Michael J. Carroll Marc T. Campopiano LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 650 Town Center Drive, Suite 2000 Costa Mesa, CA 92626 (714) 540-1235 California Energy Commission
DOCKETED
08-AFC-8A
TN # 68584

NOV. 19 2012

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:) Docket No. 08-AFC-8A
AMENDED APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN ENERGY CALIFORNIA POWER PLANT PROJECT	OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO SIERRA CLUB DATA REQUESTS SET 2 (98 THROUGH 131)

On October 30, 2012, Intervenor Sierra Club issued Data Requests Set No. 2 (Nos. 98 – 131) for the Hydrogen Energy California Power Plant Project ("HECA"). On behalf of Hydrogen Energy California, LLC ("Applicant"), we hereby object to certain of the data requests, as specified below. Applicant also requests a 45-day extension to Sierra Club Data Request 109 to provide time for HECA to prepare the necessary information for the response.

Objections

Sierra Club Data Requests 102

Applicant objects to Data Request 102 because the requested information would be very burdensome to produce and the information would not materially benefit the environmental review process. CEQA requires a good faith analysis of potential environmental impacts from a project. Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n v. Regents of Univ. of Cal., 47 Cal. 3d 376, 392 (1988). Absent a compelling rationale to the contrary, which has not been presented, it would be unreasonable to require the Applicant to produce this burdensome quantity of information. Al Larson Boat Shop, Inc. v. Board of Harbor Commissioners, 18 Cal. App. 4th 729, 741, 742 (1993) ("level of specificity of an EIR is determined by the nature of the project and the 'rule of reason'").

Sierra Club Data Requests 120 and 122

Applicant objects to Data Requests 120 and 122 because Applicant does not have the requested information and it would be very burdensome to produce. Furthermore, the requested information is unrelated to the environmental analysis of HECA. The Data Requests would require the Applicant to investigate activities at unrelated facilities and speculate about potential safety risks. This is not required under CEQA. CEQA requires a good faith analysis of potential environmental impacts associated with the proposed project. *See Laurel Heights Improvement Ass'n, supra*, 47 Cal. 3d at 392. CEQA does not require a project applicant to research every possible scenario raised by a member of the public or project opponent, such as investigating and evaluating events or activities at unrelated facilities. *See In re Bay-Delta etc.*, 43 Cal. 4th 1143, 1163 (2008) ("An EIR need not consider every conceivable alternative to a project"). Nor would the requested information shed any light on HECA's ability to comply with applicable laws, ordinances and standards ("LORS") as proposed.

HECA's AFC and various responses to Data Requests, including Applicant's responses to Sierra Club Data Requests 55-58, provide extensive information about flares associated with HECA. The information presented by HECA more than exceeds what is required to complete a CEQA and LORS compliance analysis and constitutes a good faith disclosure of potential impacts. *See Ballona Wetlands Land Trust v. City of Los Angeles*, 201 Cal. App. 4th 455, 475 (2011) ("The analysis need not be exhaustive, but it must be reasonably complete and reflect a good faith effort at full disclosure"). Absent a compelling rationale to the contrary, which has not been presented, it would be unreasonable to require the Applicant to produce this burdensome quantity of information without a commensurate benefit to the environmental review process. *Al Larson Boat Shop, supra*, 18 Cal. App. 4th at 741-742 ("level of specificity of an EIR is determined by the nature of the project and the 'rule of reason'").

Sierra Club Data Requests 123, 124 and 125

Applicant objects to Data Requests 123, 124 and 125 because the requested information is unrelated to the analysis of potential environmental impacts and LORS compliance associated with HECA. The Data Requests call for economic and financial information that are not tied to the evaluation of environmental impacts or LORS compliance. CEQA does not require an evaluation of economic or social impacts unless such impacts would result in a reasonably foreseeable environmental impact, which is not the case here. *See Anderson First Coalition v. City of Anderson,* 130 Cal. App. 4th 1173, 1182 (2005). Moreover, Data Requests 123, 124 and 125 ask for proprietary, business confidential information that would result in business harm to HECA without a commensurate benefit to the review process. It would be unreasonable to require HECA to disclose this information without a clear requirement under CEQA, which is not the case here. *See Al Larson Boat Shop, Inc., supra,* 18 Cal. App. 4th at 741-742 ("level of specificity of an EIR is determined by the nature of the project and the 'rule of reason'"); *Save the Plastic Bag Coalition v. City of Manhattan Beach,* 52 Cal. 4th 155, 172 (2011) ("[CEQA] requires an EIR only for those aspects of a project likely to have significant environmental effects").

DATED: November 19, 2012	Respectfully submitted,
	/s/ Marc T. Campopiano
	Marc T. Campopiano LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
	Counsel to Applicant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ENERGY RESOURCES CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	Docket No. 08-AFC-08A
REVISED APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE HYDROGEN)	PROOF OF SERVICE
ENERGY CALIFORNIA POWER PLANT)	(October 8, 2012)
PROJECT ("HECA"))	

APPLICANT

Marisa Mascaro

SCS Energy LLC 30 Monument Square, Suite 235 Concord, MA 01742 mmascaro@scsenergyllc.com

Tiffany Rau

2629 Manhattan Avenue, PMB# 187 Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 <u>Trau@heca.com</u>

George Landman

Director of Finance and Regulatory Affairs Hydrogen Energy California, LLC 500 Sansome Street, Suite 750 San Francisco, CA 94111 glandman@heca.com

APPLICANT'S CONSULTANT

Dale Shileikis

Vice President
Energy Services Manager
Major Environmental Programs
URS Corporation
One Montgomery Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94104-4538
dale shileikis@urscorp.com

INTERESTED AGENCIES

California ISO

e-recipient@caiso.com

Marni Weber

Department of Conservation
Office of Governmental and Environmental Relations
(Department of Oil, Gas & Geothermal Resources)
801 K Street MS 2402
Sacramento, CA 95814-3530
Marni.Weber@conservation.ca.gov

INTERVENORS

California Unions for Reliable Energy
Thomas A. Enslow
Marc D. Joseph
Adams Broadwell Joseph & Cardozo
520 Capitol Mall, Suite 350
Sacramento, CA 95814
tenslow@adamsbroadwell.com

Tom Frantz

Association of Irritated Residents 30100 Orange Street Shafter, CA 93263 tfrantz@bak.rr.com

Andrea Issod Matthew Vespa

Kern-Kaweah Chapter
Of the Sierra Club
85 Second St, Second Floor
San Francisco, California 94105
andrea.issod@sierraclub.org
matt.vespa@sierraclub.org

Timothy O'Connor, Esq.

Environmental Defense Fund (EDF) 1107 Ninth St., Suite 540 Sacramento, CA 95814 toconnor@edf.org

George Peridas

Natural Resources Defense Council 111 Sutter Street, 20th Fl. San Francisco, CA 94104 gperidas@nrdc.org

Benjamin McFarland

Kern County Farm Bureau, Inc. 801 South Mt. Vernon Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93307 bmcfarland@kerncfb.com

ENERGY COMMISSION – DECISION MAKERS

Karen Douglas

Commissioner and Presiding Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 karen.douglas@energy.ca.gov

Andrew McAllister

Commissioner and Associate Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 andrew.mcallister@energy.ca.gov

Raoul Renaud

Hearing Advisor
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
raoul.renaud@energy.ca.gov

Eileen Allen

Commissioner's Technical Advisor For Facility Siting CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 eileen.allen@energy.ca.gov

Galen Lemei

Advisor to Presiding Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 galen.lemei@energy.ca.gov

Jennifer Nelson

Advisor to Presiding Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 jennifer.nelson@energy.ca.gov

David Hungerford

Advisor to Associate Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 david.hungerford@energy.ca.gov

Pat Saxton

Advisor to Associate Member CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 patrick.saxton@energy.ca.gov

ENERGY COMMISSION – STAFF

Robert Worl

Project Manager
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
robert.worl@energy.ca.gov

John Heiser

Associate Project Manager
CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, California 95814-5512
john.heiser@energy.ca.gov

Lisa De Carlo

Staff Counsel CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION 1516 Ninth Street Sacramento, California 95814-5512 <u>lisa.decarlo@energy.ca.gov</u>

ENERGY COMMISSION – PUBLIC ADVISOR

Jennifer Jennings

Public Adviser's Office CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION E-mail preferred publicadviser@energy.ca.gov

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I, Susan V. Low, declare that on November 19, 2012, I served and filed copies of the attached:

APPLICANT'S OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL TIME TO RESPOND TO SIERRA CLUB DATA REQUESTS SET 2 (98 THROUGH 13)

to all parties identified on the Proof of Service List above in the following manner:

California Energy Commission Docket Unit

Transmission via electronic mail to:

CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

Attn: DOCKET NO. 08-AFC-08A 1516 Ninth Street, MS-4 Sacramento, California 95814-5512 docket@energy.state.ca.us

For Service to All Other Parties

Transmission via electronic mail to all email addresses on the Proof of Service list.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on November 19, 2012, at Costa Mesa, California.

/s/ Susan V. Low
Susan V. Low