.16	0.01	0.23
8.58	69.43	0.36	0.02	0.53	0.18	0.01	0.09
8.4	66.16	1.63	0.04	0.51	0.37	0.02	0.08
8.25	63.42	3.35	0.19	0.50	_	-	
8.25	63.42	6.37	0.19	1.93	0.76	0.03	0.17
8.16	61.78	10.52	0.40	1.94	0.75	0.03	0.12
8.1	60.69	14.59	0.56	1.92	7.39	0.65	0.59
7.79	55.2	33.11	3.62	1.88	13.61	1.52	0.58
7.48	49.63	54.71	6.19	1.88	41.89	6.28	1.02
6.92	39.5	94.88	16.23	1.78		-	_
6.92	39.5	7.21	4.13	1.78	3.63	1.20	0.43
6.67	35.05	21.87	5.51	1.65	2.91	0.70	0.19
6.55	32.83	26.56	6.13	1.58	3.75	0.81	0.20
6.42	30.63	31.12	6.26	1.52	6.07	1.16	0.27
6.24	27.33	36.33	6.66	1.43	12.23	2.54	0.42
5.93	21.81	42.55	9.71	1.28	14.93	3.69	0.37
5.62	16.27	53.75	14.07	1.14	3.40	0.94	0.07
5.56	15.18	59.74	17.11	1.10	-	-	_
5.56	15.18	5.97	1.71	1.10	3.13	0.76	0.25
5.32	10.87	20.08	4.60	0.99	7.08	1.62	0.27
5.03	5.62	28.71	6.58	0.85	0.75	0.11	0.02
5	0.51	4.2	0.82	0.44	21.0	4.1	2.20
Totals					144	26.2	7.6

MPSA provided a similar set of data for the shutdown period, presented in Table DR6-4. Using the same methodology as applied to the start up emissions, we calculated the shutdown emissions as presented in Table DR6-5.

Mr. Chris Perri December 7, 2012 Page 5

Table DR6-4 Mitsubishi Power Systems America 501DA Shutdown Emissions

Time from GT Ignition	GT Load	Exhaust Flow	NOx	co	voc	DryO2	Dry EGF
Minute	Percent	metric tons/hour	ppm@15%O2	ppm@15%O2	ppm@15%O2	Vol %	m3N/h (0 °C)
0	70	1,043.1	9	10	0.2	14.79	759,000
0.21	66.16	1,042.3	9	50	2	15.03	760,000
0.39	63.42	1,041.7	9	100	10	15.24	761,000
0.39	63.42	1,041.7	35	200	10	15.24	761,000
0.49	61.78	1,041.1	36	350	22	15.35	761,000
0.57	60.69	1,041.1	36	450	30	15.43	762,000
0.92	55.2	1,039.9	38	1,100	215	15.83	763,000
1.28	49.63	1,038.7	41	2,000	400	16.21	765,000
1.94	39.5	1,036.7	45	4,000	1,200	16.88	768,000
1.94	39.5	1,036.7	45	300	300	16.88	768,000
2.23	35.05	1,035.8	45	1,000	433	17.17	769,000
2.38	32.83	1,035.3	45	1,250	500	17.32	769,000
2.52	30.63	1,034.9	45	1,600	540	17.46	770,000
2.73	27.33	1,034.2	45	1,875	600	17.67	771,000
3.09	21.81	1,033.1	45	2,500	1,000	18.02	772,000
3.45	16.27	1,032.1	45	3,500	1,600	18.35	774,000
3.52	15.18	1,031.9	45	4,000	2,000	18.42	774,000
3.52	15.18	1,031.9	45	400	200	18.42	774,000
3.8	10.87	1,031	45	1,500	600	18.68	775,000
4.14	5.62	1,030	45	2,500	1,000	19	777,000
4.48	0.51	1,029	45	3,500	1,600	19.32	778,000
4.51	0	1,028.9	45	1,000	400	19.35	778,000
4.51	0	1,028.9	45	1,000	400	19.35	778,000
9.51	0	1,028.9	45	1,000	400	19.35	778,000

Mr. Chris Perri December 7, 2012 Page 6

Table DR6-5 Turbine Shutdown Emissions Per Minute

Time	GT Load	CO	VOC	NOx	CO	VOC	NOx
Minute	Percent	lb/min	lb/min	lb/min	lbs	lbs	lbs
0	69.43	0.36	0.02	0.53	0.1	0.004	0.1
0.21	66.16	1.74	0.04	0.51	0.2	0.01	0.1
0.39	63.42	3.35	0.19	0.50	0.5	0.02	0.1
0.39	63.42	6.70	0.19	1.93	_		_
0.49	61.78	11.50	0.41	1.94	0.9	0.03	0.2
0.57	60.69	14.59	0.56	1.92	1	0.04	0.2
0.92	55.2	33.11	3.71	1.88	8.3	0.75	0.7
1.28	49.63	55.83	6.40	1.88	16	1.82	0.7
1.94	39.5	96.08	16.51	1.78	50.1	7.56	1.2
1.94	39.5	7.21	4.13	1.78		_	_
2.23	35.05	22.32	5.53	1.65	4.3	1.4	0.5
2.38	32.83	26.77	6.13	1.58	3.7	0.88	0.2
2.52	30.63	32.97	6.37	1.52	4.2	0.88	0.2
2.73	27.33	36.33	6.66	1.43	7.3	1.37	0.3
3.09	21.81	43.25	9.91	1.28	14.3	2.98	0.5
3.45	16.27	53.75	14.07	1.14	17.5	4.32	0.4
3.52	15.18	59.74	17.11	1.10	4	1.09	0.1
3.52	15.18	5.97	1.71	1.10	-		
3.8	10.87	20.08	4.60	0.99	3.6	0.88	0.3
4.14	5.62	28.71	6.58	0.85	8.3	1.9	0.3
4.48	0.51	33.47	8.76	0.71	10.6	2.61	0.3
4.51	0	9.38	2.15	0.69	0.6	0.16	0
4.51	0	9.38	2.15	0.69		_	
9.51	0	9.38	2.15	0.69	46.9	10.7	3.5
Totals					202.4	39.4	9.7

Considering the inherent difficulties in quantifying air emissions during a transient event like a turbine start up or shutdown, the calculated start up and shutdown emissions presented in Tables DR6-3 and DR6-5 compare reasonably well with the expected start up and shutdown emissions provided by the turbine manufacturer.

SCAQMD-DR7: I noticed that the GHG emissions were calculated to be 1,082 lbs CO2/MW-hr (page 3-25). Could you provide (or point to where in the document) the detailed calculations to support this number?

Response: Table DR7-1 presents the heat rate and electrical production rates for the HBEP at various operating levels at an ambient temperature of 71 °F and the expected operating hours with one, two, and three turbines of each power block operating (referred to as states 1, 2, and 3). Table DR7-2 presents an estimate of the heat rate during start up and shutdown events and is based on MPSA provided estimates of electrical production and fuel consumption. Table DR7-3 presents the GHG efficiency for the HBEP, including start up and shutdowns and an assumed efficiency

Mr. Chris Perri December 7, 2012 Page 7

degradation rate of 8 percent. The GHG efficiency is based on a projected 12-month operating profile. The hours of operation per 12-month period in each state is displayed in Table DR7-1. Note that the operating profile assumed here reflects a realistic estimate of HBEP's GHG efficiency for the project application and is not equivalent to the operating profile being used in the permitting effort.

If you require further information, please don't hesitate contacting me at 562-493-7840.

Sincerely,

Stephen O'Kane

Manager

AES Huntington Beach, LLC

#### Attachments

CC:

Robert Mason/CH2M HILL

Jennifer Didlo/AES

John McKinsey/Stoel Rives Missy Foster/Stoel Rives Jerry Salamy/CH2M HILL

Felicia Miller/CEC

Table OR7-1 HBEP Heat Rate Estimate

HBEP Expected Annual Average Operating Profile at an Ambiant Air Temperature of 71 F	perating Profile	at an Ambier	nt Air Tempes	rature of 71	F											Erroected Annual Houn	an Hours
Blocks 1 and 2	Hours/year		250					3200						1460			910
Net Plant Power	3	233954	261500	288570	322300	407140	482162	537404	591440	658918	735826	726498	735836	807312	885132	984530	
Estimated Gross Heat Rate, LHV Btu/kW-hr 7730	Btu/kW-hr	7730	7562	7439	7351	7740	7501	7359	7259	7191	7453	7467	7451	7348	7367	7217	
				State 1					State 2					State 3			
					Average					Average						Werner	
	4	Average Kw 302693	12693	-	State 1 7564	7564	Average Kw 601150	. 601150		State 2	7353	₹	Average Kw 828062	828062		Starta 3	7350
												•					
HBEP Performance for 1 Power Block	¥																
Net Plant Power	KW	116977	130750	130750 144285	161150	203570	241081	268702	295720	329459	367913	363249	9 367918	403656	443066	492265	
Net Heat Rate, Lity <sup>2</sup>	Stu/kW-hr	7969	7796	2669	7578	6767	7733			7413	7683	7698	7681	7575	7492	7440	
Martin Andrew Martin Strategies 1989	man Alban A.			-		i					1 1 1 1 1				!	!	

Editionabled Gross Heat Rate, LNV Bits/KW-hr 7730 7362 7439 7351 7740 7501 7359 7259 7151 7453 7453 7457 7451 7348 7267 727 721

1. Operating data from TFLINK 71F Part Load Curve.x/s.

2. Station loads ranging from 3.3 to 5.7% and selecting a conservatively low load results in a conservatively high gross heat rate, for estimating annual average CO2. Therefore, a 3% station load was selected to convert the gross heat rates to net

## Table DR7-2 HBEP Start Up/Shutdown Heat Rate Estimate

7776 Btu LHV/kWh
1.1 Btu HHV / Btu LHV
53.02 kg CO2 / MMBtu HHV
2.205 lb/kg
1000 kWh / MWh
1.00E-06 MMBtu / Btu

1,000.00 lb CO2 / MWh

## Calculate Effective Heat Rates from SU / SD Data:

2300 lb natural gas / startup 0.02065 MMBtu LHV / lb 47.495 MMBtu LHV / startup 2.6 gross MWh / startup

18267 Btu LHV / kWh during startups

400 lb natural gas / stop 0.02065 MMBtu LHV / lb 8.26 MMBtu LHV / stop 0.5 gross MWh / stop

16520 Btu LHV / kWh during stops

Propest: ASD-100

Generated Custs Statistic Smissiers,

Code: Custs Smissiers,

Cu

# Table DR7-3 HBEP Calculate Annual Average CO2 (lb/MWh)

Annual Average - assume all hours for each State are at the average of data points provided for heat rate for that State

## Start Up and Stop Heat Rate Calculations

624 startups/yr

9 min / startup

93.6 hours startup / year

18267 Btu/gross kWh

**Effective Heat Rate during Turbine Start** 

624 stops/yr

9.5 min/stop

98.8 hours stops / year

16520 Btu/kWh Gross

**Effective Heat Rate during Turbine Stops** 

Plant CO2 Efficiency Calculation

7740 Btu LHV / kWh Gross

Weighted Annual Average Heat Rate with SU/SD and no Degradation.

8% Assumed Plant Degradation

8413 Btu LHV / kWh Gross

Annual Average CO2 Efficiency with SU/SD and Degradation

1082 lb CO2 /MWh Gross

Annual Average CO2 Efficiency with SU/SD and Degradation