



**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE
PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER**

Docket No. 11-AFC-01

Order No. 12-0912-04

**COMMITTEE-PROPOSED
COMMISSION ADOPTION ORDER**

California Energy Commission DOCKETED 11-AFC-1
TN # 67024 SEP 06 2012

The Pio Pico Energy Center AFC Committee recommends Commission adoption of the following Proposed Commission Adoption Order:

This Commission Order adopts the Commission Decision on the Pio Pico Energy Center (PPEC) Application for Certification. The Commission Decision consists of the Presiding Member’s Proposed Decision (PMPD) docketed on August 6, 2012, and the Committee Errata. The Commission Decision is based upon the evidentiary record of these proceedings and considers the comments received at the September 12, 2012 business meeting. The Commission Decision contains a summary of the proceedings, the evidence presented, and the rationale for the findings reached and conditions imposed.

This **ORDER** adopts by reference the text, Conditions of Certification, Compliance Verifications, and Appendices contained in the Commission Decision. It also adopts specific requirements contained in the Commission Decision which will ensure that the proposed facility will be designed, sited, and operated in a manner to protect environmental quality, to assure public health and safety, and to operate in a safe and reliable manner.

FINDINGS

The Commission hereby adopts the following findings in addition to those contained in the Commission Decision:

1. The Pio Pico Energy Center project will provide a degree of economic benefits and electricity reliability to the local area.
2. The Conditions of Certification contained in the Commission Decision, if implemented by the project owner, ensure that the project will be designed, sited, and operated in conformity with applicable local, regional, state, and federal laws,

ordinances, regulations, and standards, including applicable public health and safety standards, and air and water quality standards.

3. Implementation of the Conditions of Certification contained in the Commission Decision will ensure protection of environmental quality and assure reasonably safe and reliable operation of the facility. The Conditions of Certification also assure that the project will neither result in, nor contribute substantially to, any significant direct, indirect, or cumulative adverse environmental impacts.
4. Existing governmental land use restrictions are sufficient to adequately control population density in the area surrounding the facility and may be reasonably expected to ensure public health and safety.
5. The project is subject to Fish and Game Code section 711.4 and the project owner must therefore pay a nine hundred ninety-two dollar and fifty cents (\$992.50) fee to the California Department of Fish and Game.
6. Construction and operation of the project, as mitigated, will not create any significant adverse environmental impacts. Therefore, no feasible alternatives to the project, as described in the record, would reduce or eliminate any significant environmental impacts of the mitigated project.
7. The evidence does not establish the existence of any environmentally superior alternative site.
8. The record establishes that an environmental justice screening analysis was conducted and that the project, as mitigated, will not have a disproportionate impact on low-income or minority populations.
9. The Decision contains a discussion of the public benefits of the project as required by Public Resources Code section 25523(h).
10. The Decision contains measures to ensure that the planned, temporary, or unexpected closure of the project will occur in conformance with applicable laws, ordinances, regulations, and standards.
11. The proceedings leading to this Decision have been conducted in conformity with the applicable provisions of Commission regulations governing the consideration of an Application for Certification and thereby meet the requirements of Public Resources Code sections 21000 et seq. and 25500 et seq.

ORDER

Therefore, the Commission **ORDERS** the following:

1. The PMPD docketed on August 6, 2012 and the Committee Errata are hereby adopted as the Commission Decision and incorporated by reference into this Order.
2. The Application for Certification of the Pio Pico Energy Center project as described in the Commission Decision is hereby approved and a certificate to construct and operate the project is hereby **GRANTED**.
3. The approval of the Application for Certification is subject to the timely performance of the Conditions of Certification and Compliance Verifications enumerated in the accompanying text and Appendices. The Conditions and Compliance Verifications are integrated with this Decision and are not severable therefrom. While the project owner may delegate the performance of a condition or verification, the duty to ensure adequate performance of a condition or verification may not be delegated.
4. This Order is adopted, issued, effective, and final on the date this Order is docketed.
5. Reconsideration of this Decision is governed by Public Resources Code, section 25530.
6. Judicial review of this Decision is governed by Public Resources Code, section 25531.
7. The Commission hereby adopts the Conditions of Certification, Compliance Verifications, and associated dispute resolution procedures as part of this Decision in order to implement the compliance monitoring program required by Public Resources Code section 25532. All conditions in this Decision take effect immediately upon adoption and apply to all construction and site preparation activities including, but not limited to, ground disturbance, site preparation, and permanent structure construction.
8. This Decision licenses the project owner to commence construction on the project within five years of the date this Order is docketed. Subject to the provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1720.3, this license expires by operation of law when the project's start-of-construction deadline passes with no construction.
9. The project owner shall provide the Executive Director a check in the amount of nine hundred and ninety-two dollars and fifty cents (\$992.50) payable to the California Department of Fish and Game.

10. The Executive Director of the Commission shall transmit a Notice of Decision and appropriate accompanying documents, including the Department of Fish and Game fee, as provided by Public Resources Code section 25537, California Code of Regulations, title 20, section 1768, and Fish and Game Code section 711.4.
11. The Hearing Office shall incorporate the PMPD and Errata into a single document. Publication of that compilation shall not affect the adoption, effective, issuance, or final dates of this Order established in paragraph 4, above.
12. The Application for Certification docket file for this proceeding shall be closed effective 30 days after this Order is final, unless a timely petition is filed pursuant to Public Resources Code section 25530.

CERTIFICATION

The undersigned Secretariat to the Commission does hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true, and correct copy of an Order duly and regularly adopted at a meeting of the California Energy Commission held on September 12, 2012.

AYE:

NAY:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

Dated: September 12, 2012, at Sacramento, California.

Harriet Kallemeyn
Secretariat
California Energy Commission



**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE
PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER PROJECT**

**Docket No. 11-AFC-01
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 8/16/2012)**

APPLICANT

Gary Chandler, President
Pio Pico Energy Center
P.O. Box 95592
South Jordan, UT 84095
grchandler@apexpowergroup.com

David Jenkins, Project Manager
Pio Pico Energy Center, LLC
1293 E. Jessup Way
Mooresville, IN 46158
djenkins@apexpowergroup.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald
Sierra Research
1801 J Street
Sacramento, CA 95811
MFitzgerald@sierraresearch.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

John A. McKinsey
Melissa A. Foster
Stoel Rives, LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
jamckinsey@stoel.com
mafoster@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Rob Simpson
rob@redwoodrob.com

Gretel Smith, Esq.
Attorney for Rob Simpson
P.O. Box 152994
San Diego, CA 92195
gretel.smith79@gmail.com

INTERVENORS (con't.)

Corrections Corporation of America
G. Scott Williams, Esq.
c/o Seltzer Caplan McMahon Vitek
750 B Street, Suite 2100
San Diego, CA 92101
swilliams@scmv.com

**ENERGY COMMISSION –
DECISIONMAKERS**

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and Presiding Member
carla.peterman@energy.ca.gov

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Associate Member
karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud
Hearing Adviser
raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen
Commissioners' Technical
Advisor for Facility Siting
eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov

Jim Bartridge
Presiding Member's Advisor
jim.bartridge@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei
Associate Member's Advisor
galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

Jennifer Nelson
Associate Member's Advisor
Jennifer.nelson@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION –STAFF

Eric Solorio
Siting Project Manager
eric.solorio@energy.ca.gov

Kevin W. Bell
Staff Counsel
kevin.w.bell@energy.ca.gov

**ENERGY COMMISSION –
PUBLIC ADVISER**

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser
publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, RoseMary Avalos, declare that on, September 6, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the attached COMMITTEE PROPOSED COMMISSION ADOPTION ORDER. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/piopico/index.html.

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

For service to all other parties:

- Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;
- Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses marked ***"hard copy required"** or where no e-mail address is provided.

AND

For filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

- by sending one electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); **OR**
- by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT
Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-01
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.ca.gov

OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

- Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:

California Energy Commission
Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel
1516 Ninth Street MS-14
Sacramento, CA 95814
michael.levy@energy.ca.gov

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

Original Signed By:

RoseMary Avalos
Hearing Advisers Office