California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
08-AFC-8A

TN # 70378 APR. 17 2013

Questions concerning the Preliminary Determination of Compliance fromSan Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District for Hydrogen Energy CA (HECA) April 15, 2013

The EPA transferred their permitting authority to the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) this past year. When they transferred authority, the EPA brought attention tomany areas of concern to be addressed about HECA. HECA Neighbors believe the air district has come up short in their research, and they are not listening to the public's concerns.

First, we are gravely concerned about the impact of coal dust on our crops. HECA is proposed on prime farmland next to food crops, and it jeopardizes the safety of what we produce. Food crops grown local are pistachios, almonds, grapes, and cherries. Several months ago I phoned the Air District to alert the engineers of the black soot in Wasco near the coal unloading depot. This is the coal dust I have been so concerned about contaminating our food crops as coal is delivered past our fields to the HECA site. Whether coal is delivered to HECA by rail or truck, coal dust will leakout and will end up on our crops. I have told the SJVAPCD of the food safety issues of toxics such as mercury, cadmium, arsenic, lead, and selenium from their coal. What would happen to our industry if someone got hurt consuming our contaminated crops, or if our crops were banned because of exposure to toxic chemicals? Ultimately, we believe the only way to protect our crops against toxic dust is to cover all the train cars and trucks from the mine to the coal plant.

We are disappointed and frustrated that the issue of dust has not been addressed. On February 26 Mr. Sadredin told the Board of Supervisors there will be no emissions of coal dust in the San Joaquin Valley. The only emissions will be in the first few miles as the coal leaves New Mexico. He said that coal is sprayed to form a crustin the train and that crust prevents any emissions. This claim is false. What is all that black powder and black chunks along the tracksin Wasco? Since his assumption is that nothing will get loose, did the district address the environmental impact of toxics from coal dust contaminating our farmland and food crops? We request that these issues be researched further before making a decision. Did you study how much new pollution this coal plant will produce? Will you tell us what the air we breathe will have in it once HECA is running, including all the truck and train emissions? Please studythe effect on farm workers inhaling these newly added pollutants and coal dust as they work daily near it. I wonder what Mr. Sadredinlooked at for him to say there will be no significant impact to anyone. He also touted how the enclosed unloading facility will keep all pollution particles contained. It is not working that well in Wasco.

Getting back to farmland, we believe this is the wrong site for a coal plant. Has the Air District done an alternative analysis study? We Neighbors demand a review of "alternative sites" including the Elk Hills Oil Field. Why wouldn't HECA use that

brownfield instead of land in the middle of agricultural fields? With so much marginal land available, please answer why the air district did not question the choice of prime farmland.Lorelei Oviatt from the Planning dept. said HECA is a demonstration project, never before done anywhere in the world. Mr. Sadredin contradicted her and said there is a similar one in North Dakota. Well, that one is much smaller, it does not manufacture chemicals, and they pipe their CO2 over 200 miles away for injection in Canada. Have you studied alternative sites 200 miles away? Have you studied building it smaller if it is a demonstration project, as Lorelei stated?

The EPAhad concerns about HECA's cumulative impact from other on going projects in the area. Buttonwillow and Tupmanmust endure the emissions from Clean Harbors hazardous waste facility, Elk Hills oils fields emissions, the close proximity to I-5 traffic emissions, thenewly announced Dept. of Toxic Substance Control cleanup of former Navel Petroleum Reserve #1, and now, HECA's tons of added emissions. EPA said to identify which are analyzed, and which are not, and why. We request that you study the cumulative impacts of this project and the other pollution sources before moving forward.

The EPA asserted the project area's nonattainment status, the serious nature of the existing air quality impairment, and the disproportionate effects to children and environmental justice communities. Why then, has the Air District allowed HECA to deny Tupman's request for an air monitor in the playground next to their school? At only 1½ miles down wind from a chemical factory fueled by 350 truckloads of coal a day and enduring the cumulative emissions from other on-going projects in the area, these folks just asked for an aid to alert them on unhealthy air days so they can better protect the kids. 49 residence signed a petition to that effect presented at the Board of Supervisors meeting Feb 26. And I personally advocated on their behalf in January in a conference call with Kern and Fresno's air officials. With \$408 million from the Federal government, the cost issue mentioned by the Air district is a weak defense for denying it. Please reconsider Tupman's request. HECA's substitution of a weather station for the air monitor request is unacceptable.

Mr. Sadredintold the Board of Supervisors that the CEC and the DOE like this project. How can he make that assumption? Has he seen statements from them asserting that they like it? The DOE offered the grant without knowing the location. Then HECA came up with the worst air quality location in the nation. AndHECA's emissions will only make our air worse. The site is located on prime farmland, itjeopardizes food safety, and it sucks up precious groundwater. I bet this is not the type of location the DOE had in mind.

Chris Romanini HECA Neighbors



### BEFORE THE ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

1516 NINTH STREET, SACRAMENTO, CA 95814 1-800-822-6228 – www.energy.ca.gov

# AMENDED APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA PROJECT

Docket No. 08-AFC-08A PROOF OF SERVICE (Revised 3/4/13)

#### **SERVICE LIST:**

#### **APPLICANT**

SCS Energy, LLC Marisa Mascaro 30 Monument Square, Suite 235 Concord, MA 01742 mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

Tiffany Rau 2629 Manhattan Avenue, PMB# 187 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 trau@heca.com

Hydrogen Energy California, LLC George Landman Director of Finance and Regulatory Affairs 500 Sansome Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111 glandman@heca.com

#### CONSULTANT FOR APPLICANT

URS Corporation
Dale Shileikis, Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale\_shileikis@urscorp.com

#### **COUNSEL FOR APPLICANT**

Michael J. Carroll
Marc T. Campopiano
Latham & Watkins, LLP
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Fl.
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925
michael.carroll@lw.com
marc.campopiano@lw.com

#### **INTERESTED AGENCIES**

California ISO e-recipient@caiso.com

Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and
Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas &
Geothermal Resources)
Marni Weber
801 K Street, MS 2402
Sacramento, CA 95814-3530
marni.weber@conservation.ca.gov

#### **INTERVENORS**

California Unions for Reliable Energy Thomas A. Enslow Marc D. Joseph Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo 520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350 Sacramento, CA 95814 tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Association of Irritated Residents Tom Frantz 30100 Orange Street Shafter, CA 93263 \*tom.frantz49@gmail.com

Kern-Kaweah Chapter of the Sierra Club Andrea Issod Matthew Vespa 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 andrea.issod@sierraclub.org matt.vespa@sierraclub.org

#### **INTERVENORS (Cont'd)**

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) Timothy O'Connor, Esq. 123 Mission Street, 28<sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, CA 94105 toconnor@edf.org

Natural Resources Defense Council George Peridas 111 Sutter Street, 20<sup>th</sup> FI. San Francisco, CA 94104 gperidas@nrdc.org

Kern County Farm Bureau, Inc. Benjamin McFarland 801 South Mt. Vernon Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93307 bmcfarland@kerncfb.com

HECA Neighbors c/o Chris Romanini P.O. Box 786 Buttonwillow, CA 93206 roman93311@aol.com

#### **ENERGY COMMISSION STAFF**

Robert Worl Project Manager robert.worl.energy.ca.gov

John Heiser Associate Project Manager john.heiser@energy.ca.gov

Lisa DeCarlo Staff Counsel lisa.decarlo@energy.ca.gov

#### <u>ENERGY COMMISSION -</u> <u>PUBLIC ADVISER</u>

Blake Roberts Assistant Public Adviser publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

#### **COMMISSION DOCKET UNIT**

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION – DOCKET UNIT Attn: Docket No. 08-AFC-08A 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, CA 95814-5512 docket@energy.ca.gov

## OTHER ENERGY COMMISSION PARTICIPANTS (LISTED FOR CONVENIENCE ONLY):

After docketing, the Docket Unit will provide a copy to the persons listed below. Do not send copies of documents to these persons unless specifically directed to do so.

KAREN DOUGLAS
Commissioner and Presiding Member

ANDREW McALLISTER
Commissioner and Associate Member

Raoul Renaud Hearing Adviser

Galen Lemei Adviser to Presiding Member

Jennifer Nelson Adviser to Presiding Member

\*Hazel Miranda Adviser to Associate Member

David Hungerford Adviser to Associate Member

Patrick Saxton Adviser to Associate Member

Eileen Allen Commissioners' Technical Adviser for Facility Siting

### **DECLARATION OF SERVICE**

SJVAP the mo	Romanini, declare that on <u>April 15</u> , 2013, I served and filed CD's Preliminary Determination of Compliance, dated April 15, 2013. st recent Proof of Service, which I copied from the web page for this projeww.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/hydrogen_energy/.	This document is accompanied by		
The do	cument has been sent to the other persons on the Service List above in t	he following manner:		
(C <i>heck</i>	k one)			
For se	rvice to all other parties and filing with the Docket Unit at the Energy	Commission:		
X	I e-mailed the document to all e-mail addresses on the Service List above and personally delivered it deposited it in the US mail with first class postage to those persons noted above as "hard copy required OR			
	Instead of e-mailing the document, I personally delivered it or deposited postage to all of the persons on the Service List for whom a mailing add			
	re under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the over the age of 18 years.	ne foregoing is true and correct, and		
Dated:	April 15, 2013	Chris Romanini HECA Neighbors		