

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION1516 NINTH STREET
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512**STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION
AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION****DOCKET****11-AFC-1**

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 11-AFC-01
)	
PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER)	STAFF'S OPPOSITION
)	to Rob Simpson's
PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER, LLC)	Petition to Intervene
_____)	

DATE	NOV 17 2011
RECD.	NOV 17 2011

INTRODUCTION

On February 9, 2011, Pio Pico Energy Center LLC submitted an Application for Certification (AFC) to the California Energy Commission (Energy Commission) seeking permission to construct and operate a power generation facility, the Pio Pico Energy Center (PPEC), in the County of San Diego, adjacent to the existing Otay Mesa Generating Project. The PPEC is a proposed simple-cycle power generation project that consists of three General Electric LMS100 natural gas-fired combustion turbine generators. The total net generating capacity would be 300 megawatts, with each CTG capable of generating 100 megawatts.

The AFC for the PPEC was found data adequate on April 20, 2011 and was assigned to a committee to conduct proceedings on the AFC. A public Site Visit and Informational Hearing was held on May 16, 2011, at Southwestern College Higher Education Center at Otay Mesa. Since that time, staff has worked diligently towards publishing the Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA).

On November 2, 2011, Petitioner Rob Simpson filed a Petition to Intervene in the above entitled matter. Staff hereby objects to this petition, and for the reasons set forth below, requests that the presiding member DENY the petition.

ANALYSIS

Title 20, California Code of Regulations, section 1207 provides as follows:

- (a) Any person may file with the Dockets Unit or the presiding committee member a petition to intervene in any proceeding. The petition shall set forth the grounds for intervention, the position and interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, the extent to which the petitioner desires to participate in the proceeding, and the name, address, and phone number of the petitioner.

Historically, the Energy Commission and staff both have actively encouraged public participation in our proceedings. Public participation is an integral part of the Energy Commission's licensing proceedings, particularly for those members of the public who reside in the vicinity of projects that are certified by the Energy Commission, or for those members of the public who have a particular interest in some aspect of the project. In this matter, Mr. Simpson is seeking to intervene in order to be granted party

status. However, Mr. Simpson resides nowhere near this project, and has identified no particular interest in this matter that would justify his intervention. A review of his petition shows that he has failed to support his request for intervention.

1. Grounds for intervention

Mr. Simpson states as his sole ground for intervention that he wishes to “insure [sic] that all applicable laws are followed and that the environment and human health are protected through these proceedings.” Based on Mr. Simpson’s historical level of participation as an intervener and staff’s observation that he has not contributed in helping to create an informed record, we do not believe Mr. Simpson’s request to intervene merits approval. There is nothing that would prevent Mr. Simpson from participating in this matter as a member of the public to further his wishes that “all applicable laws are followed and that the environment and human health are protected through these proceedings.” However, his one stated ground for intervention is alone insufficient to grant him party status.

While staff sees no reason to allow his request to be granted party status, staff continues to support Mr. Simpson’s participation as a member of the public, and encourages him to attend workshops and to comment on various documents, including the PSA, FSA, PMPD, and Final Decision. Unless and until Mr. Simpson can articulate adequate grounds to justify his intervention in this proceeding, the Committee should deny his request.

2. The position and interest of the petitioner in the proceeding

Mr. Simpson states that he will participate in the proceeding as an individual citizen, and as the “Executive Director of the non-profit corporation Helping Hand Tools.” However, he fails to set forth any interest in this proceeding whatsoever, either as an individual or in his “official” capacity.

Mr. Simpson does not reside in the community in which this project would be constructed: this project is hundreds of miles away from Mr. Simpson’s residence in the vicinity of Hayward, California. Nor has Mr. Simpson identified any ties, professional or otherwise, that would give rise to an interest in this proceeding, professional or otherwise. Absent a showing of an identifiable interest in this project, Mr. Simpson’s petition should be denied.

3. The extent to which the petitioner desires to participate in the proceeding

Mr. Simpson states that he wishes to “fully participate in the proceedings.” However, Mr. Simpson has failed to specify how he would “fully participate.” He has failed to identify which technical areas are of concern, or exactly in what manner he would participate. In previous matters in which he was allowed to intervene before the Energy Commission, staff is unaware of any hearing where Mr. Simpson has proffered expert testimony. Mr. Simpson has not authored his own reports or studies, nor is staff aware of any instance in which he has been qualified to render anything other than a lay opinion on the various technical areas that will encompass the Energy Commission’s comprehensive environmental review of the current project.



**BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT
COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814
1-800-822-6228 – WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV**

**APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE *PIO PICO ENERGY CENTER, LLC***

**Docket No. 11-AFC-1
PROOF OF SERVICE
(Revised 8/15/2011)**

APPLICANT

Gary Chandler, President
Pio Pico Energy Center
P.O. Box 95592
South Jordan, UT 84095
grchandler@apexpowergroup.com

David Jenkins, Project Manager
Pio Pico Energy Center, LLC
1293 E. Jessup Way
Mooresville, IN 46158
djenkins@apexpowergroup.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

Maggie Fitzgerald, Project Manager
URS Corporation
2020 East 1st Street, Suite 400
Santa Ana, CA 92705
maggie_fitzgerald@urscorp.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

John A. McKinsey
Melissa A. Foster
Stoel Rives, LLP
500 Capitol Mall, Suite 1600
Sacramento, CA 95814
jamckinsey@stoel.com
mafoster@stoel.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
e-mail service preferred
e-recipient@caiso.com

**ENERGY COMMISSION –
DECISIONMAKERS**

CARLA PETERMAN
Commissioner and Presiding Member
cpeterma@energy.state.ca.us

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Associate Member
e-mail service preferred
kldougl@energy.state.ca.us

Jim Bartridge
Adviser to Commissioner Peterman
jbartrid@energy.state.ca.us

Galen Lemei
Adviser to Commissioner Douglas
e-mail service preferred
glemei@energy.state.ca.us

Raoul Renaud
Hearing Officer
rrenaud@energy.state.ca.us

ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF

Eric Solorio
Siting Project Manager
esolorio@energy.state.ca.us

Kevin W. Bell
Staff Counsel
kwbell@energy.state.ca.us

**ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC
ADVISER**

Jennifer Jennings
Public Adviser
e-mail service preferred
publicadviser@energy.state.ca.us

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Pamela Fredieu, declare that on, November 17, 2011, I served and filed copies of the attached **Staff's Opposition to Rob Simpson's Petition to Intervene**, dated November 17, 2011. The original document, filed with the Docket Unit or the Chief Counsel, as required by the applicable regulation, is accompanied by a copy of the most recent Proof of Service list, located on the web page for this project at: [\[www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/piopico/index.html\]](http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/piopico/index.html).

The document has been sent to the other parties in this proceeding (as shown on the Proof of Service list) and to the Commission's Docket Unit or Chief Counsel, as appropriate, in the following manner:

(Check all that Apply)

For service to all other parties:

- Served electronically to all e-mail addresses on the Proof of Service list;
- Served by delivering on this date, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, to the name and address of the person served, for mailing that same day in the ordinary course of business; that the envelope was sealed and placed for collection and mailing on that date to those addresses **NOT** marked "e-mail service preferred."

AND

For filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy Commission:

- by sending an original paper copy and one electronic copy, mailed with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid and e-mailed respectively, to the address below (preferred method); **OR**
- by depositing an original and 12 paper copies in the mail with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid, as follows:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT
Attn: Docket No. 11-AFC-01
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512
docket@energy.state.ca.us

OR, if filing a Petition for Reconsideration of Decision or Order pursuant to Title 20, § 1720:

- Served by delivering on this date one electronic copy by e-mail, and an original paper copy to the Chief Counsel at the following address, either personally, or for mailing with the U.S. Postal Service with first class postage thereon fully prepaid:

California Energy Commission
Michael J. Levy, Chief Counsel
1516 Ninth Street MS-14
Sacramento, CA 95814
mlevy@energy.state.ca.us

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, that I am employed in the county where this mailing occurred, and that I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to the proceeding.

_____/s/_____
