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ACR Issued in 09/2016 Has Sufficient Room to Enable Innovative VGI Approaches to Emerge… EPRI Proposes a Process to Get There

ACR 09/2016 has Expertly Catalyzed Goal-Oriented Activity

- Proposes several holistic principles as criteria for creating SB350 funded VGI infrastructure technical requirements, while suggesting IEC/ISO15118 as one approach
- Invites IOUs to propose alternative approaches that can achieve compliance to holistic principles that meet overall goals

EPRI Proposes VGI Workgroup Patterned After Rule 21 SIWG

- Translate principles into implementable technical requirements
- Recommend qualified standards and solutions
- 8-12 Month Process; Directly linked to OEM commercialization roadmap
  - 2-4 Months: Formalize evaluation criteria for VGI service providers
  - 2-4 Months: Develop Technical Requirements and Implementation Guide
  - 2-3 Months: Create Reference Implementation and Certification Body
- Process will inform all related VGI policy and regulatory rulings and proceedings

Participation

- All-inclusive of key stakeholders and standards bodies
ACR 09/2016 Appendix B Lists Holistic Evaluation Principles for VGI Standards

1. A driver’s mobility, need for simplicity, and privacy is preeminent.

2. A vehicle’s charging behaviors is consistent with the battery management system and mobility requirements are not externally curtailed by an entity without consulting the driver.

3. Functions enabled through the standard’s implementation are fully scalable: a) In electrical system terms, from an individual vehicle, to an array of EVSE, to facility circuitry, to a campus/microgrid, to distribution, and to regional transmission systems, and b) In magnitude to accommodate millions of vehicles of different makes and models.

4. Reliability and functional requirements meet those of the California Public Utilities Commission’s adoption of Utility Electric Rules, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission as implemented by the CAISO, or the best practices of the National North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC).

5. Technologies and equipment deployed through the standard’s implementation are resilient to evolving use cases in the automotive, electricity, and communications industries including: high-power charging, wireless charging, vehicle-to-grid, autonomous, connected, electric and shared (ACES) vehicles, higher-speed wireless and wire-based communications.

6. Technologies and equipment deployed prior to the standard’s implementation can voluntarily be re-equipped to increase functionality and compatibility to the adopted standard to the cost-effective extents possible.

7. Transportation Network-specific use cases and services will be leveraged and account for Geospatial Information System (GIS) data including charging infrastructure utilization, road infrastructure utilization, route navigation, demand sequencing and queueing, traffic flow, and trip dispatch.

8. The standard is adaptive to automakers’ design and manufacturing requirements which are, ultimately, global in nature. Regulations incorporating standards should strive to recognize existing progress and avoid duplication.

9. Synchronize the timing of public and private investments in developing vehicle, infrastructure, and network or data management products with timelines established in California policy and regulations to efficiently meet climate change mitigation and adaptation goals.

10. Leverage the technical capability of the State agencies, and the research and interests of the national labs of the U.S. Department of Energy and independent research institutions and standards making organizations.

11. Guarantee and hasten opportunities for the return of ratepayer investments in research and development (R&D).
EPRI Recommends Consideration of Additional Requirements for Qualifying VGI Standards

- **Consistency with Rule 21 SIWG** DER Integration Principles, avoiding new silos for PEVs as DERs
  - IOU-side uniformity and unanimity with other approaches to **aggregated & distribution system level grid management**
  - Functional and Safety Requirements for integrating **EVs as a BTM DER asset**

- Conformance to NISTIR 7628 **Cybersecurity** Guidelines for Smart Grid communications protocols
  - EPRI defining VGI architecture requirements for cyber security implementation and verification

- **Consistency** across Medium and Heavy Duty Vehicle Segments re: High Power Charging

- Resolution of **primacy of control conflicts** and alignment of customer objectives

- Flexibility to address **dynamic data exchange** and functional requirements from multiple stakeholders/actors - Between and amongst primary and secondary actors

- **Extensibility** toward future VGI technologies (i.e. V2G, V2B, V2H, DER, ZNE, Connected Cars), while Safeguarding against **technology obsolescence and stranded assets**

- **Coexistence and interoperability** for variety of services and technologies in the VGI ecosystem

- Ability to foster 3rd party innovation, customer choice and competitive marketplace

- Reduce up front and end use costs to site hosts and end customers as well as ratepayers
For a VGI Ecosystem to Thrive and Grow, Multiple Solutions Need to Coexist to Engage Customers and Still Have Uniform Response Behavior on the Utility side
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Possible Solution Sets for an End to End Implementation

Utility Interface (IEEE 2030.5)
Platform or Service Provider
Edge Interface (IEEE 2030.5, IEC/ISO15118, OCPP, Telematics)
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Smart Inverters, Storage, in Rule 21
# VGI Roadmap Use Cases and Readiness of Standards Today

## VGI Use Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Provides the DR event/price/schedule signals/requests to the controlling entity / ESI / EMS.</td>
<td>• DR control at the EVSE through EVSP.</td>
<td>• Single resource for com and control from DCH to EVSE to EV.</td>
<td>• Point to point com control to individual load devices.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Addresses EV messages for managed charging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Interoperable with IEEE 2030.5 and OpenADR</td>
<td>• Interoperable with IEEE 2030.5 and OpenADR</td>
<td>• Single access point to EV.</td>
<td>• Enables Volt/Var and PFC at EVSE level.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Interoperable with OpenADR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provides DR control at the EVSE through EVSP.</td>
<td>• Provides DR aggregated resource through com and control from DCH to EVSE to EV.</td>
<td>• Provides aggregator resource to simultaneously control multiple load devices.</td>
<td>• Interoperable with OpenADR</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Addresses EV and customer messages for aggregation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• DR aggregated resource through com and control from DCH to EVSE to EV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Interoperable with OpenADR/IEEE 2030.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not adaptable for local EMS or facility control</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Need separate protocol for DCH to communicate with facility or premise EMS.</td>
<td>• Enables customer control at the local EMS level.</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Supports interface with local EMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Interoperable with OpenADR</td>
<td></td>
<td>• Message sets predicated on IEEE 2030.5 Protocol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## STAGE 3: V1G with Fragmented Actor Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STAGE 4: Bi-Directional Power Flow (V2G)</th>
<th>Does not address V2G control features</th>
<th>Does not facilitate V2G communications and control</th>
<th>Does not presently address V2G functionality.</th>
<th>Provides Feature Sets for V2G control functionality</th>
<th>Supports EV V2G implementation and authorization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>STAGE 4+ / Rule 21 / Smart Charging as Storage App: Advanced V2G DER Integration</td>
<td>Does not address Rule 21 functions</td>
<td>Does not integrate with DER such as solar and battery energy storage</td>
<td>Not adaptable for integration with Rule 21 smart inverters and integration with solar and battery energy storage</td>
<td>Adaptable for integration with Rule 21 smart inverters and integration with solar and battery energy storage</td>
<td>Supports Rule 21 DER integration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• IEEE 2030.5 DER function set applied</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© 2016 Electric Power Research Institute, Inc. All rights reserved.
EPRI Recommends Forming VGI Working Group to Accelerate Orderly Rollout of Technologies for PEVs and VGI Infrastructure

Form Vehicle-Grid Integration Working Group

• Patterned on CPUC Rule 21 Smart Inverter Working Group
• Responsible for developing Consensus Technical Requirements, Implementation Guide, Certification Body and Functional Representation
• Focus on End to End Solutions and Standards with Uniform Utility Interface consistent with other segments (SMB, C&I, non-road, medium duty/commercial)
• Expedited Results of the 8-10 month process forming the Technical Foundation of Rulemaking around VGI Infrastructure and Utility Programs

Follow Working Group Process Parallel to SIWG, in an EPRI IWC-Like Open Forum

• Transparent, Consensus-Oriented and Open
• Access and Input from All Stakeholders: OEMs, EVSPs, IOUs, 3rd Party Operators, Standards Bodies, ARB, CEC, PUC and Governor’s office
• Leadership from Industry Veterans, Ownership from EPRI