Overview of HECA Permitting Activity at the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District

Some interveners in the California Energy Commission (CEC) proceedings related to the Hydrogen Energy California Power Plant Project (HECA) have raised questions about the timing of certain actions taken by the staff and Governing Board of the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD). The purpose of this letter is to explain the actions that have been taken to date, how those actions fit into the overall review process for HECA, and why the timing of these actions by the SJVAPCD has been appropriate.

To date, the staff and Governing Board of the SJVAPCD have taken three formal actions in connection with HECA:

- Staff Issuance of a Preliminary Determination of Compliance, dated February 7, 2013.
- Board Approval of a Mitigation Agreement between the HECA Applicant and the SJVAPCD on April 18, 2013.
- Board Approval of a Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement between the HECA Applicant and the SJVAPCD on April 18, 2013.

Preliminary Determination of Compliance

What is the Preliminary Determination of Compliance?

HECA is subject to the licensing authority of the CEC, and a license issued by the CEC is in lieu of any permit that would otherwise be issued by any state, local or regional agency. Therefore, the SJVAPCD does not issue a permit for projects such as HECA. Instead, the SJVAPCD provides to the CEC a Preliminary Determination of Compliance (PDOC) and a Final Determination of Compliance (FDOC). The PDOC sets forth the SJVAPCD’s analysis and determination as to whether or not the project as proposed will comply with all applicable air quality requirements. The PDOC also includes comments on the project from the SJVAPCD and proposed Conditions of Certification.

Is the PDOC subject to public review and comment?

A PDOC is typically made available for public review and comment for a period of 30 days. In the case of HECA, the initial comment period began on February 13, 2013. Based on requests for more time from the public, the comment period has been extended to May 30, 2013 for a total comment period of 106 days. The SJVAPCD also held a public hearing on the PDOC in Bakersfield on April 2, 2013 and will hold a Spanish language public hearing in Buttonwillow on May 15, 2013.
**How does the California Energy Commission use the PDOC?**

Based on the PDOC, and its own independent analysis, the staff of the CEC prepares its own assessment of the project’s compliance with applicable air quality requirements. That assessment is contained in the Air Quality section of the CEC staff’s Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA), which also includes proposed conditions based, in part, on the recommendations of the SJVAPCD. The PSA, including the Air Quality section, is also made available for a minimum 30-day public comment period.

**What is the Final Determination of Compliance?**

Based on comments received on the PDOC and any additional required analysis, the SJVAPCD issues the FDOC. The FDOC contains the final word from the SJVAPCD as to whether or not the project as proposed will comply with applicable air quality requirements. The CEC staff relies on the FDOC and other relevant information, including comments received on the PSA, to prepare the Air Quality section of the Final Staff Assessment which goes to the Commissioners of the CEC to assist in their evaluation of whether or not to issue a license for the project.

**Mitigation Agreement**

**What is the Mitigation Agreement?**

The Mitigation Agreement is a binding obligation on the part of the HECA Applicant to pay fees into the SJVAPCD Emission Reduction Incentive Program (ERIP). The SJVAPCD will invest these fees in emission reduction projects to achieve new emission reductions in Kern County. The SJVAPCD determined that these additional reductions were necessary to ensure that the HECA project fully mitigated its emissions as required by the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and is in conformity with the SJVAPCD’s plan for attaining ambient air quality standards.

**What is the “conformity” requirement?**

Federal law requires that any project which is approved or funded by the federal government demonstrate that it is in conformity with, or consistent with, the state’s or local air district’s federal approved plan for attaining the federal ambient air quality standards. Since HECA receives federal funding from the United States Department of Energy (DOE), it is subject to the conformity requirement. The conformity evaluation and determination is made by the federal agency (DOE in this case) in consultation with the local air district (SJVAPCD) in this case). The purpose of the conformity requirement is to ensure that a new project, such as HECA, will not interfere with the region’s plan to attain the ambient air quality standards.

**How does the Mitigation Agreement relate to the PDOC?**

The PDOC determined that additional mitigation was necessary in order for HECA to fully mitigate its emissions as required under CEQA and to conform to the SJVAPCD’s plan for attaining ambient air quality standards. The Mitigation Agreement ensures that this additional mitigation will be provided by the HECA Applicant.
Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement

What is the Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement?

As the name suggests, the Voluntary Emission Reduction Agreement (VERA) is a voluntary commitment on the part of the HECA Applicant to provide additional funding to the SJVAPCD to achieve additional emission reductions above and beyond applicable air quality requirements. This is accomplished by paying fees into the SJVAPCD’s Emission Reduction Incentive Program (ERIP) which the SJVAPCD then invests in emission reduction projects in Kern County.

What is the purpose of the VERA?

The genesis of the VERA was a concern on the part of the SJVAPCD that at steady state operations HECA emits nitrogen oxides (NOx) at a slightly higher rate than a conventional natural gas fired power plant. HECA includes environmental benefits that are not achieved by a conventional natural gas fired power plant, including carbon capture and sequestration. Because of the unique nature of the equipment necessary to accomplish these benefits, it is not possible to achieve equivalent NOx emissions. While acknowledging the environmental benefits of a project such as HECA, the SJVAPCD nevertheless expressed concern about the slightly higher NOx emission rate and requested that HECA take steps to address the difference in emission rates even though it is not required to do so. HECA agreed, and that commitment is contained in the VERA.

How does the VERA relate to the PDOC?

Unlike the Mitigation Agreement, the VERA is not required for the project to demonstrate compliance with any applicable air quality requirements. Thus, the SJVAPCD could determine that the project meets all applicable requirements with or without the VERA. Therefore, the VERA and the PDOC are essentially independent of each other.

Timing of SJVAPCD Actions

Why was it necessary for the SJVAPCD Governing Board to approve the Mitigation Agreement and VERA when it did?

By approving the Mitigation Agreement and VERA on April 18, 2013 the SJVAPCD ensured that they would be incorporated into the CEC staff’s Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA) which was at the time scheduled to be released on April 30, 2013 (that date has since slipped to May 15, 2013). The PSA will now include both the PDOC calling for a Mitigation Agreement, and a fully executed and approved Mitigation Agreement and well as the VERA. The Mitigation Agreement and VERA will be included as an appendix to the Air Quality section of the PSA that is made available for public review and comment by the CEC.

In addition, the federal regulations on conformity require that the federal agency make available its draft conformity determination for public review and comment. Since the DOE and
CEC are coordinating the federal and state review of the project, and issuing joint documents, this federal requirement will be satisfied, in part, by including the draft conformity determination as an appendix to the PSA.

*Does the timing of these approvals limit the ability of the public to comment?*

To the contrary, the reason the SJVAPCD acted when it did was to facilitate public comment. As discussed above, the PDOC will already be subject to public comment for a period that is more than three times longer than the required period. In addition, the Mitigation Agreement and VERA will now be incorporated into the CEC staff’s Preliminary Staff Assessment (PSA) which will itself be subject to a minimum 30-day comment period. Finally, the Mitigation Agreement has been incorporated into the draft conformity determination prepared by the U.S. Department of Energy, which is subject to its own public review and comment requirements.

*What additional steps has HECA taken to facilitate public awareness and input on the project?*

In addition to the actions taken by agencies to notify the public of the project and solicit their input, the HECA Applicant has taken many additional steps. The HECA Applicant has operated an Information Center in Buttonwillow since shortly after announcing the project, which is staffed by English and Spanish speaking staff. The HECA Applicant maintains a bilingual website on the project at [http://hydrogenenergycalifornia.com/](http://hydrogenenergycalifornia.com/). HECA also issues periodic newsletters about the project and recent activities. The most recent newsletter, in English and Spanish, was sent to every resident of Buttonwillow and Tupman plus a list of interested stakeholders. The newsletter is the third update provided to all area residents over the past year and it includes a notice of the upcoming SJVAPCD hearing on May 15, 2013.

For more information please visit our website at [www.heca.com](http://www.heca.com)
In the Matter of: ) Docket No. 08-AFC-08A
REVISED APPLICATION FOR ) PROOF OF SERVICE
CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ) (March 4, 2013)
ENERGY CALIFORNIA POWER PLANT )
PROJECT (“HECA”) )

APPLICANT

Marisa Mascaro
SCS Energy LLC
30 Monument Square, Suite 235
Concord, MA 01742
mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

Tiffany Rau
2629 Manhattan Avenue, PMB# 187
Hermosa Beach, CA 90254
Trau@heca.com

George Landman
Director of Finance and Regulatory Affairs
Hydrogen Energy California, LLC
500 Sansome Street, Suite 750
San Francisco, CA 94111
glandman@heca.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

Dale Shileikis
Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
URS Corporation
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale_shileikis@urscorp.com
INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO
e-recipient@caiso.com

Marni Weber
Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas & Geothermal Resources)
801 K Street MS 2402
Sacramento, CA 95814-3530
Marni.Weber@conservation.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy
Thomas A. Enslow
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Frantz
Association of Irritated Residents
30100 Orange Street
Shafter, CA 93263
tom.frantz49@gmail.com

Andrea Issod
Matthew Vespa
Kern-Kaweah Chapter
Of the Sierra Club
85 Second St, Second Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
andrea.issod@sierraclub.org
matt.vespa@sierraclub.org

Timothy O’Connor, Esq.
Environmental Defense Fund (EDF)
1107 Ninth St., Suite 540
Sacramento, CA 95814
toconnor@edf.org
George Peridas
Natural Resources Defense Council
111 Sutter Street, 20th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94104
gperidas@nrdc.org

Benjamin McFarland
Kern County Farm Bureau, Inc.
801 South Mt. Vernon Avenue
Bakersfield, CA 93307
bmcfarland@kerncfb.com

Chris Romanini
HECA Neighbors
P.O. Box 786
Buttonwillow, CA 93206
roman93311@aol.com
ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF

Robert Worl
Project Manager
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
robert.worl@energy.ca.gov

John Heiser
Associate Project Manager
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
john.heiser@energy.ca.gov

Lisa De Carlo
Staff Counsel
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
lisa.decarlo@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISOR

Blake Roberts
Assistant Public Adviser
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
publicadviser@energy.ca.gov
OTHER ENERGY COMMISSION PARTICIPANTS

(Listed for convenience only. After docketing, the Docket Unit will provide a copy to the persons listed below):

Karen Douglas  
Commissioner and Presiding Member  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov

Andrew McAllister  
Commissioner and Associate Member  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
andrew.mcallister@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud  
Hearing Advisor  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei  
Advisor to Presiding Member  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

Jennifer Nelson  
Advisor to Presiding Member  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
jennifer.nelson@energy.ca.gov

Hazel Miranda  
Advisor to Associate Member  
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION  
1516 Ninth Street  
Sacramento, California 95814-5512  
hazel.miranda@energy.ca.gov
David Hungerford
Advisor to Associate Member
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
david.hungerford@energy.ca.gov

Patrick Saxton
Advisor to Associate Member
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
patrick.saxton@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen
Commissioner’s Technical Advisor
For Facility Siting
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov
DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Paul Kihm, declare that on May 7, 2013, I served and filed copies of the attached:

OVERVIEW OF HECA PERMITTING ACTIVITY AT THE SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DISTRICT

to all parties identified on the Proof of Service List above in the following manner:

California Energy Commission Docket Unit

☑ Transmission via electronic mail to:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
Attn: DOCKET NO. 08-AFC-08A
1516 Ninth Street, MS-4
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
docket@energy.ca.gov

For Service to All Other Parties

☑ Transmission via electronic mail to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that I am over the age of 18 years. Executed on May 7, 2013, at Costa Mesa, California.

/s/ Paul Kihm

Paul Kihm