
#### CALIFORNIA ENERGY COMMISSION

1516 NINTH STREET SACRAMENTO, CA 95814-5512 www.energy.ca.gov





# NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF AN APPLICATION FOR CERTIFICATION FOR THE VICTORVILLE 2 HYBRID POWER PROJECT (07-AFC-1)

On February 28, 2007, the City of Victorville submitted an Application for Certification (AFC) to construct and operate the Victorville 2 Hybrid Power Project (Victorville 2), a hybrid of natural gas-fired combined cycle generating equipment integrated with solar thermal generating equipment, in the City of Victorville, San Bernardino County.

# **Project Description**

The proposed Victorville 2 project would have a net electrical output of 563 megawatts (MW), with construction planned to begin in summer of 2008 and commercial operation planned by summer of 2010. Victorville 2 is designed to use solar technology to generate a portion of the project's output and thereby support the State of California's goal of increasing the percentage of renewable energy supplies. Primary equipment for the generating facility would include two natural gas-fired combustion turbine-generators (CTGs) rated at 154 MW each, two heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs), one steam turbine-generator (STG) rated at 268 MW, and 250 acres of parabolic solarthermal collectors with associated heat transfer equipment. The solar-thermal collectors would contribute up to 50 MW of the STG's 268 MW output, and with plant auxiliary loads of about 13 MW, Victorville 2's net output would be 563 MW.

Construction of the proposed Victorville 2 would require three areas that total 388 acres, located immediately north of the Southern California Logistics Airport (SCLA) which is the site of the former George Air Force Base. Including the land required for the solar collectors, the footprint of the power plant would require grading of approximately 338 acres, and construction laydown would require two separate temporary areas of 20 and 30 acres each. The project site is situated approximately 3.5 miles east of Highway 395 and approximately 0.5 mile west of the Mojave River.

The proposed Victorville 2 facility would connect via a single-circuit three-phase 230-kV transmission line to the power grid through Southern California Edison's (SCE's) existing Victor Substation, located approximately 10 miles south-southwest of the proposed Victorville 2 Project site. Segment 1 of the overhead line, consisting of new steel poles and conductor, would run approximately 4.3 miles in a new right-of-way beginning at the southern boundary of the proposed Victorville 2 plant site and extending southeastward to a point along SCE's existing High Desert Power Project -Victor right-of-way. Segment 2 extends from this point for 5.7 miles to SCE's existing Victor Substation, and would consist of primarily installing conductor on existing towers having space available for a second circuit, except for three locations where new towers would be needed to cross under existing SCE transmission lines. To accommodate the proposed Victorville 2, segment 3 involves increasing the capacity of the existing SCE system between SCE's Victor Substation and Lugo Substation, for a distance of approximately 11 miles south of the Victor Substation. This would require the relocation

of 6.6 miles of an existing 115 kV transmission line within the same ROW, and installing new steel poles or lattice towers and conductor for 11 miles of the proposed 21-rnile long 230-kV Victorville 2 project transmission line.

Natural gas would be delivered to the project through the Kern River-High Desert Power Project Lateral. The existing 24-inch natural gas pipeline runs adjacent to the southwestern corner of the proposed Victorville 2 site. The project would install a new 12-inch natural gas line to connect with the existing 24-inch line at a point adjacent to the southwest corner of the proposed site and extending approximately 450 feet beyond the boundary.

Process water needs would be met by the use of reclaimed water supplied by the Victor Valley Wastewater Reclamation Authority (VVWRA) via a new 1.5 mile, 14-inch pipeline extending from the reclaimed water production system at the VVWRA treatment plant located southeast of the proposed site. On an annual basis, the proposed Victorville 2 project would consume a maximum of about 3,150 acre-feet/year of reclaimed water for power plant processes, primarily serving cooling demand using an evaporative (wet) cooling tower and including use for parabolic mirror washing in the solar field. Potable water would be supplied to the proposed project by a new onsite well, serving drinking, sanitary and other washing needs, and requiring up to 3.6 acre-feet/year. Process wastewater would be treated using a zero liquid discharge system, separating water for reuse from solids in the form of brine that would be processed into solids for landfill disposal. Sanitary waste would be sent to the VVWRA treatment plant in a new 1.25-mile sanitary wastewater line.

Air emissions from the combustion of natural gas in the CTGs and duct burners of the HRSGs would be controlled using best available control technology applied to their exhaust. Oxides of nitrogen (NOx) from the CTG's stack emissions would be controlled by dry low-NOx combustors followed by a selective catalytic reduction system in the HRSGs. An oxidation catalyst located within each HRSG would also control carbon monoxide (CO) and volatile organic compounds (VOC). In order to be considered for licensing by the Energy Commission, the project would be required to conform with rules and regulations of the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District and be issued a Determination of Compliance from the Air District.

## **Energy Commission's Facility Certification Process**

The Energy Commission is responsible for reviewing and ultimately approving or denying all applications to construct and operate thermal electric power plants, 50 MW and greater, in California. The Energy Commission's facility certification process carefully examines public health and safety, environmental impacts, and engineering aspects of proposed power plants and all related facilities, such as electric transmission lines and natural gas and water pipelines. The Energy Commission is the lead agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), but it produces several environmental and decision documents rather than an Environmental Impact Report.

As part of our review process, the staff of the Energy Commission works closely with local, state and federal agencies to ensure that all laws, ordinances, regulations and

standards are addressed in the final decision of the California Energy Commission. The first step in the review process is for Energy Commission staff to determine whether or not the AFC contains all the information required by our regulations. When the AFC is deemed data adequate, we will begin data discovery and issue analysis phases. At that time, a detailed examination of the issues will occur.

### **Public Participation**

Over the coming months, the Energy Commission will conduct a number of public workshops and hearings to determine whether the proposed project should be approved for construction and operation and under what set of conditions. The workshops will provide the public as well as local, state and federal agencies the opportunity to participate in reviewing the proposed project. The Energy Cornmission will issue notices for these workshops and hearings at least 10 days prior to the meeting.

Please direct your technical or project schedule questions to John Kessler, Energy Commission Project Manager, at (916) 654-4679, or by email at <a href="mailto:jkessler@energy.state.ca.us">jkessler@energy.state.ca.us</a>. If you desire information on participating in the Energy Commission's review of the project, please contact the Energy Commission's Public Adviser's Office, at (916) 654-4489, or toll free in California at (800) 822-6228, or by email at <a href="mailto:pao@energy.state.ca.us">pao@energy.state.ca.us</a>. News media inquiries should be directed to Assistant Director, Claudia Chandler, at (916) 654-4989, or by email at <a href="mailto:mediaoffice@energy.state.ca.us">mediaoffice@energy.state.ca.us</a>. The status of the proposed project, copies of notices, an electronic version of the AFC, and other relevant documents are also available on the Energy Commission's Internet web site at: <a href="http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/victorville2">http://www.energy.ca.gov/sitingcases/victorville2</a>. You can also subscribe to receive

email notification of all notices at http://www.energy.ca.gov/listservers.

This notice of receipt has been mailed to all parties that requested placement on the mailing list during the pre-filing period and to property owners located within 1000 feet of the proposed project site or 500 feet of any linear facilities. By being on the mailing list, you will receive notices of all project-related activities and notices when documents related to the proposed project's evaluation are available for review. If you want your name removed from the mailing list, please contact Joann Gonzales, Project Secretary, at (916) 653-1640, or by email at jgonzale@energy.state.ca.us.

#### **Availability of the AFC Document**

Copies of the AFC are available for public inspection at the following San Bernardino County public libraries:

Victorville Branch Library 15011 Circle Drive Victorville, CA 92395

Apple Valley Newton T. Bass Branch Library 14901 Dale Evans Parkway Apple Valley, CA 92307 San Bernardino County Library 104 West 4th Street San Bernardino, CA 92415

Barstow Branch Library 305 E. Buena Vista St. Barstow, CA 92311 Adelanto Branch Library 11497 Bartlett Ave. Adelanto, CA 92301

Loma Linda Branch Library 25581 Barton Rd. Loma Linda, CA 92354 Copies are also available at the Energy Commission's Library in Sacramento, the California State Library in Sacramento, and at public libraries in Los Angeles, San Diego, Fresno, San Francisco and Eureka. In addition, copies will be distributed to those public agencies that would normally have jurisdiction except for the Energy Commission's exclusive authority to certify sites and related facilities.

Sincerety,

Date: 3/8/07

Roger E. Johnson, Manager

Energy Facilities Siting and Compliance Office

Mailed to Property Owners List on March 8, 2007