Questions concerning the Preliminary Determination of Compliance from San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for Hydrogen Energy CA (HECA) April 15, 2013

The EPA transferred their permitting authority to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) this past year. When they transferred authority, the EPA brought attention to many areas of concern to be addressed about HECA. HECA Neighbors believe the air district has come up short in their research, and they are not listening to the public’s concerns.

First, we are gravely concerned about the impact of coal dust on our crops. HECA is proposed on prime farmland next to food crops, and it jeopardizes the safety of what we produce. Food crops grown local are pistachios, almonds, grapes, and cherries. Several months ago I phoned the Air District to alert the engineers of the black soot in Wasco near the coal unloading depot. This is the coal dust I have been so concerned about contaminating our food crops as coal is delivered past our fields to the HECA site. Whether coal is delivered to HECA by rail or truck, coal dust will leak out and will end up on our crops. I have told the SJVAPCD of the food safety issues of toxics such as mercury, cadmium, arsenic, lead, and selenium from their coal. What would happen to our industry if someone got hurt consuming our contaminated crops, or if our crops were banned because of exposure to toxic chemicals? Ultimately, we believe the only way to protect our crops against toxic dust is to cover all the train cars and trucks from the mine to the coal plant.

We are disappointed and frustrated that the issue of dust has not been addressed. On February 26 Mr. Sadredin told the Board of Supervisors there will be no emissions of coal dust in the San Joaquin Valley. The only emissions will be in the first few miles as the coal leaves New Mexico. He said that coal is sprayed to form a crust in the train and that crust prevents any emissions. This claim is false. What is all that black powder and black chunks along the tracks in Wasco? Since his assumption is that nothing will get loose, did the district address the environmental impact of toxics from coal dust contaminating our farmland and food crops? We request that these issues be researched further before making a decision. Did you study how much new pollution this coal plant will produce? Will you tell us what the air we breathe will have in it once HECA is running, including all the truck and train emissions? Please study the effect on farm workers inhaling these newly added pollutants and coal dust as they work daily near it. I wonder what Mr. Sadredin looked at for him to say there will be no significant impact to anyone. He also touted how the enclosed unloading facility will keep all pollution particles contained. It is not working that well in Wasco.

Getting back to farmland, we believe this is the wrong site for a coal plant. Has the Air District done an alternative analysis study? We Neighbors demand a review of “alternative sites” including the Elk Hills Oil Field. Why wouldn’t HECA use that
brownfield instead of land in the middle of agricultural fields? With so much marginal land available, please answer why the air district did not question the choice of prime farmland. Lorelei Oviatt from the Planning dept. said HECA is a demonstration project, never before done anywhere in the world. Mr. Sadredin contradicted her and said there is a similar one in North Dakota. Well, that one is much smaller, it does not manufacture chemicals, and they pipe their CO2 over 200 miles away for injection in Canada. Have you studied alternative sites 200 miles away? Have you studied building it smaller if it is a demonstration project, as Lorelei stated?

The EPA had concerns about HECA's cumulative impact from other on-going projects in the area. Buttonwillow and Tupman must endure the emissions from Clean Harbors hazardous waste facility, Elk Hills oils fields emissions, the close proximity to I-5 traffic emissions, then newly announced Dept. of Toxic Substance Control clean-up of former Navel Petroleum Reserve #1, and now, HECA's tons of added emissions. EPA said to identify which are analyzed, and which are not, and why. We request that you study the cumulative impacts of this project and the other pollution sources before moving forward.

The EPA asserted the project area’s nonattainment status, the serious nature of the existing air quality impairment, and the disproportionate effects to children and environmental justice communities. Why then, has the Air District allowed HECA to deny Tupman's request for an air monitor in the playground next to their school? At only 1 ½ miles down wind from a chemical factory fueled by 350 truckloads of coal a day and enduring the cumulative emissions from other on-going projects in the area, these folks just asked for an aid to alert them on unhealthy air days so they can better protect the kids. 49 residence signed a petition to that effect presented at the Board of Supervisors meeting Feb 26. And I personally advocated on their behalf in January in a conference call with Kern and Fresno’s air officials. With $408 million from the Federal government, the cost issue mentioned by the Air district is a weak defense for denying it. Please reconsider Tupman's request. HECA's substitution of a weather station for the air monitor request is unacceptable.

Mr. Sadredintold the Board of Supervisors that the CEC and the DOE like this project. How can he make that assumption? Has he seen statements from them asserting that they like it? The DOE offered the grant without knowing the location. Then HECA came up with the worst air quality location in the nation. And HECA’s emissions will only make our air worse. The site is located on prime farmland, it jeopardizes food safety, and it sucks up precious groundwater. I bet this is not the type of location the DOE had in mind.
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