DOCKETED	
Docket Number:	09-AFC-06C
Project Title:	Blythe Solar Power Project - Compliance
TN #:	201151
Document Title:	Email from Jared Babula to Mr. Lin Porter
Description:	10-16-2013 Email from Jared Babula to Mr. Lin Porter regarding Questions about Blythe Solar Power Project
Filer:	Mary Dyas
Organization:	CEC/ Jared Babula
Submitter Role:	Public
Submission Date:	11/8/2013 2:41:28 PM
Docketed Date:	11/8/2013

Dyas, Mary@Energy

From: Babula, Jared@Energy

Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 1:27 PM

To: Dyas, Mary@Energy
Subject: FW: Blythe solar project
Attachments: ENERGY COMMISSION.rtf

fyi

From: Lin Porter [mailto:fmvlin@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, November 08, 2013 1:22 PM

To: Babula, Jared@Energy **Subject:** Re: Blythe solar project

please publish this as a docket

On Wednesday, October 16, 2013 11:30 AM, "Babula, Jared@Energy" < <u>Jared.Babula@energy.ca.gov</u>> wrote: Mr. Porter,

Thank you for expressing your concerns.

There may be some confusion regarding the facts of this amended project and the responsibility of the Commission. First, you were advised to contact Nextera regarding your desire to sell or lease your land to Nextera. Again, the Commission does not have a role in the sale or lease of private property. The Commission cannot require Nextera to purchase your property any more than the Commission can require you to sell your property. I have attempted to address some of the issues you brought up in you most recent email to Mary Dyas.

ISSUE: Project footprint and location of the transmission line.

Response: It is true that the modified PV project currently being evaluated by Commission Staff will be a smaller footprint than the original licensed project. The PV solar field will be within the original footprint but about 3000 acres smaller. It is also true that during the licensing of the original project a portion of the transmission line was shifted to the west to allow greater distance from the Blythe Airport. This shifted location was the approved line in the license of the original project. The modified project maintains the same shifted location as the licensed project. Therefore your statement that the location of the transmission line has changed between the final decision of the original project and the proposed modified PV project is incorrect.

ISSUE: There are two different footprints.

Response: The fact that the modified PV project is about 3000 acres smaller than the licensed project does not change the location of the transmission line.

ISSUE: Addressing your objections.

Response: Commission staff understands your objections to cover three issues. 1) Nextera not purchasing or leasing your land. 2) EMFs from the transmission lines near your property. 3) Nextera having an easement across your property. As noted above the Commission does not get involved with contracts between private parties. If you desire to sell or lease your land to Nextera you will have to discuss this with Nextera. The recently published Transmission line Safety section of the Staff Assessment specifically addresses your concerns regarding EMFs and transmission lines. You have been provided with links to the Staff Assessment and are free to submit public comment on any section of the Staff Assessment. The entire project and transmission line is located on federal land managed by the BLM. No part of the project or transmission line will be on your property or any private property. Nextera has not been given an easement to

cross any part of your property. Please review the information in the Staff Assessment relating to project description and maps for clarification as to the location of the transmission line right of way.

If after confirming the location of the lines you still believe the transmission line right of way will be on your property please provide any information you have supporting this.

Thank you for your participation regarding the Commission's review of the Blythe amendment.

Sincerely,

Jared Babula Senior Staff Counsel California Energy Commission

From: Lin Porter [mailto:fmvlin@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2013 6:04 PM

To: Dyas, Mary@Energy

Subject: blythe solar project nextera

mary, you say i should contact nextera in regards to my problem as it was permitted back in 2010 which was not the same footprint or the same location of the transmission line, which has changed 3 times since that time, not as you say that has always been the same. we are now looking at two different footprints and two different power sources. now you say the energy commission can do nothing in regards to my objections? how about b.l.m which granted nextera a utility easement across the entire east side of my property with out giving me notice. please explain all this to me as it does not seem close to leagal. we will start with these questions and trust me i will not give up.

lin porter