

500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600 Sacramento, CA 95814 main 916.447.0700 fax 916.447.4781 www.stoel.com

July 22, 2012

MELISSA A. FOSTER Direct (916) 319-4673 mafoster@stoel.com

California Energy Commission

DOCKETED 11-AFC-1

TN # 66294

JUL 23 2012

VIA EMAIL

Hearing Officer Raoul Renaud California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Avenue Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Pio Pico Energy Center Project (11-AFC-01)
Condition of Certification NOISE-4

Dear Hearing Officer Renaud:

For the past two weeks, Applicant Pio Pico Energy Center LLC ("Applicant") and Intervenor Corrections Corporation of America ("CCA") have diligently been working to resolve concerns related to Staff's proposed Condition of Certification NOISE-4. Applicant is pleased to report that Applicant and CCA have in fact resolved their dispute. Applicant and CCA each support a proposed Condition of Certification NOISE-4 that would impose a 75 dBA Leq (one hour) noise limit for the PPEC project along the northern boundary of the PPEC site as measured after the PPEC facility reaches a sustained output of 90% or greater of rated capacity.

Pursuant to the Evidentiary Hearing Order (July 12, 2012), Applicant herein provides the attached revised Condition of Certification NOISE-4 that reflects such resolution and highlights the recently agreed upon proposed changes to differentiate from the proposed revisions submitted by Applicant on June 26, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

Melissa A. Foster

MAF:jmw

cc: Proof of Service

NOISE-4 The project design and implementation shall include appropriate noise mitigation measures adequate to ensure that the operation of the project will not cause the noise levels due to plant operation alone, during the four quietest consecutive hours of the nighttime, to exceed an average of 3645 dBA Leq measured at or near monitoring location LT-1 and an average of 3439 dBA Leq measured at or near monitoring location LT-2.

Also, the project design and implementation shall include appropriate noise mitigation measures adequate to ensure that the operation of the project will not eause the noise levels due to plant operation alone to exceed 62.5 dBA Leq between 7 a.m. and 10 p.m. and 60 dBA Leq between 10 p.m. and 7 a.m. measured at EMDF.

The project shall also ensure that it includes any required noise mitigation measures to ensure it does not exceed 75 dBA at the project property line during plant operations.

No new pure-tone components shall be caused by the project. No single piece of equipment shall be allowed to stand out as a source of noise that draws legitimate complaints.

A. When the project first achieves a sustained output of 90% or greater of rated capacity, the project owner shall conduct a community noise survey at monitoring location LT-1 or at a closer location acceptable to the CPM. This survey shall also include measurement of one-third octave band sound pressure levels to ensure that no new pure-tone noise components have been caused by the project.

During the period of this survey, the project owner shall conduct a short-term survey of noise at the monitoring location LT-2 or at a closer location acceptable to the CPM. The short-term noise measurements at this location shall be conducted continuously during the nighttime hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m.

Also during the period of this survey, the project owner shall conduct a short-term survey of noise at EMDF. The short-term noise measurements at this location shall be conducted continuously during the nighttime hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. and also during the daytime hours of 7:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m.

The measurement of power plant noise for the purposes of demonstrating compliance with this condition of certification may alternatively be made at a location, acceptable to the CPM, closer to the plant (e.g., 400 feet from the plant boundary) and this measured level then mathematically extrapolated to determine the plant noise contribution at the affected residence. The character of the plant noise shall be evaluated at the

affected receptor locations to determine the presence of pure tones or other dominant sources of plant noise.

Also during the period of the above survey, the project owner shall conduct a short-term survey of noise levels at several points on its property lines, including and, if the proposed detention facility has been constructed or is under construction, an emphasis on the North property line.

- B. If the results from the noise survey indicate that the power plant noise at the affected receptor sites (LT-1 or LT-2) exceeds the above values during the four quietest consecutive hours of the nighttime, mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce noise to a level of compliance with these limits.
- C. If the results from the **property line** noise survey indicate that the power plant noise at EMDF exceeds the above values 75 dBA during the measurement hours, mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce noise to a level of compliance with these limits.
- D. If the results from the noise survey indicate that pure tones are present, mitigation measures shall be implemented to eliminate the pure tones.

<u>Verification</u>: The survey shall take place within 45 days of the project first achieving a sustained output of 90% or greater of rated capacity. Within 15 days after completing the survey, the project owner shall submit a summary report of the survey to the CPM. Included in the survey report will be a description of any additional mitigation measures necessary to achieve compliance with the above listed noise limit, and a schedule, subject to CPM approval, for implementing these measures. When these measures are in place, the project owner shall repeat the noise survey.

Within 15 days of completion of the new survey, the project owner shall submit to the CPM a summary report of the new noise survey, performed as described above and showing compliance with this condition.



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - www.energy.ca.gov

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER PROJECT

APPLICANT

Gary Chandler, President
Pio Pico Energy Center
P.O. Box 95592
South Jordan, UT 84095
grchandler@apexpowergroup.com

David Jenkins, Project Manager Pio Pico Energy Center, LLC 1293 E. Jessup Way Mooresville, IN 46158 djenkins@apexpowergroup.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald Sierra Research 1801 J Street Sacramento, CA 95811 MFitzgerald@sierraresearch.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

John A. McKinsey
Melissa A. Foster
Stoel Rives, LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
jamckinsey@stoel.com
mafoster@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO

<u>e-mail service preferred</u>

<u>e-recipient@caiso.com</u>

INTERVENORS

*Rob Simpson e-mail service preferred rob@redwoodrob.com *Gretel Smith, Esq.
Attorney for Rob Simpson
P.O. Box 152994
San Diego, CA 92195
gretel.smith79@gmail.com

*Corrections Corporation of America G. Scott Williams, Esq. c/o Seltzer Caplan McMahon Vitek 750 B Street, Suite 2100 San Diego, CA 92101 swilliams@scmv.com

<u>ENERGY COMMISSION –</u> DECISIONMAKERS

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and Presiding Member
carla.peterman@energy.ca.gov

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Associate Member
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov</u>

Raoul Renaud Hearing Adviser raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Jim Bartridge Presiding Member's Advisor jim.bartridge@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei Associate Member's Advisor <u>e-mail service preferred</u> galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

Docket No. 11-AFC-01 PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 7/10/2012)

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF

Eric Solorio
Siting Project Manager
eric.solorio@energy.ca.gov

Kevin W. Bell Staff Counsel kevin.w.bell@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen
Commissioners' Technical
Advisor for Facility Siting
<u>e-mail service preferred</u>
<u>eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov</u>

ENERGY COMMISSION - PUBLIC ADVISER

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser

<u>e-mail service preferred</u>

<u>publicadviser@energy.ca.gov</u>

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Kimberly J. Hellwig, declare that on July 22, 2012, I served and filed a copy of the attached Letter to Raoul Renaud dated July 22, 2012 re CCA and Applicant's NOISE-4 Resolution. This document is accompanied by the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/piopico/index.html

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the

Commi	ission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:
(Checl	k all that Apply)
For se	rvice to all other parties:
×	Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;
	Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses NOT marked "e-mail service preferred."
AND	
For fili	ing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:
×	by sending one electronic copy to the e-mail address below (preferred method); OR
□ OR. if	by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:
	CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-01 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.ca.gov filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:
OIX, 11	ming a readon for reconstactation of Decision of Graci pursuant to ride 20, § 1720.
	Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chie Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:
	California Energy Commission Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel 1516 Ninth Street MS-14 Sacramento, CA 95814 michael.levy@energy.ca.gov
	re under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I apployed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the eding.
	//Original Signed\\ Kimberly J. Hellwig

2