California Energy Commission

Energy Facilities Siting &

Environmental Protection Division

REPORT OF CONVERSATION

DOCKET 11-AFC-2 DATE JAN 30 2012

RECD. FEB 07 2012

File:			

	Project Title: Hidden Hills SEGS (11-AFC-2)		
() TELEPHONE () MEETING LOCATION: (x) E-MAIL			
NAME: Kathleen Forrest	TIME: 5:00 pm	DATE: 1/30/12 and 2/2/12	
WITH: Geof Spaulding, CH2MHill	PHONE Geof.Spaulding@CH2M.com		

SUBJECT: HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 105, 108, 125, 127, and 128

COMMENTS: Please see the attached email regarding the status of the above referenced Data Requests.

COPIES TO: Michael McGuirt, Mike Monosmith	NAME: Kathleen Forrest	
	SIGNATURE /s/ Kathleen Forrest	

Kathleen Forrest - HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 108, and 125. Also, DR 105.

From: <Geof.Spaulding@CH2M.com>

To: <KForrest@energy.state.ca.us>, <MMcguirt@energy.state.ca.us>

Date: 1/30/2012 4:57 PM

Subject: HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 108, and 125. Also, DR 105.

CC: <Natalie.Lawson@CH2M.com>, <JDH@eslawfirm.com>, <susan@strachanconsult.com>,

<John.Carrier@CH2M.com>, <Karen.Parker@CH2M.com>, <sgp@eslawfirm.com>,

<gkazio@brightsourceenergy.com>, <cjensen@brightsourceenergy.com>,

<twheaton@brightsourceenergy.com>

Hello Kathleen, Mike:

Shaelyn asked that we let cultural staff know within the week whether the Proponent was willing to "take back" (for lack of a better term), the remaining data requests that staff had indicated it was willing to take upon itself which, according to our notes, currently are Data Requests (DRs) 98, 108, and 125.

Regarding DR 98 and 108, on review of the material submitted to date, including the definition of the requested project area of analysis (PAA; DR 98), the team believes that staff has sufficient information upon which to proceed with its analyses.

Regarding DR 125, as we discussed it seems appropriate that a confidential Technical Memorandum be prepared summarizing in one place the linear resources present within the PAA, the history of the Old Spanish Trail, and providing updated evaluation of the information assembled to date. Therefore, we confirm that we are indeed "taking back" DR 125 with a target date of the end of February for completion of a draft of the Technical Memorandum.

On a related topic, regarding DR 105 ("Please develop and submit....... a research design for the investigation of the step fault zone that stretches from Mound Spring to Stump Spring........... It should facilitate the acquisition of information on the age of the mesquite groves and coppice dunes that encase them, whether the mesquite trees exhibit any physical evidence that would indicate actively managed, the antiquity of the use of springs and seeps in the step fault zone and the chronology of their flow rates, whether physical evidence exists that would indicate whether and how flows may have been actively managed in the pursuit of such goals as increasing surface flows or irrigating horticultural plots, and how the predominant vegetation may have changed through time."), we appreciate that staff is willing to consider a reduced scope focused on the same area to satisfy DR 105. There is however some uncertainty among us regarding what would constitute a sufficient but reduced scope, and we are soliciting your input in that regard. This is a complex area and the project schedule is demanding, and therefore the team is concerned that the efforts of any reduced scope be appropriately focused, and looks forward to your input to guide us in that.

Thanks again to you both for your continuing input and guidance

Geof

W. Geoffrey Spaulding, Ph.D.
Senior Technical Consultant/Siting and Licensing
CH2M HILL
2485 Village View Drive, Suite 350
Henderson NV 89074
(702) 524-5860

Kathleen Forrest - RE: HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 108, and 125. Also, DR 105.

From: <Geof.Spaulding@CH2M.com>

To: <KForrest@energy.ca.gov>, <MMcguirt@energy.ca.gov>

Date: 2/2/2012 5:11 PM

Subject: RE: HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 108, and 125. Also, DR 105.

Yes indeed, Kathleen, we are proceeding with the work plan and are incorporating the additional sites you and Mike requested, with a sliding scope of testing depending on their ephemerality (so to speak), as you suggested. We are on schedule for that at the current point in time.

Cheers

Geof

W. Geoffrey Spaulding, Ph.D.
Senior Technical Consultant/Siting and Licensing
CH2M HILL
2485 Village View Drive, Suite 350
Henderson NV 89074
(702) 524-5860

From: Kathleen Forrest [mailto:KForrest@energy.ca.gov]

Sent: Thursday, February 02, 2012 4:32 PM **To:** Spaulding, Geof/LAS; Michael McGuirt

Subject: Re: HH SEGS SET 1D DRs 98, 108, and 125. Also, DR 105.

Hi Geof,

You didn't mention DRs 127 and 128 in your email below. Are you proceeding with a work plan for the Phase II investigations, or still discussing it with Brightsource?

Thanks, Kathleen

Kathleen Forrest
Planner, Cultural Resources Unit
p (916) 654-5020
f (916) 651-8868
kforrest@energy.state.gov
California Energy Commission

Siting, Transmission and Environmental Protection Division 1516 9th Street, MS 40

Sacramento, CA 95814

>>> On 1/30/2012 at 4:57 PM, in message <cbb098ea-f270-4909-8405-cdd1354e8a7a@UTE.amr.ch2m.com>, <Geof.Spaulding@CH2M.com> wrote:

Hello Kathleen, Mike:

Shaelyn asked that we let cultural staff know within the week whether the Proponent was willing to "take back" (for lack of a better term), the remaining data requests that staff had indicated it was willing to take upon itself which, according to our notes, currently are Data Requests (DRs) 98, 108, and 125.

Regarding DR 98 and 108, on review of the material submitted to date, including the definition of the requested project area of analysis (PAA; DR 98), the team believes that staff has sufficient information upon which to proceed with its analyses.

Regarding DR 125, as we discussed it seems appropriate that a confidential Technical Memorandum be prepared summarizing in one place the linear resources present within the PAA, the history of the Old Spanish Trail, and providing updated evaluation of the information assembled to date. Therefore, we confirm that we are indeed "taking back" DR 125 with a target date of the end of February for completion of a draft of the Technical Memorandum.

On a related topic, regarding DR 105 ("Please develop and submit....... a research design for the investigation of the step fault zone that stretches from Mound Spring to Stump Spring.......... It should facilitate the acquisition of information on the age of the mesquite groves and coppice dunes that encase them, whether the mesquite trees exhibit any physical evidence that would indicate actively managed, the antiquity of the use of springs and seeps in the step fault zone and the chronology of their flow rates, whether physical evidence exists that would indicate whether and how flows may have been actively managed in the pursuit of such goals as increasing surface flows or irrigating horticultural plots, and how the predominant vegetation may have changed through time."), we appreciate that staff is willing to consider a reduced scope focused on the same area to satisfy DR 105. There is however some uncertainty among us regarding what would constitute a sufficient but reduced scope, and we are soliciting your input in that regard. This is a complex area and the project schedule is demanding, and therefore the team is concerned that the efforts of any reduced scope be appropriately focused, and looks forward to your input to guide us in that.

Thanks again to you both for your continuing input and guidance

Geof

W. Geoffrey Spaulding, Ph.D.
Senior Technical Consultant/Siting and Licensing
CH2M HILL
2485 Village View Drive, Suite 350
Henderson NV 89074
(702) 524-5860