

**Docket Optical System - CEC record-Docket #11-AFC-03 Quail Brush Power Generation  
Siting Case**

<b>DOCKET</b>
<b>11-AFC-3</b>
DATE <u>  MAR 22 2012  </u>
RECD. <u>  MAR 27 2012  </u>

**From:** Sean Bascom <bascom@scc1031.com>

**To:** <ESolorio@energy.state.ca.us>

**Date:** 3/22/2012 12:51 PM

**Subject:** CEC record-Docket #11-AFC-03 Quail Brush Power Generation Siting Case

To whom it may concern,

I am a long term San Diego resident and a recent homeowner in Santee. My wife and I are avid hikers and mountain bikers and are appalled at the plans to ruin one of San Diego's few natural resources, the Mission Trails Regional Park area in and around Sycamore Canyon. This plant will be more than just a public nuisance, it will ruin the enjoyment of us, and thousands of people, of our local open space.

I oppose the rezone of Open Space to Industrial for the 'Quail Brush Power Plant' abomination. Please deny the CPA initiation!

The Quail Brush project conflicts with the following documents: the East Elliott Community Plan, which designates the site as Open Space; the General Plan, which designates the site as Park, Open Space, and Recreation; and the Municipal Code, which designates the site's zoning as single-family residential (RS-1-8). Cogentrix wants to amend the Community and General Plan by initiating a rezoning from residential to industrial in open space area that was designated to be protected.

What is the specific justifiable criteria for initiating this change? Why is the city of San Diego even considering making changes to these plans and it's own codes at the expense of the surrounding communities and federally endangered species that live there? Is the building of the 11, 100 ft stacks, using technology that is dated and inefficient, far more important than our quality of life, health of our seniors and children, and the peace and tranquility that the Mission Trails Regional Park has provided since around 1962?

Since the park was a 2000 acre gift from the Federal Government to be used for open space supporting the residential community, shouldn't the city of San Diego at least entertain the thought of supporting the federal government's mandate to move away from fossil-fuel based energy and instead look to solar energy? Solar panels on rooftops will harness surplus galore since San Diego gets abundant sunshine year round.

We OPPOSE this initiation and demand its withdrawal. We, the people are watching our cities' actions closely now and will find it suspect should the city of San Diego's Planning Commission vote to allow this permanently damaging change so that a power company can place their plant in a location that is most convenient and cost effective for them so they can increase their gross profits at the communities' expense in every conceivable way.

Best Regards,

**Sean Bascom**

*South Coast Commercial*

3405 Kenyon St. # 411

San Diego, CA. 92110

Direct: (619) 794-2802  
Cell: (619) 916-9179  
Fax: (619) 342-8205  
bascom@scc1031.com  
DRE#: 01862044

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE and DISCLAIMER: This email message is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. If you are the intended recipient but do not wish to receive communications through this medium, please so advise the sender immediately. Nothing in this communication should be interpreted as a digital or electronic signature that can be used to authenticate a contract or other legal document. The recipients are advised that the sender and South Coast Commercial, Inc are not qualified to provide, and have not been contracted to provide, legal, financial, or tax advice, and that any such advice regarding any investment by the recipients must be obtained from the recipients' attorney, accountant, or tax professional.