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LEDVANCE LLC comments to Docket 17-BSTD-01

Additional submitted attachment is included below.
October 20, 2017

Mr. Payam Bozorgchami
California Energy Commission
Docket Unit, MS-4
RE: Docket No. 17-BSTD-01
1516 Ninth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814-5512

RE: CEC Title 24 Draft Proposals, Docket No. 17-BSTD-01

Dear Mr. Bozorgchami:

LEDVANCE LLC thanks the Commission for the opportunity to provide public comment in this docket relating to the Title 24 Draft Proposals. LEDVANCE GmbH, owned by a consortium led by the strategic investor IDG Capital, the leading Chinese lighting company MLS, and the financial investor Yiwu, is one of the world's leading general lighting providers for professional users and retail customers. In North America, LEDVANCE offers SYLVANIA advanced LED lamps, standardized luminaires, and smart lighting solutions, as well as a wide range of traditional light sources. The SYLVANIA brand leadership in the industry is a result of a proud legacy of over 100 years of lighting experience.

LEDVANCE respectfully requests that the Commission consider all of our comments, including those on lighting controls and high-efficacy lighting.

Thank you again for the opportunity to submit these comments for your consideration. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Susan L. Callahan
Regulatory Affairs Manager

LEDVANCE LLC
200 Ballardvale Street
Wilmington, MA 01887
978-753-5126
susan.callahan@ledvance.com
LEDVANCE Comments on CEC Title 24 Draft Proposals Docket 17-BSTD-01

General Comments:

- LEDVANCE disagrees with having differing requirements – some higher, some lower - for high-efficacy lighting between Title 20 and Title 24 Appendix JA-8. This requires multiple versions of the same product to have to be designed, tested, manufactured, introduced, and reported in California, leading to the confusion of retailers, inspectors and consumers and a reduction in economies of scale. In such cases, CEC should eliminate differing requirements for product performance by establishing a single set of requirements for each product or device. It is important to both LEDVANCE and our customers that there be only ONE set of requirements. Normally it would not matter to us where the requirements resided, but given the current state of the preemption question, we would question the motivation if they were located in Title 24. **Appliance requirements should be in Title 20.**

- LEDVANCE is concerned that the CEC has decided to migrate Lighting Controls requirements back into Title 24, after significant investments of time and resources to consolidate them in Title 20. Furthermore, we stress that the two regulations, Title 20 and Title 24, should not be encouraged to have separate requirements for the same products. We are curious if there should be a cost-benefit analysis, since this is a substantive change.

- LEDVANCE offers a number of editorial changes to referenced standards, including references to the Code of Federal Regulations. We encourage the Commission to re-look the document for necessary updates as we probably didn’t find them all. Even though Title 24 does not (generally) undergo mid-cycle changes, the development of new test procedures by Industry is dynamic and deserving of the Commission’s attention.

**Appendix 1A:**

Changes to References, Appendix 1A

**ILLUMINATING ENGINEERING SOCIETY OF NORTH AMERICA**

Available from: IESNA
120 Wall Street, 17th Floor
New York, New York 10005-4001
(212) 248-5000
Email: iesna@iesna.org
Chapter 1-100:

Changes to References

**ANSI C82.6-2005 2015** is the American National Standards Institute document titled “Ballasts for High-Intensity Discharge Lamps – Methods of Measurement.” (ANSI C82.6-2005 2015)


“**Lamp** is an electrical appliance that produces optical radiation for the purpose of visual illumination, designed with a base to provide an electrical connection between the lamp and a luminaire, designed with a base to provide an electrical connection between the lamp and a luminaire, and designed to be installed into a luminaire. A lamp is not a luminaire and is not a LED retrofit kit designed to replace additional components of a luminaire by means of a lamp-holder integral to the luminaire.”

“LED retrofit kit” is not defined in Title 24.

The term ‘narrow band spectrum’ does not appear anywhere in the Building Energy Efficiency Standards other than as a defined term in Section 100.1. It should be struck.

**LEDVANCE** agrees with the proposed changes to the definitions for automatic scheduling control, dimmer, motion sensor, partial-on occupant sensor, and partial-off occupant sensor. All of these changes are improvements and clarifications.

Chapter 2-110:
Lighting Controls Requirements: the significant additions and modifications to the previously existing text of this chapter seems to be the result of a decision to migrate lighting control device requirements back into Title 24, after the CEC and Industry expended significant time and resources moving them to Title 20. **Appliance requirements should be in Title 20.** We realize that there are some individuals who may only use Title 24 and, being less familiar with its language are reluctant to embrace the Appliance Standard in their day to day work. The CEC’s Title regulation education and outreach office, should look upon this as an opportunity to revise and employ training materials, and not excuses to break the regulations apart and increase the risk of the two documents having different requirements for the same device.

**Chapter 4-130:**

Clause 130.2(b): NEMA opposes the reduction in maximum wattage from 150W to 30W. This appears to be an attempt to set up an “LED only” baseline. However, this is short sighted and may eliminate a significant number of decorative and accent products. This proposed change should be struck.

**Chapter 5-140:**

140.6(a)4. Luminaire Classification and Power Adjustment
LEDVANCE supports the proposed inclusion of an additional lighting power allowance for tunable-white luminaires and dim-to-warm luminaires.

LEDVANCE supports the NEMA proposal that the CEC expand the scope of the above mentioned LPAs for tunable-white products to include office, education, retail, and hospitality applications. While healthcare facilities and hospitals are the primary locations for the installation of spectrally tuned lighting systems for wellbeing, there are many more applications where occupants can benefit from tunable systems. Expanding the allowed applications will encourage usage and market acceptance for energy-efficient dynamic lighted environments. When the CEC adds these locations to the list, Table 140.6-C should also be updated accordingly.

Clause 140.6(a)4B
We do not understand the reasoning to restrict this Power Allowance to small aperture products. Tunable-white and dim-to-warm luminaires are available now in many shapes, sizes and form factors. In real-world installations of multi-channel spectrally tuned LED systems, luminaire efficacy does not follow a predictable pattern and aperture size is not a relevant criterion for efficacy.

Proposed Changes:
“B. Additional Power Allowed for **Small-Aperture** Tunable-White and Dim-to-Warm Luminaires. For qualifying **small-aperture** tunable-white and dim-to-warm LED
luminaires, the actual lighting power of these luminaires can be calculated by multiplying their maximum rated wattage by 0.75. Qualifying luminaires shall meet all of the following:

i. Small Aperture. Qualifying luminaires longer than 18 inches shall be no wider than four inches. Qualifying luminaires with a length of 18 inches or less shall be no wider than eight inches.”

Chapter 6-141:

Section 141.0(b)2I and Table 141.0-E
We support the proposed code language for lighting alterations. Removal of the alteration sub-types (entire luminaire modifications, luminaire component modifications, and lighting wiring modifications) results in language that is significantly simpler and clearer in meaning that the existing language. LEDVANCE thanks the Energy Commission’s for its consideration of the California Energy Alliance CASE proposal that generated much of this language.

Chapter 7-150:

Clause 150.0(k)1

B. General Lighting. All general lighting in habitable spaces shall be dimmable and capable of providing a correlated color temperature of 3500K or less.

LEDVANCE, along with NEMA, objects to any limitations on Correlated Color Temperature (CCT). In particular, we object to 3500K since sales data indicates that higher color temperature lamps are often preferred in California. Since consumers prefer multiple CCT choices, there should be no restriction or de facto government mandate on specific (warm) CCTs. We interpret the wording “capable of providing” as an acknowledgement of color tuning capable products, but disagree with CCT limits overall. We also note that this conflicts with current JA-8 requirements for inseparable luminaires, which are allowed to be 4000K for habitable spaces. The CEC has not offered any cost-benefit analysis for this substantive change.

Clause 150.0(k)1

“CD. Recessed Downlights Luminaires in Ceilings. Luminaires and light fixtures recessed into ceilings shall meet all of the following requirements:”

“Light fixture” is not defined in Subchapter 1 100.
The revisions to clauses 10-106(a)2 and 10-106(b)3 appear to place the two clauses in conflict, but we are uncertain as to the CEC’s ultimate intent. It appears that the language in 10-106(a)2 needs to be changed to reflect the intent of 10-106(b)3. Regardless, we submit these changes should be improved and clarified.

Joint Appendix 1:

Changes to references.


**ANSI C79.1** is the American National Standards Institute document titled “Nomenclature for Glass Bulbs Intended for Use with Electric Lamps.” (ANSI C79.1-2002). Replaced by C78.79.


**ANSI C82.2** is the American National Standard for Lamp Ballasts – Method of Measurement for Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts (ANSI C82.2:2002). C82.2 is not cited in JA-8.

**ANSI C82.77-10** is the American National Standard for Harmonic Emission Limits - Related Power Quality Requirements for Lighting Equipment (ANSI C82.77-2002 -10-2014).


**COLOR RENDERING INDEX (CRI)**. The ability of a light source to reflect the color of illuminated objects with fidelity relative to ideal or natural light sources of the same color temperature. CRI is calculated according to CIE 13.3. This is defined in Chapter 1 100

**CORRELATED COLOR TEMPERATURE (CCT)**. Description of color of light relative to the chromaticity of the radiative emission of heated black body and reported in temperature units of Kelvin according to CIE 15. This is defined in Chapter 1 100

**DUV** is the closest distance from the chromaticity coordinate of the light source to the Planckian locus on the CIE (u', 2/3 v') coordinates with "+" sign for above and "-" sign for below the Planckian locus. Duv is no longer referred to in JA-8.
**ENERGY STAR Start Time Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 1.0 - Start Time Test Method – Final” (August 2013 June 2017).

**ENERGY STAR Ambient Temperature Life Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 1.0 – Ambient Temperature Life Test Method – Final” (August 2013). This is reference is not cited in JA-8.

**ENERGY STAR Lumen Maintenance Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 2.1 – Lumen Maintenance Test Method – Final” (June 2017).

**ENERGY STAR Rated Life Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 2.1 – Rated Life Test Method – Final” (June 2017).

**ENERGY STAR Elevated Temperature Light Output Ratio Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 1.0 – Elevated Temperature Light Output Ratio Test Method – Final” (August 2013). This is reference is not cited in JA-8.

**ENERGY STAR Elevated Temperature Life Test Method** is the ENERGY STAR program document entitled “ENERGY STAR Program Requirements for Lamps Version 1.0 – Elevated Temperature Life Test Method – Final” (August 2013). Reference to this test method has been deleted in the most recent proposal text.


**IES LM-66** is the Illuminating Engineering Society document titled, “Electrical and Photometric Measurements of Single-Ended Compact Fluorescent Lamps.” (IES LM66-11). This is defined in Chapter 1 100.
This is defined in Chapter 1 100.

This reference is not cited in JA-8.

This reference is not cited in JA-8.


NEMA SSL 7A is the National Electrical Manufacturers Association document titled “Phase Cut Dimming for Solid State Lighting: Basic Compatibility,” 2013 (NEMA SSL 7A-2013). This is defined in Chapter 1 100.

UL 1574 is the Underwriters Laboratories document titled “Track Lighting Systems,” 2000.
This is defined in Chapter 1 100.

UL 1598 is the Underwriters Laboratories document titled “Standard for Luminaires,” 2000.
This is defined in Chapter 1 100.

UL 2108 is the Underwriters Laboratories document titled “Low Voltage Lighting Systems,” 2008.
This reference is not cited in JA-8.

Joint Appendix 8

We find the use of phrase “full light output” confusing in its application with respect to testing. Several clauses include this term with respect to test setup and settings. However, only clause 8.3.7 and 8.4.6 have clarifying language that specify whether the Unit Under Test (UUT) should be connected to a dimmer. In contrast, the tests in clause 8.3.1, 8.3.2, 8.4.1, and 8.4.2 lack this clarification. These tests can, and should, be conducted without a dimmer in the circuit. LEDVANCE hopes the CEC clarifies this by adding wording to JA-8 to the effect that the UUT be connected to mains power, or that a dimmer is not included or some other clarification, to reduce the potential for confusion.
JA8.2 Certification of Test Labs
“The light source under test shall be tested at a testing laboratory participating in the ISO/IEC 17025, by the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) or other laboratory accreditation body operating in accordance with ISO/IEC 17011 and produced under an ongoing inspection program carried out by a Type A inspection body in accordance with ISO/IEC 17020.”

DOE has removed the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP) participation requirement and replaced it with an International Laboratory Accreditation Cooperation (ILAC) participation requirement (10 CFR 430.25). Furthermore, 20 CCR § 1604 (Title 20) references test procedures in 10 CFR 430 Subpart B which no longer require NVLAP participating laboratories to do the testing. The CEC should update to the latest Federal guidance in 10 CFR 430.25. Furthermore, the NVLAP requirement is in conflict with both Title 20 and Department of Energy requirements. The cost benefit analysis of requiring NVLAP data and especially the impact on small businesses needs to be completed.

JA 8.3 Tests to be performed
“Compliance with the requirements of this Appendix shall be determined by performance of the following test procedures, as applicable to the type of light source. Sample group size for lamps with ANSI standard bases and that are not recessed downlight retrofits, shall be 10 units per model: 5 units tested base-up and 5 units tested base-down unless the manufacturer restricts specific use or position. If position is restricted, all units shall be tested in restricted position. Test units, including low voltage lamps, shall be operated at rated voltages shall be as specified in the referenced test procedures: GSFLs, GSILs, and IRLs are located in 10 CFR 429.27, CFLs are located in 10 CFR 429.35, Integrated LEDs are located in 10 CFR 429.56, and GSLs are located in 10 CFR 429.57. Where a sample group is not specified for a test, a single unit shall be tested. Sample size for all other sources shall be 3 units, tested in accordance with manufacturer’s installation instructions for intended orientation.”

DOE sample sizes are not located in the test procedures (10 CFR 430 Subpart B). They are located in 10 CFR 429 Subpart B. High intensity discharge lamps are not federally regulated and thus do not have certification requirements and IES LM-51-13 does not specify a sample size.

JA 8.3.1 Efficacy Test.
DOE has established test procedures for determining efficacy except for high intensity discharge lamps. The following changes should be made. Efficacy at full light output shall be determined by the following test procedures, as applicable to the type of light source:

a) For incandescent and incandescent reflector lamps: 10CFR 430.23(r).

b) For medium base compact fluorescent lamps: 10CFR 430.23(w y).

c) For general service fluorescent lamps: 10CFR 430.23(r).
d) For fluorescent lamps that are not Medium base compact fluorescent lamps and general service fluorescent lamps: IES LM-9.
ed) For LED light sources, IES LM-79 10 CFR 430.23(ee).
e) For LED light sources that are not lamps, IES LM-79.
f) For high intensity discharge lamps, IES LM-51.
g) For induction lamps, IES LM-66 10 CFR 430.23(gg).

JA 8.3.2 Power Factor Test.
DOE has established test procedures for determining power factor for covered products. The following changes should be made.

Power factor shall be measured at full light output in accordance with ANSI C82.77, Section 6 and 7, the following test procedures, as applicable to the type of light source:

a) For compact fluorescent lamps: 10 CFR 430.23(y).
b) For LED lamps: 10 CFR 430.23(ee).
c) For induction lamps: 10 CFR 430.23(gg).
d) For all other sources: ANSI C82.77, sections 6 and 7.

The CEC should encourage and promote consistency between its requirements and those of the ENERGY STAR Lamps Program, and as such should set a requirement for 0.7 for Power Factor, versus 0.9. For lamps, the reported value shall be the average measured values of the tested units rounded to be the nearest tenth. For all other sources, the reported value shall be the minimum power factor of the tested units rounded to the nearest tenth.

JA 8.3.4 Color Characteristics Tests.
DOE has established test procedures for determining CCT and CRI for covered products. The following changes should be made.

Correlated Color Temperature (CCT), Duv, and Color Rendering Index shall be determined by the following test procedures, as applicable to the type of light source:

a) Incandescent and halogen reflector lamps: IES LM-20 10 CFR 430.23(r).
b) Incandescent non-reflector lamps: IES LM-45 10 CFR 430.23(r).
c) General service fluorescent lamps: 10 CFR 430.23(r).
e) Fluorescent lamps that are not single ended compact fluorescent lamps or general service fluorescent lamps: IES LM-9.
f) Induction lamps: IES LM-66 10 CFR 430.23(gg).
g) LED light sources: IES LM-79 10 CFR 430.23(ee).
h) Other LED light sources: IES LM 79.
i) High intensity discharge lamps: IES LM-51.
j) Other applicable test procedure approved by the Executive Director.

We do not understand the use of the word nominal, as in “Nominal Correlated Color Temperature”. Why are we determining CCT if we are going to report the nominal (3000, 3500, 4000, etc.) value?
JA 8.4.6(c)
We commend the Energy Commission on adopting NEMA-77-2017 as a test method for flicker. LEDVANCE supports the NEMA position regarding SVM and we disagree with any attempts to modify its contents which are based on solid research. The Energy Commission should cite the standard accurately, and as such the value of the threshold for Stroboscopic Visibility Measure (SVM) should be 1.6.

A value of 1.0 SVM represents the detection threshold for SVM. This is the point at which 50% of observers would indicate that they do observe the effect and 50% do not observe the effect when required to make a choice. A value of 1.0 does not indicate whether those observers find the observation disturbing, nor does it indicate whether there is a health-related effect. Some detection of stroboscopic effect is acceptable because you have to have motion to see it.

NEMA-77-2017 is the most recent Standard on Temporal Lighting Artifacts (TLA) and it brings together much of the current research to recommend a method of quantifying the visibility of TLA and to recommend initial broad application-dependent limits for TLA. Its photometric recommendations and measurement methods are applicable to any lighting equipment (e.g., luminaires, light engines, self-ballasted lamps, drivers, and sensors) with any control system.

The application guidance in NEMA 77 for SVM for indoor application areas is a value of 1.6. This limit is a real-world acknowledgement that even if SVM is detectable under laboratory conditions, it is not objectionable in many normal conditions. NEMA member customer experience information indicates that mass-production quantities of LED lamps have been in the market with SVM of 1.6 without complaint. 1.6 is not arbitrary; it is a real-world figure based on research and we believe it is the appropriate value for Title 24 until further data indicates otherwise. We hope the Energy Commission in the absence of other data, recognizes the findings of NEMA-77 and adopts an SVM value of 1.6 for the 2019 Building Energy Efficiency Standards. Should the Commission insists on changing the requirements for SVM in NEMA 77, it is obliged to conduct a thorough cost-benefit analysis to assure that this will not cause undue burdens in the marketplace. Any change to restrict SVM requirements without analytical justification could be viewed as arbitrary.