



Greg TrewittVP, Development and Engineering Tierra Energy, LLC

April 28, 2008

Presiding Member Jeffrey Byron California Energy Commission 1516 Ninth Street MS 32 Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Eastshore Energy Center (Docket No. 06-AFC-6): Project Delays

Dear Commissioner Byron:

We were extremely disappointed to receive your Committee's notification of an approximately 5 week further delay in issuing the Presiding Member's Proposed Decision (PMPD) for the Eastshore Energy Center ("Eastshore" or the "Project"). As the owner and developer of Eastshore, this additional delay is very troubling. The revised PMPD schedule is yet another in a series of unexplained schedule delays to the protracted review process. These habitual delays have moved beyond excessive and have become punitive to our Project. Furthermore, the delays are well beyond the statutory 12-month permitting process.

Eastshore reminds the Committee that the California Energy Commission ("Commission") found the Application for Certification ("AFC") for Eastshore data adequate on November 8, 2006. Based on the Committee's new schedule, we are fearful that Eastshore may not receive a final decision on its AFC until late July or early August 2008, nearly 2 years after the Commission confirmed data adequacy. The continued delays are not the result of any changes to the Project since the proposed Project has not changed during permitting. Nor are the delays the result of late filed data responses because Eastshore only filed a single data response late—by just 1 day.

Generally, an applicant can expect a PMPD in 6 to 8 weeks (or 43 to 56 days) after the evidentiary hearings. In this instance, it will be five months between the last evidentiary hearing and the PMPD. Worth noting is that the time required to produce this PMPD greatly exceeds the time taken by the Committee for the Metcalf Energy Center, a project that required a local government override with significant, organized opposition. The time between the last Eastshore evidentiary hearing in January to the now proposed date for the PMPD is approximately 150 days, nearly triple the norm. By comparison, the

Metcalf Committee issued the PMPD approximately 55 days after the final briefs. The time between the last Eastshore brief and the proposed PMPD is approximately 95 days.

Eastshore has repeatedly expressed to the Committee its schedule concerns in status reports and comments throughout the process prior to this point. Unfortunately, these delays have beset the Project from the very beginning, and we began expressing these concerns in earnest in June 2007. Obviously there has been no improvement to the schedule. Examples include but are not limited to:

- The Commission Staff did not raise their primary concern with the Project regarding aviation safety until 4 months into the proceeding even though they had similar concerns on the Blythe and Russell City projects and ample opportunity to issue discovery requests to address their concerns.
- The Preliminary Staff Assessment ("PSA") was published more than 10 months after the Commission found the AFC data adequate. Typically, we would expect the PSA in 6 months or less.
- The Final Staff Assessment ("FSA") was not filed until approximately three months after the PSA. Typically, we would expect the FSA in 2 months or less.
- Despite concerns expressed by Eastshore that two days of hearings would be insufficient for the proceeding, only two days of hearings were scheduled. As predicted, two hearing days were insufficient and a third hearing date became necessary. Then, the earliest hearing date available was in mid-January causing an additional month delay for a 3 day hearing.
- As noted earlier in the letter, the PMPD is now not expected until 150 days after the hearings with no explanation for the delays.

The delays suffered by Eastshore in this proceeding are unprecedented and unconscionable. If there is any doubt as to the urgency of this schedule, we wish to be clear—time is of the essence. We urge the Committee and Commission take every available action to expedite and complete its review of Eastshore.

Very truly yours,

Greg Trewitt

VP, Development and Engineering Tierra Energy, LLC

cc: D

Docket Office Service List

BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE EASTSHORE ENERGY CENTER IN CITY OF HAYWARD BY TIERRA ENERGY DOCKET NO. 06-AFC-6 (AFC Accepted 11/8/06)

PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 1/18/08)

<u>INSTRUCTIONS</u>: All parties shall either (1) send an original signed document plus 12 copies or (2) mail one original signed copy AND e-mail the document to the address for the docket as shown below, AND (3) all parties shall also send a printed or electronic copy of the document, which includes a proof of service declaration to each of the individuals on the proof of service list shown below:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: Docket No. 06-AFC-6 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

Greg Trewitt, Vice President	David A. Stein, PE, Vice President
Tierra Energy	CH2M Hill
710 S. Pearl Street, Suite A	155 Grand Avenue, Suite 1000
Denver, CO 80209	Oakland, CA 94612
greg.trewitt@tierraenergy.com	dstein@ch2m.com
Jennifer Scholl, Senior Program Manager	Harry Rubin, Executive Vice President
CH2M Hill	RAMCO Generating Two
610 Anacapa Street, Suite B5	1769 Orvietto Drive
Santa Barbara, CA 93101	Roseville, CA 95661
jscholl@ch2m.com	hmrenergy@msn.com
Jane Luckhardt, Esq.	Larry Tobias
Downey Brand, LLP	CA Independent System Operator
555 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor	151 Blue Ravine Road
Sacramento, CA 95814	Folsom, CA 95630
jluckhardt@downeybrand.com	ltobias@caiso.com
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Richard Winnie, Esq.
Attn: Diana Graves, Esq.	Alameda County Counsel
Attn: Michael Hindus, Esq.	Att: Andrew Massey, Esq.
50 Fremont Street	1221 Oak Street, Rm. 463
San Francisco, CA 94120	Oakland, CA 94612
diana.graves@pillsburylaw.com	richard.winnie@acgov.org
michael.hindus@pillsburylaw.com	andrew.massey@acgov.org

James Sorrenson Alameda County Development Agency Attn: Chris Bazar & Cindy Horvath 224 West Winton Avenue, Room 110 Greg Jones, City Manager City of Hayward 777 B Street Hayward, CA 94541	
Attn: Chris Bazar & Cindy Horvath 777 B Street	
224 West Winton Avenue, Room 110 Hayward, CA 94541	
Hayward, CA 94544 greg.jones@hayward-ca.gov	- 1
james.sorensen@acgov.org maureen.conneely@hayward-ca.gov	
chris.bazar@acgov.org michael.sweeney@hayward-ca.gov	1
cindy.horvath@acgov.org david.rizk@hayward-ca.gov	
Law Office of Jewell J. Hargleroad Jay White, Nancy Van Huffel,	
Jewell J. Hargleroad, Esq. Wulf Biueschke & Suzanne Barba	
1090 B Street, No. 104 San Lorenzo Village Homes Assn.	
Hayward, CA 94541 377 Paseo Grande	
jewellhargleroad@mac.com San Lorenzo, CA 94580	}
jwhite747@comcast.net	
Paul N. Haavik slzvha@aol.com	
25087 Eden Avenue wulf@vs-comm.com	
Hayward, CA 94545 <u>suzbarba@comcast.net</u>	
lindampaulh@msn.com	
Charlotte Lofft & Susan Sperling Libert Cassidy Whitmore	
Chabot College Faculty Association Attn: Laura Schulking, Esq.	ĺ
25555 Hesperian Way Attn: Arlin B. Kachalia, Esq.	
Hayward, CA 94545 153 Townsend Street, Suite 520	
clofft@chabotcollege.edu San Francisco, CA 94107	
ssperling@chabotcollege.edu lschulkind@lcwlegal.com	1
akachalia@lcwlegal.com	
Robert Sarvey	
501 W. Grantline Rd.	
Tracy, CA 95376	
sarveybob@aol.com	
Jeffrey D. Byron, Presiding Member	
jbyron@energy.state.ca.us	
Susan Gefter, Hearing Officer Caryn Holmes, Staff Counsel	
sgefter@energy.state.ca.us cholmes@energy.state.ca.us	
Bill Pfanner Public Adviser	
bpfanner@energy.state.ca.us pao@energy.state.ca.us	

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Lois Navarrot, declare that on April 28, 2008, I deposited copies of the attached

TIERRA ENERGY'S LETTER TO COMMISSIONER BYRON REGARDING PROJECT

DELAYS in the United States mail at Sacramento, California with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

Transmission via electronic mail was consistent with the requirements of the California Code of Regulations, title 20, sections 1209, 1209.5 and 1210. All electronic copies were sent to all those identified on the Proof of Service list above.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Lois Navarrot