STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket: 06-AFC-6
Application for Certification for the Eastshore Energy Center in Hayward)	Staff Comments on Revised PMPD
By Tierra Energy		October 1, 2008 DOCKET OG-AFC-G
	INTRODUC	DATE OCT 0 1 2008

On June 20, 2008, the Presiding Member of the Committee assigned to the Eastshore Energy Center Application for Certification (AFC) proceeding issued the Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD). After receiving written comments and holding an evidentiary hearing and Committee conference to receive comments and recommendations regarding the PMPD, the Presiding Member issued a revised PMPD on August 29, 2008. Staff only has minor comments on the revised PMPD, and points out several typographical errors.

Air Quality

First, as noted in our comments on the PMPD, the NO₂ standards that apply to this project are those that are identified in the FSA, not the newer standards that became effective in early 2008. (Bay Area Air Quality Management District Rule 2-1-409.) Moreover, contrary to the statement on page 5 of the Revised PMPD, staff did not provide testimony indicating that the project would comply with the new standard; staff stated that the new standard does not apply to this project. The Revised PMPD should be corrected to reflect this fact. Similarly, Finding and Conclusion 24 on page 156 of the Revised PMPD should be corrected to indicate that staff did not supply testimony demonstrating that the project would comply with the new standard. Finding 24 should clarify that staff's testimony demonstrated that the project would comply with the NO₂ standard in effect at the time the application was determined to be complete.

In addition, while various tables have been modified based on testimony from the applicant, the text, unchanged in the Revised PMPD, still refers to the tables as "Staff's" tables. This is misleading. (See, Air Quality Table 2, page 128, and Table 16, page 140.) We note that other references to these tables include a statement that they have been updated by the applicant's July 21, 2008 testimony. Also, the text introducing the applicant's refined analysis on page 140 of the Revised PMPD should indicate that this analysis used an updated NO₂ background value in identifying the updated impacts. The notes below Table 16 (p. 140) and Table 20 (p. 148) of the Revised PMPD should add Exhibit 58 as a "Source", which is the testimony of Mr. Gregory Darvin. Finally, we note two typographical errors: 1) the footnote on page 140 describes modeling

provided by the applicant in April/May 2007, but the correct year is 2008; and 2) Table 16 on page 140 of the Revised PMPD identifies the modeled NO₂ 1-hour impact as 157,06 when it should be 157.06.

Public Health

In the area of Public Health, staff notes that we inadvertently overlooked problems in Condition of Certification PUBLIC HEALTH-1 in our comments on the original PMPD. Two of the three paragraphs entitled "Protocol" are not appropriate. Specifically, the second "protocol" in the Condition requires that the project owner use "OEHHA's more stringent [reference exposure level] REL for acrolein emissions at 0.19 micrograms per cubic meter of air." This is not appropriate because the REL may change in the near future and the health risk assessment (HRA) required pursuant to the Condition would not be prepared for several years. Hence the Revised PMPD should be modified to require that the current RELs for all toxic air contaminants be used when preparing the HRA. A second concern is that the third "protocol" requires that data from the March 2008 West Oakland Study be included. This is confusing and contradictory since the Revised PMPD made an express finding that the data compiled by CARB's March 19, 2008, Health Risk Assessment on diesel particulate matter in the Oakland area was not shown to impact Staff's cumulative public health analysis. (Public Health Finding and Conclusion 21.) Moreover, the Revised PMPD offers no guidance as to what data from the study should be included or how it should be used. Staff recommends that this protocol be stricken.

Miscellaneous

On page 389 of the Revised PMPD, the word "public" should be stricken from the second sentence; this appears to be a typographical error.

Date: October 1, 2008 Respectfully submitted,

CARYN J. HOLMES

Staff Counsel IV

California Energy Commission

1516 9th St., MS-14

Sacramento, CA. 95814

Ph: (916) 654-4178

E-mail: cholmes@energy.state.ca.us



BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 - WWW.ENERGY.CA.GOV

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION
FOR THE EASTSHORE ENERGY CENTER
IN CITY OF HAYWARD
BY TIERRA ENERGY

Docket No. 06-AFC-6

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 9/11/2008)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS:</u> All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies <u>or</u> (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the Docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed <u>or</u> electronic copy of the document, <u>which includes a proof of service</u> <u>declaration</u> to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-6 1516 Ninth Street, MS-15 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

APPLICANT

Greg Trewitt, Vice President Tierra Energy 710 S. Pearl Street, Suite A Denver, CO 80209 greg.trewitt@tierraenergy.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANTS

David A. Stein, PE Vice President CH2M HILL 155 Grand Avenue, Suite 1000 Oakland, CA 94612 dstein@ch2m.com Jennifer Scholl Senior Program Manager CH2M HILL 610 Anacapa Street, Suite B5 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 jscholl@ch2m.com

Harry Rubin, Executive Vice President RAMCO Generating Two 1769 Orvietto Drive Roseville, CA 95661 hmrenergy@msn.com

COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT

Jane Luckhardt, Esq.
Downey Brand Law Firm
555 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814
iluckhardt@downeybrand.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO P.O. Box 639014 Folsom, CA 95763-9014 e-recipient@caiso.com

INTERVENORS

Greg Jones, City Manager
Maureen Conneely, City Attorney
City of Hayward
777 B Street
Hayward, California 94541
greg.jones@hayward-ca.gov
michael.sweeney@hayward-ca.gov
maureen.conneely@hayward-ca.gov
david.rizk@hayward-ca.gov

Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP.
Att: Diana Graves, Esq
Att: Michael Hindus, Esq
Att: Todd Smith
50 Fremont Street
San Francisco, CA 94120
diana.graves@pillsburylaw.com
michael.hindus@pillsburylaw.com
ronald.vanbuskirk@pillsburylaw.com
todd.smith@pillsburylaw.com

Paul N. Haavik 25087 Eden Avenue Hayward, CA 94545 lindampaulh@msn.com

*Chris Bazar, Director
Alameda County Development
Agency
Att: Cindy Horvath
224 West Winton Ave., Rm 111
Hayward CA 94544
chris.bazar@acgov.org
cindy.horvath@acgov.org

Charlotte Lofft & Susan Sperling
Chabot College Faculty Association
25555 Hesperian Way
Hayward, CA 94545
clofft@chabotcollege.edu
ssperling@chabotcollege.edu

Law Office of Jewell J. Hargleroad Jewell J. Hargleroad, Esq 1090 B Street, No. 104 Hayward, CA 94541 jewellhargleroad@mac.com

Jay White, Nancy Van Huffel, Wulf Bieschke, & Suzanne Barba San Lorenzo Village Homes Assn. 377 Paseo Grande San Lorenzo, CA 94580 jwhite747@comcast.netslzvha@aol.com wulf@vs-comm.com suzbarba@comcast.net

Richard Winnie, Esq.
Alameda County Counsel
Att: Andrew Massey, Esq.
Lindsey G. Stern, Esq.
Brian Washington
1221 Oak Street, Rm 463
Oakland, CA 94612
richard.winnie@acgov.org
andrew.massey@acgov.org
Lindsey.stern@acgov.org
Brian.Washington@acgov.org

Libert Cassidy Whitmore
Att: Laura Schulkind, Esq.
Att: Arlin B. Kachalia, Esq.
153 Townsend Street, Suite 520
San Francisco, CA 94107
Ischulkind@lcwlegal.com
akachalia@lcwlegal.com

Robert Sarvey 501 W. Grantline Rd Tracy, CA, 95376 Sarveybob@aol.com

ENERGY COMMISSION

Jeffrey D. Byron Commissioner and Presiding Member jbyron@energy.state.ca.us

Susan Gefter, Hearing Officer sgefter@energy.state.ca.us

Eric Knight, Project Manager eknight@energy.state.ca.us

Caryn Holmes, Staff Counsel cholmes@energy.state.ca.us

Public Adviser pao@energy.state.ca.us

Chester Jong

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Chester Hong, declare that on October 1, 2008, I deposited copies of the attached California Energy Commission's **Staff Comments on Revised PMPD**, in the United States mail at Sacramento, CA, with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

OR

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5, and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Attachments