

DOCKETED

Docket Number:	16-RGO-01
Project Title:	Regional Grid Operator and Governance
TN #:	214097
Document Title:	Optional Comments Template for Western States Committee Primary Authority Discussion Paper
Description:	California ISO's Stakeholder Comments Template
Filer:	Misa Milliron
Organization:	California Energy Commission
Submitter Role:	Commission Staff
Submission Date:	10/18/2016 2:36:33 PM
Docketed Date:	10/18/2016

Optional Stakeholder Comments Template

Western States Committee (WSC) Primary Authority Discussion Paper

Submitted by	Organization	Date Submitted
Please fill in the name and contact information of person submitting comments.	Please fill in here.	Please fill in here.

Stakeholders are encouraged to use this template to provide comments on the *Potential Topics within the Primary Authority of the Western States Committee* Discussion Paper and Draft Proposal posted on October 7, 2016.

All documents for the Regional Grid Operator and Governance Proceeding are available at:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/sb350/regional_grid/documents/index.html

Submit comments to the California Energy Commission Docket 16-RGO-01:

<https://efiling.energy.ca.gov/Ecomment/Ecomment.aspx?docketnumber=16-RGO-01> or
docket@energy.ca.gov

Comments should be submitted by October 31, 2016.

1. Please indicate your organization's overall level of support for the ISO's proposal regarding potential topics for the primary authority of the WSC.

To indicate level of support, please select one of the following options: (1) Fully support; (2) Support with qualification; or, (3) Oppose. Please provide an explanation of your organization's position. (For example, if your organization fully supports, please provide reasons for your support. If your organization supports with qualification, please describe your qualifications or specific modifications that would allow you to fully support the proposal. If your organization opposes, please explain why you oppose the proposal.

2. The ISO's discussion paper identified at page 5 certain factors that may be relevant in establishing whether a particular topic within the categories of Resource Adequacy or transmission cost allocation should be within the primary approval authority of the WSC. Please provide any comments you may have on whether the factors that have been identified are the correct ones or if other factors or criteria should be considered.
3. The ISO's discussion paper identifies the system wide planning reserve margin target as a topic within RA that should be within the primary approval authority of the WSC. Please comment on this aspect of

the proposal. Please also comment on whether there are any other specific topics within the category of RA for which the WSC should have primary approval authority.

4. The ISO's discussion paper identifies as a topic for the WSC's primary approval authority cost allocation between sub-regions for policy driven projects that support policy mandates of, or provide benefits to, more than one sub-region. Please comment on this aspect of the proposal. Please also comment on whether there are any other specific topics within the category of RA for which the WSC should have primary approval authority.

5. Please comment on any other topics that your organization feels should be included in this proposal.