

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

REPORT OF CONVERSATION Page 1 of 1

DOCKET

11-AFC-1

DATE JULY 06 2011

RECD. FEB 02 2012



Siting, Transmission, and Environmental Protection Division

FILE: 11-AFC-01

PROJECT TITLE: Pio Pico Energy Center (PPEC)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephone	916-654-4545/ 619-691-5553	<input type="checkbox"/> Meeting Location:	
NAME:	Lisa Worrall	DATE:	July 6, 2011
		TIME:	9:13 am
WITH:	Alina Cruz, Senior Administrative Assistant, Sweetwater Union High School District		
SUBJECT:	Current school impact fee rate (2011-2012 fiscal year) and school district capacity information		

On July 6, 2011, Alina Cruz, Senior Administrative Assistant with the SDSU Planning Department, emailed me a copy of the Growth management Oversight Commission 2011 Questionnaire, Schools- Sweetwater Union High School District. This was approved by SUHSD Board of Trustees on February 15, 2011. This was in response to a previous discussion I had with Paul regarding the capacity status in the SUHSD.

cc:	Signed: LW
	Name: Lisa Worrall

GROWTH MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT COMMISSION 2011 QUESTIONNAIRE
(Review Period: 7/1/09 - 6/30/10 to the Current time and Five Year Forecast)

SCHOOLS - SWEETWATER UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

THRESHOLD STANDARD:

The City shall annually provide the two local school districts with a 12- to 18-month forecast and request evaluations of their abilities to accommodate the forecast and continuing growth. The districts' replies should address the following:

1. Amount of current capacity now used or committed;
2. Ability to absorb forecasted growth in affected facilities;
3. Evaluation of funding and site availability for projected new facilities; and
4. Other relevant information the districts desire to communicate to the City and GMOC.

1. Please complete the table below, indicating the current enrollment and capacity conditions.

EXISTING CONDITIONS – NOVEMBER 2010

Schools	Current Enrollment 11/10	Building Capacity Permanent/Portables (Note 1)	Adjusted Building Capacity*	Physical Education Capacity	Within Capacity	Overflowed Out	Comments
NORTHWEST							
Chula Vista Middle	1,191	1,140	270	1,410	220	X	
Hilltop Middle	1,107	1,200	210	1,410	220	X	
Chula Vista High	2,636	1,860	990	2,850	220	X	
Hilltop High	2,133	2,040	510	2,550	220	X	
SOUTHWEST							
Castle Park Middle	1,041	1,380	150	1,530	220	X	
Castle Park High	1,534	1,350	570	1,920	220	X	
Palomar High	402	360	240	600	100	X	
Chula Vista Adult	3,014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X	
SOUTHEAST							
Eastlake High	2,466	2,460	480	2,940	220	X	
Eastlake Middle	1,605	1,665	0	1,665	220	X	
Otay Ranch High	2,679	2,600	300	2,900	220	X	
Olympian High (HS#13)	1,696	2,460	0	2,460	220	X	
NORTHEAST							
Bonita Vista High	2,213	1,770	780	2,550	220	X	
Bonita Vista Middle	1,112	1,110	420	1,530	220	X	
Rancho Del Rey Middle	1,613	1,380	60	1,440	220	Note 2	

Note 1: Capacity figures taken from Long Range Facility Master Plan (loaded at 30 students per classroom) – September 2003.
 *Capacity is the adjusted building capacity plus physical education capacity. It excludes students and capacity assigned to special abilities clusters and learning centers.

Note 2: Overflow capacity is accommodated on-site through creative master scheduling and increases in class size.

2. Please complete the tables below (insert new schools into the tables, as appropriate) to indicate the projected conditions for (a) December 2011 and (b) December 2015, based on the City's forecast.

2.a

13-MONTH FORECASTED CONDITIONS -- DECEMBER 2011							
Schools	Projected Enrollment 12/31/11	Building Capacity Permanent/Portables (Note 1)	Adjusted Building Capacity*	Physical Education Capacity	Within Capacity	Overflowed Out	Comments
NORTHWEST							
Chula Vista Middle	1,065	1,140	270	1,410	220	X	
Hilltop Middle	997	1,200	210	1,410	220	X	
Chula Vista High	2,792	1,860	990	2,850	220	X	
Hilltop High	2,077	2,040	510	2,550	220	X	
SOUTHWEST							
Castle Park Middle	994	1,380	150	1,530	220	X	
Castle Park High	1,510	1,350	570	1,920	220	X	
Palomar High	402	360	240	600	100	X	
Chula Vista Adult	3,014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X	
SOUTHEAST							
Eastlake High	2,563	2,460	480	2,940	220	X	
Eastlake Middle	1,626	1,665	0	1,665	220	X	
Otay Ranch High	2,604	2,600	300	2,900	220	X	
Olympian High	1,817	2,460	0	2,460	220	X	
NORTHEAST							
Bonita Vista High	2,127	1,770	780	2,550	220	X	
Bonita Vista Middle	1,113	1,110	420	1,530	220	X	
Rancho del Rey Middle	1,576	1,380	60	1,440	220	Note 2	

Note 1: Capacity figures taken from Long Range Facility Master Plan (loaded at 30 students per classroom) – September 2003.
 *Capacity is the adjusted building capacity plus physical education capacity. It excludes students and capacity assigned to special abilities clusters and learning centers.

Note 2: Overflow capacity is accommodated on-site through creative master scheduling and increases in class size.

2.b

FIVE-YEAR FORECASTED CONDITIONS -- DECEMBER 2015							
Schools	Projected Enrollment 12/31/15 (Note 1)	Building Capacity Permanent/Portables (Note 3)	Adjusted Building Capacity*	Physical Education Capacity	Within Capacity	Overflowed Out	Comments
NORTHWEST							
Chula Vista Middle	1,091	1,140	270	1,410	220	X	
Hilltop Middle	991	1,200	210	1,410	220	X	
Chula Vista High	2,677	1,860	990	2,850	220	X	
Hilltop High	1,894	2,040	510	2,550	220	X	
SOUTHWEST							
Castle Park Middle	954	1,380	150	1,530	220	X	
Castle Park High	1,223	1,350	570	1,920	220	X	
Palomar High	402	360	240	600	100	X	
Chula Vista Adult	3,014	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	X	
SOUTHEAST							
Eastlake High	3,403	2,460	480	2,940	220	Note 2	
Eastlake Middle	2,006	1,665	0	1,665	220	Note 2	
Otay Ranch High	2,475	2,600	300	2,900	220	X	
Olympian (HS#13)	2,856	2,460	0	2,460	220	Note 2	
MS #12	Note 2	1,000	0	1,000	200	Note 2	
HS #14	Note 2	2,000	0	2,000	200	Note 2	
NORTHEAST							
Bonita Vista High	2,024	1,770	780	2,550	220	X	
Bonita Vista Middle	924	1,110	420	1,530	220	X	
Rancho del Rey Middle	1,686	1,380	60	1,440	220	Note 2	

Note 1: Please note that these projections, prepared by Davis Demographics and Planning (DDP) in October 2008, on behalf of the Sweetwater Union High School District, reflect projected enrollments based upon the students dwelling within the actual attendance boundary of the respective school. It should be further noted that the school district supports specialized programs at various schools, which allow students throughout the district to choose to attend schools other than their assigned school. The impact of this transfer policy is impossible to project beyond a one-year timeline. Therefore, the 2015 projections provided herein, are merely a projection by DDP of students residing in the respective school boundary.

Note 2: District staff currently projects the need for Middle School No. 12 no earlier than 2014. The school will relieve EastLake and Rancho Del Rey Middle Schools. District staff currently projects the need for High School No. 14 no earlier than 2014. The school will relieve Eastlake and Olympian High Schools. Since attendance boundaries have not been established, enrollment projections cannot be made nor can we project exactly how the affected schools' enrollment will be reduced.

Note 3: Capacity figures taken from Long Range Facility Master Plan (loaded at 30 students per classroom) – September 2003. *Capacity is the adjusted building capacity plus physical education capacity. It excludes students and capacity assigned to special abilities clusters and learning centers.

3. Please complete the table below to indicate enrollment history.

ENROLLMENT HISTORY					
	2009-10	2008-09	2007-08	2006-07	2005-06
NORTHWEST SCHOOLS					
Total Enrollment	7,067	7,242	7,446	7,434	7,366
% of Change Over the Previous Year	-2.4%	-2.7%	0.2%	0.9%	0.6%
% of Enrollment from Chula Vista	88%	88%	89%	88%	87%
SOUTHWEST SCHOOLS					
Total Enrollment	2,977	3,064	3,281	3,423	3,582
% of Change Over the Previous Year	-2.8%	-6.6%	-4.2%	-4.6%	-9.6%
% of Enrollment from Chula Vista	94%	94%	94%	95%	91%
SOUTHEAST SCHOOLS					
Total Enrollment	8,446	8,242	7,857	7,512	7,108
% of Change Over the Previous Year	2.5%	4.9%	4.6%	5.4%	8.7%
% of Enrollment from Chula Vista (Note 1)	95%	94%	96%	87%	86%
NORTHEAST SCHOOLS					
Total Enrollment	4,938	5,088	5,133	5,003	4,997
% of Change Over the Previous Year	-1.4%	-2.4%	2.6%	0.1%	2.5%
% of Enrollment from Chula Vista	72%	71%	94%	95%	96%
DISTRICT WIDE					
Total Enrollment	41,580	42,420	42,839	42,408	41,680
% of Change Over the Previous Year	-1.98%	-0.98%	1.0%	1.7%	-0.4%
% of Enrollment from Chula Vista	49%	48%	57%	55%	52%

4. Are existing facilities/schools able to accommodate forecasted growth through the next 12 to 18 months? If not, please explain.

Yes X No

5. Are existing facilities/schools able to accommodate forecasted growth for the next five years? If not, please explain.

Yes No X

District will need construct Middle School No. 12 and may need to construct High School No. 14 within the next 5 years.

6. Please complete the new schools status table, below.

NEW SCHOOLS STATUS						
School	Site Selection	Architectural Review/Funding ID for Land and Construction	Commencement of Site Preparation	Service by Utilities and Road	Commencement of Construction	Time Needed By
MS #12	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete	Est. 2014	Est. 2016
HS #14	Complete	Complete	Complete	Complete	Est. 20114	Est. 2016

7. Is adequate funding secured and/or identified for maintenance of existing facilities/schools? If not, please explain.

Yes X No

8. Please provide any other relevant information, recommendations or suggestions that you would like to relay to the GMOC and/or the City Council.

The unstable economy and high foreclosure rate make the 5-year projections for east Chula Vista very tentative. The timing of Middle School 12 and High School 14 may change significantly as the economy recovers.

PREPARED BY:

Name: Planning Department

Date: January 21, 2011