

# CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1

# DOCKET

## 11-AFC-2

DATE JAN 23 2012

RECD. JAN 31 2012



### Siting, Transportation, and Environmental Protection Division

FILE: 11-AFC-2

PROJECT TITLE: Hidden Hills SEGS

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone	916-654-4545/ 702-224-3000	<input type="checkbox"/> Meeting Location:	
<b>NAME:</b>	Lisa Worrall	<b>DATE:</b>	Jan. 23, 2012
<b>TIME:</b>		<b>TIME:</b>	11:40 am
<b>WITH:</b>	Jeff Orr, Financial Secretary, United Association Local 525, Las Vegas, NV		
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	Response to CEC Dec. 19, 2011 labor letter		

Note: This ROC supplants the ROC (TN 63459) filed with the Dockets Unit on January 27, 2012.

Jeff contacted me in response to staff's letter dated December 19, 2011 that was sent to him to solicit his feedback based upon his experience and knowledge of the labor workforce in the Pahrump and Las Vegas areas. The following notes are from our conversation.

If a labor agreement is signed and if Bakersfield local union members cannot (lack available labor) or choose not to man the job, other California locals would get a chance to man the calls before the Las Vegas local union members (e.g. local 525 members) as they would be on a list before the local 525 workers. Local members would be first on the list, and then district council members, followed by other California locals (outside district council) and then locals from outside of the state. The members of the other locals (including district council members, other California locals, and locals from out of state) would deposit their travel card at the local union with jurisdiction over the project (Bakersfield, as part of a labor agreement). The union workers would be dispatched out of the Bakersfield local union headquarters and their per diem/travel pay would be based on the travel distance from the Bakersfield union to the project site. Any Las Vegas union workers would commute daily to the project site, possibly carpooling. The per diem would cover gas and car maintenance costs involved with the daily commute.

If a labor agreement is not signed and a non-union contractor is used for the project construction, non-union workers can bid on the project. The workforce would come from a greater geographic area, especially those in specialized trades. As non-union workers they would not make as much as a union worker, they would seek lodging closer to the project site and may be more willing to find alternative lodging options such as leasing land in the Charleston View area to park their recreational vehicle. The closest available lodging would be first to be used (Charleston View, Tecopa, and Shoshone) then Pahrump and then Las Vegas.

Project operations labor would be mostly from Las Vegas. About 80 to 85% of the workforce would come from Clark County. Pahrump does not have a large union labor supply.

<b>cc:</b> Project file	<b>Signed:</b>
Dick Ratliff, Staff Counsel	<b>Name:</b> Lisa Worrall