California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
11-AFC-3

TN # 66031

JUN 19 2012

From: Reynolds, Todd [mailto:toddr@qualcomm.com]

Sent: Monday, June 18, 2012 3:44 PM

To: Solorio, Eric@Energy

Cc: CA52DHima@mail.house.gov; anthonyyoung@sandiego.gov; CarlDeMaio@sandiego.gov; JerrySanders@sandiego.gov; kevinfaulconer@sandiego.gov; martiemerald@sandiego.gov; SherriLightner@sandiego.gov; toddgloria@sandiego.gov; davidalvarez@sandiego.gov;

loriezapf@sandiego.gov; JDale@ci.santee.ca.us; JMinto@ci.santee.ca.us; JRyan@ci.santee.ca.us;

RVoepel@ci.santee.ca.us; RMcNelis@ci.santee.ca.us; dianne.jacob@sdcounty.ca.gov; Senator.Kehoe@sen.ca.gov; susan.davis@mail.house.gov; senator@boxer.senate.gov;

senator@feinstein.senate.gov; assemblymember.jones@assembly.ca.gov; gail.ramer@asm.ca.gov

Subject: CEC record - Docket #11 - AFC - 03 Quail Brush Power Generation Siting Case

Please add me to the list of concerned citizens who <u>oppose the proposed Quail Brush</u> <u>Generation Plant</u> on the grounds that the proposal is a poor use of the land.

The proposed QBGP is inconsistent with many of the City of San Diego's laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards (LORS). The project conflicts with: the East Elliott Community Plan, which designates the site as Open Space; the General Plan, which designates the site as Park, Open Space, and Recreation; and the Municipal Code, which designates the site's zoning as single-family residential (RS-1-8).

The proponents of the plant must obtain a community plan amendment, general plan amendment, and rezone from the City of San Diego. The proposed project is also located within the City' Multi-Species Conservation Program (MSCP) Subarea Plan, with which it is incompatible. To make the project consistent with the Subarea Plan, the applicant would need to obtain from the City a boundary line adjustment of the Multi-Habitat Planning Area to exclude the project site.

The Taxpayers of San Diego County object to any further spending of California taxpayer dollars in pursuit of a project that violates so many key local land use provisions.

Additionally the power plant siting threatens the well-being, health, recreation, natural beauty, quiet, property value and quality of life of all San Diego county residents who use Mission Trails park or who live in the areas of Navajo, Tierrasanta and Santee due to the proximity to parks and residences.

We urge the CEC to implement the No Project Alternative.

Has SR-52 EIR been consulted and properly referenced for full compliance with continued development impacts in the corridor? I have not seen references addressing of this previous work. The "independet" CEC expect investigators are not competently evaluating the project proposal.

-Todd Reynolds