

From: [Parisi, Tony NAVAIR](#)
To: Koch, Andrea@Energy;
Subject: RE: Proposed Rio Mesa Project Near Blythe, CA and VR-296
Date: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 2:35:23 PM

Andrea,

We wouldn't move the route. We would fly it differently, i.e. around or over project. That would happen after it's built.

V/R,
Tony

Anthony M. Parisi, PE
Head, Sustainability Office
NAVAIR Ranges
(805) 989-9209
FAX: (805) 989-7418
Cell: (805) 816-0935
anthony.parisi@navy.mil

-----Original Message-----

From: Koch, Andrea@Energy [<mailto:Andrea.Koch@energy.ca.gov>]
Sent: Tuesday, July 10, 2012 14:18
To: Parisi, Tony NAVAIR
Subject: Proposed Rio Mesa Project Near Blythe, CA and VR-296

Hi Tony.

I wanted to follow up on an earlier conversation regarding the Rio Mesa project. In a letter to Bright Source (developer of the Rio Mesa project), H. David Belote stated:

"While we predict the project will impact the training we conduct in military training route VR-296, we believe those impacts can be mitigated".

How would those impacts be mitigated? Would the route be moved to another location? What would be the timeline for this? Who/what group would select the new location?

Thanks! Please feel free to give me a call if it would be easier to discuss over the phone.

Andrea

Andrea Koch-Eckhardt

Environmental Planner II, Traffic and Land Use

CA Energy Commission

(916) 654-3850