

**CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION**  
**REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1**



**Facilities Siting Division**

**FILE: Siting**

**PROJECT TITLE: Pio Pico Energy Center**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone		<input type="checkbox"/> Meeting Location:	
<b>NAME:</b>	Emmet Aquino	<b>DATE:</b>	July 11, 2012
		<b>TIME:</b>	10:00 a.m.
<b>WITH:</b>	Land Use/Environmental Planner, Department of Planning and Land Use, County of San Diego (County)		
<b>SUBJECT:</b>	County Noise LORS Applicable to Pio Pico Energy Center (PPEC) and East Mesa Detention Facility		

**COMMENTS:**

I called Mr. Aquino to ask about the County's interpretation of its noise LORS as they apply to Industrial and Civic land uses within the County. Mr. Aquino stated that the County used to refer to the performance standards in the zoning ordinance but those standards are not applied anymore, and as of 2009, projects within the Otay Mesa Specific Plan are subject to the noise ordinance.

He explained that Section 36.404(c) applies to S88 zoning, and that the noise limit of 75 dBA in Table 36.404 of the noise ordinance applies to an M56 land use designation (such as the PPEC). He then explained that within S88 zoning, a facility with a Civic land use designation shall be subject to the 50 dBA (daytime) and 45 dBA (nighttime) limits as specified in Table 36.404.

I asked him if he agreed that when two different land uses are adjacent, the sound level limit on a boundary between the two land uses, within the same zoning, is the arithmetic mean of the respective limits for the two land uses. He stated his concurrence with that statement.

California Energy Commission <b>DOCKETED</b> 11-AFC-1
TN # 66252 JUL 17 2012

<b>cc:</b> Eric Solorio Kevin Bell	<b>Signed:</b> <i>Shahab Khoshmashrab</i>
	<b>Name:</b> Shahab Khoshmashrab